**EVALUATION OF HEAVY METALS, SOME ORGANIC AND MICROBIAL CONTAMINANTS IN THE EFFLUENTS FROM SOME FOOD INDUSTRIES IN ANAMBRA STATE, NIGERIA**

**BY**

**NWOSU UDOKA LOVELYN 2008547001P**

**A Ph.D DISSERTATION PRESENTED TO THE SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES, NNAMDI AZIKIWE UNIVERSITY, AWKA**

**IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (Ph.D) IN ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTRY, DEPARTMENT OF PURE AND INDUSTRIAL CHEMISTRY, FACULTY OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES, NNAMDI AZIKIWE UNIVERSITY,**

**AWKA**

**MAY, 2016.**

# CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this dissertation was written by Nwosu, Udoka Lovelyn, a postgraduate student in the Department of Pure and Industrial Chemistry with Reg. No: 2008547001P. The work embodied in this dissertation is original and to the best of our knowledge has not been submitted in part or full for any other diploma or degree of this or any other University.

# Prof. P.A.C. Okoye Date

Supervisor I

# Prof. V.I.E. Ajiwe

Supervisor II Date

# Prof. T.U. Onuegbu

Head of Department Date

# Prof. R. Sha’Ato

External Examiner Date

# Prof. Eric Ofoedu

Faculty PG Sub-Dean Date

# Prof. A. J. Ekpunobi

Dean, Faculty of Physical Date

Sciences

# Prof. H. I. Odimegwu

Dean, School of Date

Postgraduate Studies

# DEDICATION

This research is dedicated to the Almighty God for His Grace upon my life. Indeed, He is always faithful.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I acknowledge with gratitude and delight all who contributed and assisted in making this work a reality. I wish to express my profound gratitude to my amiable and ever ready supervisors, Prof. P.A.C. Okoye and Prof. V.I.E. Ajiwe for their immeasurable contributions towards the accomplishment of this task. Your critical review of this work, words of encouragement and above all, your financial assistance throughout this research work are highly appreciated. You are always available inspite of your tight schedule to offer solutions to my challenges. You were able to go through this work and offered many corrections and suggestions where necessary. You are indeed my mentors. I pray the Almighty God to reward you accordingly in Jesus name. I appreciate, the efforts of the Head of Department of Pure and Industrial Chemistry, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Professor T.U. Onuegbu. Your prompt attention to the challenges of your students cannot be over emphasized. Thank you so much.

To my special friends, Dr. Uche Offorah, Dr. Peter Ekemezie and Dr. Chike Okafor you are honestly, my friends indeed. I acknowledge your contributions (through words of advice and encouragement) in making sure that this work was a success. Thank you immensely and remain blessed.

To the Faculty and Departmental PG Co-ordinators, Prof. Eric Ofoedu and Dr. (Mrs.) Uche Ekpunobi, keep the flag flying. Tank you for your assistance.

I also wish to acknowledge the efforts of Prof. A.N. Eboatu, Prof. M.U. Akpuaka, and all the lecturers in the Department of Pure and Industrial Chemistry, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka. you are all great. To my Head of Department, at Federal Polytechnic, Oko, Mrs. Nzelu, I.C, you are more than a mummy to me. My colleagues and friends in the Department of Food Technology, Federal Polytechnic, Oko are not

left out. I appreciate all of you for your motivational words of encouragement whenever I come to the office looking frustrated.

Worthy of mention are the laboratories that analyzed the effluents in one way or another. They are Ibeto Group of Companies Laboratory, Nnewi and National Research Institute for Chemical Technology (NARICT) Zaria.

It is my pleasure to appreciate in a very special way my best friend, companion and the best husband in the whole wide world, Mr. Ikem Nwosu, your tolerance, prayers, encouragement and above all, your financial support to see that this work was a reality cannot be forgotten so easily. May the Great Provider replenish your purse abundantly in Jesus Name ±Amen.

And to my wonderful angels, Chigozirim, Chidinma, Chinedu and Chimdeme, I love you all. I thank God for your prayers and understanding throughout the course of this study. You are indeed a blessing to me.

Honestly, I wish to appreciate my typists, Mrs. Anthonia, Ayogu and Miss Uche Anekwe for taking the pains in typing and arranging this manuscript. Your efforts are not in vain. Thank you and be replenished.

Finally, I appreciate the God Almighty for His Grace, enablement, good health and favour granted me (irrespective of certain challenges) and my family throughout this academic pursuit. He is indeed our PILLAR!

To Him be the Glory.

# Nwosu, Udoka Lovelyn May, 2016

# ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to evaluate the concentrations of heavy metals and other contaminants in the effluents from some food industries in Anambra State. Effluents from Life Breweries, Onitsha; KP beverages, Ogidi; Obisco beverages, Ogidi; Golden Vegetable Oil, Onitsha and Resource Improvement and Manufacturing Company (RIMCO Nnewi) in Anambra State were sampled for a period of eight months ±four months rainy season and four months dry season ±for comparison; and analyzed for levels of heavy metals pollution using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS). Physico-chemical parameters, microbiological and organic pollutants loads of the effluents were also determined using standard analytical methods. Results obtained were compared with the Federal Ministry of Environment Industrial Effluent Limit (FMEnv) to determine the level of conformity of these industries with this standard. Result of the mean concentrations of the heavy metals were generally above the allowable industrial effluent limit. It was discovered that the total mean level of the heavy metals were higher in the dry season than in the rainy season in almost all the food industries studied. The mean concentrations of mercury in the dry season were in the decreasing order of 3.783 + 0.860mg/l (Savana) > 3.182 + 0.497mg/l (Obisco) > 2.865 + 0.497mg/l (Golden) > 2.724 + 0.497mg/l (KP) > 2.655 + 0.497mg/l (Life) > 0.878 + 0.497mg/l (RIMCO) respectively compared to the rainy season levels of 1.985 + 0.860mg/l (Savana) > 1.935 + 0.497mg/l (Golden) > 1.874 + 0.497mg/l (Obisco) > 1.638 + 0.497mg/l (Life) > 1.564 + 0.497mg/l (RIMCO) > 1.252 + 0.497mg/l (KP)

respectively. Two sample paired t ±test showed that there were no significant difference (P > 0.05) in the levels of Pb, Cr, As, Cd, and Zn in both seasons except for Hg and Fe which were significantly higher (P < 0.05) in the dry season than in the rainy season in all the effluent samples. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) showed that there were no significant difference (P > 0.05) in the mean concentrations of Hg, Fe, Pb, Cr, Cd, and Zn among the effluent samples except arsenic levels which showed significant difference (P < 0.05) among the different effluents studied. Apart from pH and hardness levels (which failed to meet the FMEnv effluent limit), other physico-chemical parameters of the effluents were either within or below the allowable industrial effluent limit. Again, two sample paired t- test showed that pH, TS, TDS and TSS were highly significant (P < 0.05) between rainy and dry seasons. Though pH and TSS were higher in the dry season than in the rainy season, TS and TDS were higher in the rainy season. Nevertheless, Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) indicated that there were significant difference (P < 0.05) in the mean concentrations of pH, TS and TDS among the different samples but TSS was not significant. Alkalinity, hardness, chloride and nitrate levels were not significant (P > 0.05) between rainy and dry season though there was significant difference (P < 0.05) in the levels of chloride and nitrates among the different industries studied. The most probable number (MPN/100ml) index of organisms were highest in the effluents from Golden oil in the dry season (35MPN/100ml) followed by Savana (33MPN/100ml) in the rainy season, though these were below the 500MPN/100ml recommended by FMENV, in industrial effluents. Organisms isolated were *Enterobacter aerogens, Bacillus cereus,* and *Escherichia coli*. Organic pollutants indentified in the effluents were mainly toluene compounds, 3, 5 ±di ±ter ±butylphenol, hexa decanoic acid, Di ±n ±octylphthalate and 2

±methylnaphthalene among others. The pollution index values for mercury, zinc, lead, iron, arsenic, chromium and cadium showed significant degree of pollution by these heavy metals. Pollution potential of the effluents studied ranged in the order: Savana effluent > Life breweries effluent > Obisco effluent > Golden effluent > KP effluent > RIMCO effluent respectively.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

**Page**

TITLE PAGE i

[CERTIFICATION ii](#_TOC_250060)

[DEDICATION iii](#_TOC_250059)

[ACKNOWLEDGEMENT iv](#_TOC_250058)

[ABSTRACT vi](#_TOC_250057)

[TABLE OF CONTENTS vii](#_TOC_250056)

[LIST OF TABLES x](#_TOC_250055)

LIST OF FIGURES xiii

LIST OF APPENDICES xv

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xvii

[CHAPTER ONE](#_TOC_250054)

INTRODUCTION 1

* 1. Background of the Study 2
  2. [Research Problem 7](#_TOC_250053)
  3. [Scope of Study 8](#_TOC_250052)
  4. [Aim and Objectives of Study 8](#_TOC_250051)
  5. [Importance of Study 9](#_TOC_250050)
  6. [The Study Area 9](#_TOC_250049)

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW 12

* 1. Impacts of Food Processing Effluents on the Environment 15
  2. [Heavy Metals 18](#_TOC_250048)
  3. [The Toxic Heavy Metals 21](#_TOC_250047)
     1. [Lead 21](#_TOC_250046)
     2. [Cadmium 23](#_TOC_250045)
     3. [Mercury 24](#_TOC_250044)
     4. [Other Heavy Metals 26](#_TOC_250043)
  4. [Physico-Chemical Parameters 27](#_TOC_250042)
     1. [pH 27](#_TOC_250041)
     2. [Odour/Taste 28](#_TOC_250040)
     3. [Acidity 29](#_TOC_250039)
     4. [Alkalinity 29](#_TOC_250038)
     5. [Total Solids/Residue 30](#_TOC_250037)
     6. [Hardness 31](#_TOC_250036)
     7. [Chloride 32](#_TOC_250035)
  5. [Microbiological Monitoring 33](#_TOC_250034)
     1. [Routine Test for Bacteria Contamination of Water 34](#_TOC_250033)

1. [.6 Wastewater Treatment and Disposal 37](#_TOC_250032)
   * + 1. Choice of Method of Treatment 38
       2. Physical Treatment 38
       3. Chemical Treatment 39
       4. Biological Treatment 39

CHAPTER THREE

MATERIALS AND METHODS 41

* 1. [Sampling 41](#_TOC_250031)
  2. Materials and Reagents Used 42
     1. [Materials Used for Physico-Chemical Parameters 42](#_TOC_250030)
     2. [Reagents for Physicochemical Parameters 43](#_TOC_250029)
     3. Reagents for Heavy Metal Determination 43
     4. Materials for Heavy Metal Determination 43
     5. [Materials for Microbiological Analysis 43](#_TOC_250028)
     6. [Reagents for Microbiological Analysis 44](#_TOC_250027)
     7. [Materials for Organic Pollutant Determination 44](#_TOC_250026)
  3. [Physicochemical Analysis 44](#_TOC_250025)
     1. [pH Determination 44](#_TOC_250024)
     2. Total Solids 44
     3. [Total Suspended Solids 45](#_TOC_250023)
     4. [Total Dissolved Solids 45](#_TOC_250022)
     5. [Alkalinity Determination 45](#_TOC_250021)
     6. [Total Hardness Determination 46](#_TOC_250020)
     7. [Chloride Determination 47](#_TOC_250019)
     8. [Determination of Nitrate 48](#_TOC_250018)
     9. [Sulphate Determination 48](#_TOC_250017)
     10. [Acidity 49](#_TOC_250016)
  4. [Heavy Metal Determination 50](#_TOC_250015)
  5. [Pollution Index (Pi) of the Heavy Metals 51](#_TOC_250014)
  6. Microbiological Analysis 52
     1. Presumptive Test 52
     2. Differential Coliform Test 53
     3. [Identification of the Isolates 54](#_TOC_250013)
        1. [Macroscopic Examination 54](#_TOC_250012)
        2. [Gram Staining 54](#_TOC_250011)
        3. Indole at 44C 55
        4. [Methyl Red Test 55](#_TOC_250010)
        5. [Voges-Praskaur Test 55](#_TOC_250009)
        6. [Citrate Utilization Test 55](#_TOC_250008)
        7. [Catalase Test 56](#_TOC_250007)
  7. Determination of Organic Pollutants using Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectroscopy (GC-MS) 56

[CHAPTER FOUR](#_TOC_250006)

[RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 57](#_TOC_250005)

* 1. Results of Mean Concentration of the Heavy Metals 58
  2. Pooled Mean of the Concentrations of Heavy Metals 70
  3. Pollution Index (PI) of the Heavy Metals 101
  4. [Results of the Physico-Chemical Parameters of the Effluents 103](#_TOC_250004)
  5. Pooled Mean of the Physicochemical Parameters 116
  6. Pollution Index of the Physico-chemical Parameters 142
  7. Microbial Result 143
  8. Results of the Organic Pollutants 158

[CHAPTER FIVE](#_TOC_250003)

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION 184

* 1. [Conclusion 184](#_TOC_250002)
  2. [Recommendation 188](#_TOC_250001)

[References 190](#_TOC_250000)

Appendix 202

# LIST OF TABLES

**Page**

* 1. Mean levels of heavy metal (mg/l) of effluents during rainy season for

Life beer and Savana drinks 58

* 1. Mean levels of heavy metal (mg/l) of effluents during rainy season for

KP beverage, Ogidi and Obisco beverage, Ogidi 60

* 1. Mean levels of heavy metal (mg/l) of effluents during rainy season for Golden Vegetable Oil, Onitsha and RIMCO, Nnewi 62
  2. Mean levels of heavy metal (mg/l) of effluents during dry season for Life beer and Savana drinks 64
  3. Mean levels of heavy metal (mg/l) of effluents during dry season for

KP beverage, Ogidi and Obisco beverage, Ogidi 66

* 1. Mean levels of heavy metal (mg/l) of effluents during dry season for

Golden Vegetable Oil, Onitsha and RIMCO, Nnewi 68

* 1. Pooled Mean of the Heavy Metal Concentrations of the Effluents

in the rainy season (June ±September) 71

* 1. Pooled Mean of the Heavy Metal Concentrations of the Effluents

in the Dry season (October ±January) 73

* 1. Pollution Index of the Heavy Metals 101
  2. Mean of Physico-chemical parameters for live and savanna effluents

the months of June ±September (Rainy Season) 104

* 1. Mean of Physico-chemical parameters for the months of

June ±September (Rainy Season) for KP and OBISCO effluents 106

* 1. Mean of Physico-chemical parameters for the months of

June ±September (Rainy Season) for Golden and RIMCO effluents 108

List of Table Continued 11

* 1. Mean of Physico-chemical parameters for the months of

October ±January (Dry Season) for life and savanna effluents 110

* 1. Mean of Physico-chemical parameters for the months of

October ±January (Dry Season) for KP and OBISCO effluents 112

* 1. Mean of Physico-chemical parameters for the months of

October ±January (Dry Season) for Golden and RIMCO effluents 114

* 1. Pooled Mean of the Physico-Chemical Parameters of the Effluents

in the rainy season (June ±September) 117

* 1. Pooled Mean of the Physico-Chemical Parameters of the Effluents

in the dry season (October ±January) 120

* 1. Pollution Index of the Physicochemical Parameters of the Effluents 142
  2. Presumptive Test Results of Effluent Samples in both Seasons 144
  3. Differential/Confirmatory Test Result of Effluents for Rainy Season 146
  4. Differential/Confirmatory Test Result of Effluents for Rainy Season 148
  5. Differential/Confirmatory Test Result of Effluents for Rainy Season 150
  6. Differential/Confirmatory Test Result of Effluents for Dry Season 152
  7. Differential/Confirmatory Test Result of Effluents for Dry Season 154
  8. Differential /Confirmatory Test Result of Effluents for Dry Season 156
  9. Organic Pollutants by GC ±MS in Effluent from Life Breweries, Onitsha

(P1) 159

* 1. Organic Pollutants by GC ±MS in Effluent from Life Breweries Onitsha

(P2) 161

* 1. Organic Pollutants by GC ±MS in Effluent from Life Breweries Onitsha

(P3) 163

* 1. Organic Pollutants by GC ±MS in Savanna Effluent 164

List of Table Continued 12

* 1. Organic Pollutants by GC ±MS in Effluent from KP Beverages, Ogidi

(P1) 166

* 1. Organic Pollutants by GC ±MS in Effluent from KP Beverages, Ogidi

(P2) 167

* 1. Organic Pollutants by GC ±MS in Effluent from KP Beverages, Ogidi

(P3) 169

* 1. Organic Pollutants by GC ±MS in Effluent from OBISCO Beverages,

Ogidi (P1) 170

* 1. Organic Pollutants by GC ±MS in Effluent from OBISCO Beverages,

Ogidi (P2) 171

* 1. Organic Pollutants by GC ±MS in Effluent from OBISCO Beverages,

Ogidi (P3) 172

* 1. Organic Pollutants by GC ±MS in Effluent from Golden Vegetable Oil, Onitsha (P1) 175
  2. Organic Pollutants by GC ±MS in Effluent from Golden Vegetable Oil, Onitsha (P2) 176
  3. Organic Pollutants by GC ±MS in Effluent from Golden Vegetable Oil, Onitsha (P3) 177
  4. Organic Pollutants by GC ±MS in Effluent from RIMCO, Nnewi (P1) 179
  5. Organic Pollutants by GC ±MS in Effluent from RIMCO, Nnewi (P2) 180
  6. Organic Pollutants by GC ±MS in Effluent from RIMCO, Nnewi (P3) 182

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **LIST OF FIGURES** |  |
| 1.1 | Map of Anambra State | **Page**  11 |
| 4.1 | Mercury Levels by Season and Locations | 75 |
| 4.2 | Lead Levels by Season and Locations | 77 |
| 4.3 | Iron Levels by Season and Locations | 79 |
| 4.4 | Chromium Levels by Season and Locations | 81 |
| 4.5 | Nickel Levels by Season and Locations | 83 |
| 4.6 | Copper Levels by Season and Locations | 84 |
| 4.7 | Gold Levels by Season and Locations | 85 |
| 4.8 | Tin Levels by Season and Locations | 86 |
| 4.9 | Manganese Levels by Season and Locations | 87 |
| 4.10 | Silver Levels by Season and Locations | 88 |
| 4.11 | Arsenic Levels by Season and Locations | 89 |
| 4.12 | Cadmium Levels by Season and Locations | 91 |
| 4.13 | Zinc Levels by Season and Locations | 93 |
| 4.14 | Cobalt Levels by Season and Locations | 95 |
| 4.15 | Bismuth Levels by Season and Locations | 97 |
| 4.16 | Selenium Levels by Season and Locations | 99 |
| 4.17 | pH Values by Season and Locations | 122 |
| 4.18 | Alkalinity Levels by Season and Locations | 124 |
| 4.19 | Total Hardness Levels by Season and Locations | 126 |
| 4.20 | Nitrate Content Levels by Season and Locations | 128 |
| 4.21 | Sulphate Levels by Season and Locations | 130 |
| 4.22 | Chloride Levels by Season and Locations | 131 |
| 4.23 | Total Solids Levels by Season and Locations | 133 |

List of Figure Continued

* 1. Total Dissolved Solid Content by Season and Locations 135
  2. Total Suspended Solids Levels by Season and Locations 136
  3. Bicarbonate Content Levels by Season and Locations 137
  4. Hydroxyl Content Levels by Season and Locations 138
  5. Acidity Levels by Season and Locations 140

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **LIST OF APPENDICES** |  |
| 1a. | Heavy Metal Levels for the month of June 2010 | **Page**  202 |
| 1b. | Heavy Metal Levels for the Month of July, 2010 | 203 |
| 1c. | Heavy Metal Levels for the month of August 2010 | 204 |
| 1d. | Heavy metal levels for the month of September 2010 | 205 |
| 1e. | Heavy metal levels for the month of October, 2010 | 206 |
| 1f. | Heavy metal levels for the month of November 2010 | 207 |
| 1g. | Heavy metal levels for the month of December 2010 | 208 |
| 1h. | Heavy metal levels for the month of January 2011 | 209 |
| 2a. | Physico-chemical parameters for the month of June 2010 | 210 |
| 2b. | Physico-chemical parameters for the month of July 2010 | 211 |
| 2c. | Physico-chemical parameters for the month of August 2010 | 212 |
| 2d. | Physico-chemical Parameters for the month of September 2010 | 213 |
| 2e. | Physico-chemical Parameters for the month of October 2010 | 214 |
| 2f. | Physico-chemical Parameters for the month of November 2010 | 215 |
| 2g. | Physico-chemical Parameters for the month of December 2010 | 216 |
| 2h. | Physico-chemical Parameters for the month of January 2011 | 217 |
| 3a. | Dependent Variable: Mercury | 218 |
| 3b. | Dependent Variable: Iron | 219 |
| 3c. | Dependent Variable: Nickel | 220 |
| 3d. | Dependent Variable: Gold | 221 |
| 3e. | Dependent Variable: Marnganese | 222 |
| 3f. | Dependent Variable: Arsenic | 223 |
| 3g. | Dependent Variable: Zinc | 224 |
| 3h. | Dependent Variable: Bismuth | 225 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 4a. | Tests of Between-Subjects Effects for Mercury | 226 |
| 4b. | ANOVA Table of between-subject effects for Iron | 227 |
| 4c. | ANOVA Table of between-Subject Effects for Lead | 228 |
| 4d. | ANOVA Table of between-Subjects Effects for Chromium | 229 |
| 4e. | ANOVA Table Between-Subjects Effect for Cadmium | 230 |
| 4f. | ANOVA Table Between-Subject Effect Bismuth | 231 |
| 4g. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects Cobalt | 232 |
| 4h. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects for Arsenic | 233 |
| 4i. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects for Selenium | 234 |
| 4j. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects for Zinc | 235 |
| 4k. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects for Silver | 236 |
| 5a. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects for pH | 237 |
| 5b. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects for Total Alkalinity | 238 |
| 5c. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects for Total Hardness | 239 |
| 5d. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects for Hydroxyl Content | 240 |
| 5e. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects for Bicarbonate Content | 241 |
| 5f. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects for Nitrate Content | 242 |
| 5g. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects for Chloride Content | 243 |
| 5h. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects for Sulphate Content | 244 |
| 5i. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects for Total Solids | 245 |
| 5j. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects for Total SS | 246 |
| 5k. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects for TDS | 247 |
| 5l. | ANOVA Table of Between-Subjects Effects for Acidity | 248 |

**LIST OF ABBREVIATION**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| NA | Not Available |
| BDL | Below Detection Limit |
| P1 | Point of Discharge of Effluent |
| P2 | 15 Meters away from the Point of Discharge of Effluent |
| P3 | 30 Meters away from the Point of Discharge of Effluent |
| SD | Standard Deviation |
| SE | Standard Error |
| RIMCO | Resource Improvement and Manufacturing Company |
| KP | King Size Pharmaceuticals |
| TS | Total Solid |
| TSS | Total Suspended Solids |
| TDS | Total Dissolved Solids |
| MPN | Most Probable Number of Coliform Organisms |
| FMENV | Federal Ministry of Environment |
| GC ±MS | Gas Chromatography Mass Spectoscopy |
| CV | Coefficient of Variation |

# CHAPTER ONE

* 1. **INTRODUCTION**

Industrial effluent discharges are world wide sources of potential pollution (Ajao, 1985). Acute toxicity tests give firsthand information on the effects of such discharges on organisms and the ecosystem as a whole and are valuable in creating awareness as to the potential harmful effects of such industrial discharges to the environment (Ajao, 1985). Industrialization develops the world and makes life better. Ironically, human lives are haunted by the huge volume of waste products of industries dumped in the environment. With the current emphasis on environmental health and water pollution issues, there is an increasing awareness of the need to dispose of these waste waters safely and beneficially. Hillman, (1988) has drawn attention to the particular concern attached to heavy metals, and carcinogens, mainly organic chemicals.

Moreover, too many processing plants in one area will eventually overwhelm natural ecosystems nearby, frequently causing unwanted fertilization and eutrophication of coastal waters. Local impacts are particularly obvious because waste from processing industries are generally produced throughout the year giving no chance for the environment to recover. Impacts are likely to be detrimental when the same ecosystem receives wastes from cluster of processing industries (Bagge-Ravn, *et al.,* 2003). If wastes are organic in nature, they are subject to bacterial decay. As a result, the oxygen concentration in the water is reduced with an increase in Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD). This can starve aquatic life of the oxygen it needs and anaerobic decomposition of organic matter leads to the breakdown of proteins and other nitrogenous compounds, releasing hydrogen sulphide, ammonia and methane, all of which are potentially hazardous to the ecosystem and toxic to marine organisms in low concentration. Agbese, (1998) asserted that industrial pollution is gradually changing Nigeria¶s

environment as many towns and villages have been polluted by toxic wastes. In these industries, there appear to be no organization programmes for disposal of effluents and industrial wastes. Many factories discharge their wastes directly into rivers, streams or into open gutters causing serious environmental problems. The pollutants generated from the industries are of great diversity structurally and include toxic metals, organic and inorganic solids and liquids (Okotere, 1982).

# Background of Study

Each step in the food industry system-food production, processing, transportation, storage, distribution and marketing-has some impact on the environment and there is much concern about environmental pollution. Due to the highly diversified nature of the food industry, various food processing, handling, and packaging operations create wastes of different quality and quantity which, if not treated, could lead to increasing disposal and severe pollution problems. Additionally, they represent a loss of valuable biomass and nutrients if not recovered by appropriate methods of technologies for upgrading, bioconversion or reutilization (Kroyer, 1995).

The diverse food processing industries include a range of sectors such as the following: Alcoholic and non-alcoholic beverages, fruits and vegetables, diary products, meat and fish, grain mill and bakery products, sugar and confectionary products, fats and oils and frozen packaged, and convenience foods, among others.

The most common environmental concerns in the industry according to Osho *et al.,* (2010), are water consumption and waste water discharge, chemicals used in processing and cleaning, packaging reduction and disposal, and food scraps and refuse. Energy efficiency and greenhouse gas emissions are increasingly important as well (Tehobanoglous *et al*., 2003; Beychok, 1967). Food industry effluents are generally

characterized by high contents of biodegradable pollutants; suspended substances constitute a large part of these pollutants, in addition to the high biological oxygen demand (BOD) and chemical oxygen demand (COD) concentrations of the effluents (Shahidul, *et al.,* 2010). Some effluents contain oils and grease, some toxic materials (e.g. cyanide).

Effluents from food and beverage factories contain degradable organic pollutants. Some effluents contain, while some lack nutritious materials suitable for microbial growth. Effluents of canned fruit and soda beverages, for example, contain high percentage of sugar, very low percentage of protein, and little nitrogen or phosphorous. Thus biological growth according to Ajao, (1985) in these effluents, therefore, is rather weak.

The constituents of food and agriculture wastewater are often complex to predict due to the differences in BOD and pH in effluents from vegetable, fruit and meat products and due to the nature of food processing and post harvesting. Processing of food from raw- material require large volumes of high grade water. Vegetable washing generates waters with high load of particulate matter and some dissolved organics and may also contain surfactants. Animal slaughter and processing produces very strong organic waste from body fluids, such as blood and gut contents. Thus, wastewater is frequently contaminated by significant levels of antibiotics and growth hormones from the animals and by a variety of pesticides used to control external parasites. Effluents generally have an adverse effect on water bodies such as lakes, rivers, oceans and ground water and this is caused by human activities (Abel, 1996; Nascimes *et al*., 2000). Pollutants in water include a wide spectrum of chemicals, pathogens and physical chemistry or sensory changes. Wastewaters can be contaminated by feed-stock materials, by-

products, product material in soluble or particulate form, washing and cleaning agents, solvents and added value products such as plasticizers.

The specification for releasing various types of industrial effluents into the public sewer network or to surface water courses is not only determined by the concentration of biological oxygen demand (BOD) of organic and inorganic elements, which vary from country to country, but also requires the water to have several additional characteristics. The specifications for effluents discharge are that it:

1. Does not affect the safety or health of sewer network workers.
2. Does not affect the sewer pipes
3. Does not affect the treatment processes in water treatment plants. In addition to these, the required specification of industrial effluents released into public sewers include the following:
   1. BOD concentration should not exceed approximately 20mg/L.
   2. Suspended solids concentration should not exceed approximately 600mg/L.
   3. No release of grease, oils or nitrogen compounds (due to their impacts on the treatment process).
   4. No release of explosives or toxic materials (due to their potential impacts on the safety and health of the sewer network workers).
   5. Sulphate concentration should be less than 100mg/L, and the pH value should be between 6-10 (to protect the sewer pipes).

Wastewater contains offensive and potentially dangerous substances which cause pollution and contamination of receiving water bodies (Schudram and Shaw, 2000). One of the most important factors of water pollution is the microbial contamination, especially with pathogenic micro-organisms. Enteric pathogens are typically responsible for several waterborne sicknesses (Niewhick, 1998; Sabae, 2004).

Contamination of water is a serious environmental problem as it adversely affects human health and the biodiversity in the aquatic ecosystem. Dangers to public health from polluted water comes not only from direct use of the water, but also from consuming fish that have lived in polluted streams. Many poisonous substances are absorbed by fish, often in a concentrated form, hence eating of fish from polluted water may be even more hazardous than drinking water. Water transmitted diseases have been the cause of high mortality rates in rural areas. Some deaths have been linked to water polluted by non-treated wastes originating from domestic and industrial activities. Nigeria is a typical example of such a situation. To make matters worse, Benka-Coker and Ojior, (1995) and Nieto, (2000), noted that contaminated water is used for abattoir cleaning, which of course aid in the spread of disease-causing organisms. The above researchers associated human health with the possibility of accumulation of pathogenic enteric micro-organisms by aquatic organisms.

Only a few colony-forming units (cfu) are necessary for infection in predisposed subjects. The use of indicator bacteria such as faecal coliforms (fc) in water quality determination on fresh water source is widely known (You-Joe *et al.*, 2003). Currently, coliforms and *Esherichia coli*are of great importance among bacterial indicators used in water quality definition and health risk (Giannoulis *et al.,* 2005). However, operational evaluation of microbial load of wastewater (biologically) is often complicated because of variation in raw waste water composition, strength and flow rate owing to the changing and complex nature of the treatment processes (Akaninwor and Gwin, 2006). Pathogens are a serious concern for managers of wastewater because excessive amount of faecal bacteria in sewage and urban runoff, according to Fleisher *et al*., (1998) have been known to indicate risk of pathogen-induced illnesses in humans, thus,

identification of these pathogenic agents in water resource is beneficial for controlling and preventing the infectious diseases.

Together with essential nutrients, plants and animals also take up small amounts of contaminant heavy metal compounds and can concentrate them. As certain heavy metals such as lead, cadmium and mercury have been recognized to be potentially toxic within specific limiting values, a considerable potential hazard exists for human nutrition. Some metals are naturally found in the body and are essential to human health. Iron, for example, prevents anemia, and zinc is a co-factor in over 100 enzymes (Schumacher *et al.*, 1993). They normally occur at low concentrations and are known as trace metals. In high doses, they may be toxic to the body or produce deficiencies in other trace metals, for example, high levels of zinc can result in a deficiency of copper, another metal required by the body.

Heavy or toxic metals according to Abdulla and Chmielnicka, (1990) are trace metals which in their standard state have a specific gravity (density) of more than about 5g/cm3 (Density at least 5 times that of water) and as such, are stable elements (they cannot be metabolized by the body). They are bio-accumulative (passed up the food chain to humans). Once liberated into the environment, through the air, drinking water, food or countless human-made chemicals and products, heavy metals enter and

acFXPXODWH LQ WKH ERG\ WLVVXHV IDVWHU WK

dispose of them, a gradual build up of these toxins will occur. Some of them such as copper, nickel, and iron, for example, are essential in very low concentrations for the survival of all forms of life and are described as essential trace elements (Abdulla and Chmielnicka, 1990). Only when they are present in greater quantities, can these, like the heavy metals, lead, chromium and mercury which are already toxic in very low concentrations, cause metabolic anomalies. High concentration exposure is not

necessary to produce a state of toxicity in the body, as heavy metals accumulate in body tissues and overtime, can reach toxic concentration levels.

Heavy metal exposure is not an entirely modern phenomenon. Silva *et al.,* (1993) have cited the contamination of wine and grape drinks by lead-lined jugs and cooking pots as a contributing factor in the decline and fall of the Roman empire, and the Mad hatter character in Alice in Wonderland was likely modeled after nineteenth-century hat makers who used mercury to stiffen hat material and frequently became psychotic from mercury toxicity. Human exposure to heavy metals has risen dramatically in the last years, probably, as a result of an exponential increase in the use of heavy metals in industrial processes and products. Today, chronic exposure comes from mercury- amalgam dental fillings, lead in paints and tap water, chemical residues in processed

IRRGV DQG ³SHUV(cRosmQeDticOs, shaFmDpoUo Han´d othSerUhaRirGpXrodFucWts,V

mouth wash, toothpaste, soap among others (Abdulla and Chmielnicka, 1990).

# Research Problem

With the gradual increase in the establishment of food industries in the state, especially at Onitsha, Nnewi and Ogidi, chronic effects of industrial wastes pollution is likely to be inevitable. Ideally, physical location of industries should strike a balance between socioeconomic and environmental considerations. In developing countries such as Nigeria, this is determined by various criteria, some of which are environmentally unacceptable, thereby posing serious threat to public health. Significant in this respect is the establishment of industrial estates along side residential areas in most state capitals and large urban centres in Nigeria. Already, some of the food industries are at a loss on the most effective way of disposing their wastes. Meanwhile, serious cases of contamination within the immediate environment have been observed (Adebisi and Fayemiwo, 2010). The situation, will no doubt, worsen where no attempt is made to

address the problem. This research was initiated in an attempt to assist in providing a solution to this problem by overall assessment of the pollutant load of effluents from these food processing industries.

# Scope of Study

This research involves collection of waste water samples from the following food processing industries in Anambra State on monthly basis, ranging from June, 2010 to January, 2011; spanning a period of eight (8) months. The food industries are (a) Resource Improvement and Manufacturing Co. Ltd; Akwu Uru, Umudim Nnewi (RIMCO), (b) Life Breweries Nigeria Ltd, Onitsha, maker of Life Breweries and Savanna soft drinks, (c) King Size Pharmaceutical Company (KP) Ltd Ogidi, (d) Golden Vegetable Oil Onitsha, and (e) Obisco Distilling and Hot Drinks Ogidi. The samples were analysed quantitatively using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) Py Unican 1900 and other physiochemical methods. Also, microbiological examination were performed to determine the level of microbial contamination. It is intended that the parameters so obtained will give an indication of the level of the pollutants in the wastes waster.

# Aim and Objectives of Study

This work is aimed at evaluating the heavy metal loads and some organic and microbial contaminants from the effluents of some food processing industries in Anambra State, Nigeria.

The specific objectives of this study are as follows;

1. To determine physicochemical characteristics of the effluents in the two seasons.
2. To determine the microbiological loads of the effluents during the two seasons
3. To determine the pollution index of the effluents during the two seasons.
4. To determine the level of organic pollutants in the effluents.

# Importance of Study

Since a large number of food industries use a lot of water in their operations, a considerable volume of wastewater emanates from them. As industrial effluents are as varied in nature as industries themselves, the problem of pollution gets further aggravated as no standard procedure for treatment can be recommended. For a variety of reasons, industrial effluents are generally discharged into water courses either untreated or inadequately treated. This according to WHO, (2002), has created a problem of surface and sub-soil water pollution.

This work, no doubt, will help in Post Environemtnal Monitoring of the area/surrounding where these industries are situated so that the dangers posed by these effluents can be handled most effectively and efficiently.


# The Study Area

Located in the South-East Nigeria, Anambra State is bound by Delta State to the West, Imo State to the South, Enugu State to the East and Kogi State to the North (Fig. 1). The food industries investigated were life Breweries Ltd, located at 87/97 Port Harcourt Road, Onitsha, Onitsha North Local Government Area of Anambra State. This industry produces Life Breweries and Savana soft drinks and had their effluent channeled into a drainage system that empties into Niger River. Golden vegetable oil was the next, situated at Plot 51A Harbour Industrial Layout, off Atani Road, Onitsha. Produces Golden Vegetable Oil and had its effluent discharged into open gutter which also empties into Niger River. Obisco Industries is situated at Umuonyejekwe Layout, Otigba Crescent, Ogidi, producers of flavoured black gold whisky, Sir Peters dry gin and ponche. King Size Pharmaceutical (KP) company, located at kilometer 15 Enugu/Onitsha express road, Ogidi produces KP brandy, dark rum, shnapps, satchet and bottled water, drugs such as asprin, syrup and paracetamol. Both companies are in

Idemili North L.G.A and also channelled their wastewater into the nearest drainage that HLWKHU HPSWLHV LQWR µRGRRGR¶pr oveRmUent anµd RILDN

Manufacturing Company (RIMCO), Akwu Uru Industrial Estate, Umudim, Nnewi produces Life Vegetable Oil, soaps, plastic tanks and jerry cans, master feeds and lubricants (A ±Z engine oil). This company channeled its wastewater into an open

guttHU WKDW HPSWLHV LQWR (OH 5LYHU µ0PLUL



# Fig. 1: Map of Anambra State

**CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW**

Industrial effluents containing toxic chemicals and heavy metals pollute several surface waters due to indiscriminate discharge of these wastes into rivers, streams, lakes, seas and on land. Mercury is one of the heavy metals, in a group that includes lead, cadmium, plutonium and others. Some marine algae may harbour heavy metals in concentration of up to one hundred times that of the water in which they are living, small fish eating the algae develop high concentrations of heavy metal in their flesh; larger fishes that eat the smaller fishes concentrate the metal still further, and so on up

to fish eating biUGV RU DQLPDOV 0HUW] ,Q escaping exposure to toxic chemicals and metals.

Most industrial effluents contain non-biodegradable toxic and hazardous wastes which bioaccumulate in living organisms when consumed. These wastes, according to Kaviraj and Guhathakurata, (2004) and Environmental Protection Agency, (1991), pose high health risks as well as threatening coastal and eustuarine fishes on which most rural populace, especially in the riverine areas, depend on for their livelihood.

Heavy metals cannot be degraded biochemically in nature. The stability of these materials therefore allows them to be transported to considerable distances by water; and as a result, their levels in the upper members of the food chain can reach values significantly high to cause health hazards, when such organisms are used as food by man. Some of these heavy metals are clearly inorganic form at the time of discharge and do undergo further biotransformation inside the organisms that consume them which render them extremely dangerous as reported by Montagomery, (1995) and Ezekwe, (2002). In general, therefore, selecting a treatment method for industrial effluents depends primarily on the following:

* + 1. Identifying the various pollutants present in the effluent.
    2. Characterizing the effluent
    3. Regulating the sewers and separating the waste streams
    4. Selecting the treatment technology based on the different available physical, chemical or biological treatment capabilities.

The effects of heavy metal toxicity studies confirm that heavy metals can directly influence behaviour by impairing mental and neurological function, influencing neurotransmitter production and utilization, and altering numerous metabolic body processes. Systems in which toxic metal elements can induce impairment and dysfunction include the blood and cardiovascular, detoxification pathways (colon, liver, kidneys, skin), endocrine (hormonal), energy production pathways, enzymatic, gastrointestinal, immune, nervous (central and peripheral), reproductive and urinary (Groten and VanBladersen, 1994).

Much of the damage produced by toxic metals stems from the proliferation of oxidative free-radicals. A free-radical is an energetically unbalanced molecule, composed of an

unpaired HOHFWURQ LW ³VWHDOV´ DQ HOHFWURQ IU Free radicals result naturally when cell molecules react with oxygen (oxidation), but,

with a heavy toxic load or existing antioxidant deficiencies, uncontrolled free radical production occurs which if not checked, can cause tissue damage throughout the body; free-radical damage underlines all generative diseases. Antioxidants such as Vitamin A, C and E curtail free-radical activity.

Urbanization and industrialization develop countries economically, but lead to environmental pollution. The main effect of urbanization is increased run off which causes increased erosion thereby making the water muddy which is a type of pollution.

In addition to this, many new and sometimes toxic chemicals are added to the environment by various food processing plants.

Several human activities have indirect or devastating effects on water quality, aquatic environment and the human environment in general-such activities include accidental or unauthorized release of chemical substances, discharge of untreated wastewater or leaching of noxious liquids from solid waste disposal sites (Freeze and Cherry, 1999; Ojelabi and Fasunwan, 2001; Okonkwo *et al*., 2006). Many organic compounds occurring naturally and the synthetic ones are widely used as herbicides and pesticides, as well as in a variety of industrial processes. The negative effects on organisms vary with the particular type of compound, some are carcinogenic, toxic, directly to humans or other organisms, and make water unpalatable; and some accumulate in organisms. Industries producing pesticides, plastics, chlorine, caustic soda, pulp and paper introduce into the environment (soil & water) heavy metals such as mercury (Montagomery, 1995; Ezekwe, 2002). Analysis or the use of whole organisms according to Abdulrachman and Yahay, (2006), Okoye *et al.,* (2002) to evaluate the concentration of heavy metals in lower animals such as fish and crabs gave startling results. A lack of suitable processing variable limits the effective control of effluent quality (Agedengbe *et al.,* 2003). In recent years, the efficacy of industrial waste water evaluation has focused on new technology rather than conventional method such as ion- exchange, chemical precipitation and solvent extraction among others which are prohibitively expensive and inefficient, thus the need for the use of potential microorganisms in their treatment or in some cases, recycling is done as reported by Technologous *et al.,* (2003). The average daily amount of waste in the sewage produced by individual industry is customarily expressed by a related Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (Yang, 1996).

# Impact of food processing effluents on the environment:

A wide variety of complex chemicals that can cause severe biotic damage at low concentrations and threaten the function of life include industrial additives, surfactants, SHVWLFLGHV ³ZRQGHU GUXJV´ SKDUPDFHXWLF

effluents from treatment plants, in drainage from agricultural land and settlements, and in leakages from septic tanks as well as land fills with their piles of corroding consumer products

In Nigeria, studies of wastewater effluents from six tanneries of Kano and Sokoto states have been carried out to characterize the composition of the effluents for possible environmental pollution risks (Lawal and Singh, 1981). Work has also been done on the chemical and biological analysis of effluents from Life Breweries, Onitsha; Anambra State of Nigeria, to monitor the level of its contamination of Niger River which serves as the receiver of these wastes (Igboeli, 1995).

The pollution of ground water and importance of ground water have been discussed by many workers including Okon *et al*., (1971); Mara *et al*., (1998), Leopold, (1977) and Ajiwe *et al*., (1986). Again, the global call for safe potable water has led to the analysis of ground and surface waters by some workers in Nigeria (Ajayi and Adeleye, 1977; Okoye, 1986). Industrial effluents, fertilizers from farm lands, diesel from pleasure boats, are possible pollutants of rivers and their environment (Raymond, 1992). Studies on the effects of human activities and industrial effluents on Nigerian biota have focused on various macro benthic invertebrates and fish (Ajao, 1985; Arimoro *et al.,* 2008 and Edokplayi, 1993). Acute toxicity tests of cassava and rubber effluents on the *oxtracoda stradesia prava kile*, 1935 (*crustacean ostracoda*) have been studied by Onyedineke *et al.,* (2000). According to the research, effluents resulting from the

cassava mill plants are often directly or indirectly discharged into aquatic system without any prior treatment. Cassava wastewater contains unextracted starch, cellulose and carbohydrates, as reported by Abiona *et al.,* (2005). Cynogens and glycosides are easily hydrolysed into hydrogen cyanide which is toxic to aquatic animals and pose serious threat to the environment (Abiona *et al.,* (2000). The study also noted that effluents from rubber processing plants also find their way into the aquatic systems and contain both organic and inorganic matter that originate from the natural latex rubber and from chemicals used in processing such as ammonia, formic acid, sodium meta- bisulphite and sodium sulphite (Abiona *et al*., 2000; Kantachote *et al.,* 2005).

Array of chemicals and industrial materials leak from pipelines, storage tanks, and holding pond. Among these pollutants are classified as hazardous, meaning they are either inflammable, corrosive, explosive or toxic. As rain water percolates through the soil, it carries pollutants to the water table where they mix with the ground water and contaminate the supply. Because, ground water movements are usually slow, polluted water may go undetected for a long time (Odoemena, 1999).

Most often neglected is the damage done to property through corrosive attack resulting from the discharge of acids and occasionally of other industrial wastes into the fresh water resource. Corrosion of steel objects such as ships, damage to concrete structures in the stream and corrosion of piping, pumps, valves and other equipment in plants using contaminated water are quite common. There is evidence also that organic and inorganic chemicals in waste water used for irrigation can under certain circumstances accumulate in the plant and present potential toxic risks to consumers of agricultural products (Kaviraj and Guhathakurata, 2004). The principal health hazards associated with the chemical constituents of wastewaters, therefore, arise from the contamination of crops or ground waters. Hillman, (1998) has drawn attention to the particular

concern attached to the cumulative poisons, principally heavy metals, and carcinogens, mainly organic chemicals. In view of the possible accumulation of certain toxic elements in plants (for example, cadmium, and selenium), the intake of toxic materials through eating the crops irrigated with contaminated wastewater must be carefully assessed. Many cities in the developing countries (like Nigeria) have been developed without adequate and proper planning, thus leading to indiscriminate actions including dumping of wastes in and around water bodies, washing and taking baths in rivers; and the use of rivers varies from one locality to another and so are the involvements, demands for its use accordingly, from fish farming to transportation, laundry and convenient points of waste discharge from both home and food processing industries, to recreation and do serve the domestic needs of the people for drinking water (Njoku and Onyeka, 2007).

Some non-metallic elements commonly used in industries are also potentially toxic to aquatic lives and to some extent to human beings: chlorine is widely used to kill bacteria in municipal water, sewage treatment plants and to destroy various micro organisms found in plumbing lines in water works stations. Chlorine can also kill or harm fish population (Chukwu, 2008; Marce *et al.,* 1993). Acids from industrial operations and acid mine drainages especially in coal and sulphide areas remain serious sources of surface and ground water pollution (Obodo, 2004; Odoemena *et al.,* 1999). Moreover, the runoff water from fertilized fields carries some of the fertilizers to rivers. In rivers and lakes, the fertilizer provides nutrients that increase the growth of algae. The algae use up the oxygen dissolved in the water, and the lack of oxygen causes the death of fish and other aquatic lives. Phosphates in laundry detergents have the same effect. Hence, the use of fertilizers as well as detergents results in eutrophication of

water body. Pesticides used on crops get into rivers in this way too (Ajiwe and Okonkwo, 2007).

Problems from most of the above categories can be expected if untreated effluent is allowed to enter a river. The severity of the problem will, of course, depend on the volume of the effluent and the size of the receiving river or stream. There is the opinion that a flood-plain river can receive large amount of pollution because it is all washed out each rainy season, this may apply to some extent to inorganic pollutants such as some metals which require years to build up to toxic level (Sundaresan, 1977; Council of European Community, 1980). The situation is, however, quite different with biological pollutants. Here, we are dealing with infections and pathogenic agents which can produce their effects (in the spread of disease) within hours of entering the drinking water (UNEP, 2002). It therefore becomes imperative that effluent from food and other related industries are treated adequately so as to make them harmless at their point of discharge. Polluted water may contain pathogens and disease-producing organisms such as fungi, bacteria, viruses, protozoa, parasites and worms which are vectors that carry and spread diseases like salmonellosis, dysentery, diarrhea, typhoid

IHYHU DQG RWKHU UHODWHG GLVHDVHV (DU

contamination of water by effluents from food industries, is a serious environmental problem as it adversely affects the human health and the biodiversity in the aquatic ecosystem.

# Heavy Metals

Heavy metals are contaminants that may occur as residues in food from the environment and industrial activities or from food processing. The term heavy metal refers to metallic chemical elements that have relatively high density (at least 5.0), are toxic or poisonous at low concentration values. They are natural components of the

HDUWK¶V FUXVW WKDW FDQQRW EH GHJUDGHG RU

transition metals, some metalloids, lanthanides and actinides. Examples as reported by Alloway, (1995) include copper, zinc, selenium, iron, lead, mercury, cadmium and silver among others. Heavy metals are also classified based on density, atomic weight, chemical toxicity in relation to living organisms. An alternative term to heavy metal is toxic metal of which no consensus of exact definition exists. Some of these metals such as cobolt, chromium, copper, manganese, molydenium and zinc are not left out of

the list of heavy metals (Lee, 2007). HHDY\ PHWDOV PD\ DOVR EH

HOHPHQWV´ EHFDXVH WKH\ RFFXU LQ FRQFHQWU

0.01% or 100mg/kg) in rocNV RI WKH HDUcWhioKe¶t. aVl., 20F07U). XTVheWtra ce 0DUFR

elements or heavy metals often called micronutrients such as zinc, copper and manganese are useful to crops, while cobolt, manganese, copper and zinc are useful to live stock (Egeronu and Ibe, 2004). These metals that cannot be bio-degraded chemically in nature include cobolt, zinc, manganese, magnesium, copper, lead, nickel, cadmium and mercury (Earth Watch, 1995; Okoro, 1981; Jordao *et al*., 2004, Mombeshora *et al*., 1981).

Zinc is an essential component of about a hundred enzymes. This number is smaller in vertebrates. Zinc deficiency in man leads to dwarfism, reduced rates of blood clothing and wound healing, skin abnormalities and other problems (Alloway, 1995). The requirement, doses and tolerance levels of essential or trace elements are decided on the basis of effect on growth, health, fertility and other relevant criteria (Elinder and Jarup, 1996).

Breathing heavy metal particles, even at level well below those considered non-toxic, can have serious health effects. Virtually all aspects of animal and human immune system function are compromised by the inhalation of heavy metal particulates. In

addition, heavy metals can increase allergic reactions, cause mutation, compete with

³JRRG´ WUDFH PHWDOV IRU ELRFKHPLFDO ERQ

harmful and beneficial bacteria (Oskarsson, 1989). Heavy metals can also increase the acidity of the blood. The body draws calcium from the bones to help restore the proper blood pH. Further, toxic metals set up conditions that lead to inflammation in arteries and tissues, causing more calcium to be drawn to the area as a buffer. The calcium coats the inflamed areas in the blood vessels like a bandage, patching up one problem but creating another, namely, the hardening of the artery walls and progressive blockage of the arteries. Without replenishment of calcium, the constant removal of this important mineral from the bone result in osteoporosis (loss of bone density leading to brittle bones).

Current studies by Baroni, *et al.,* (2007), and Barros*, et al*., (2007) indicate that even minute levels of toxic elements have negative health consequences, however, these vary from person to person. Nutrition status, metabolic rate, the integrity of detoxification pathways (Ability to detoxify toxic substances), and the mode and degree of heavy metal exposure, all affect how an individual responds. According to Nriagu *et al.,* (2007), children and the elderly whose immune systems are either underdeveloped or age-compromised, are more vulnerable to toxicity. These heavy metals produced by manufacturing industries have been the main cause of the alterations of the quality of the surface and underground water bodies. In places where these heavy chemicals and metals are produced, the concentration of these contaminants have been found to be very high on the soil, surface and underground water bodies. The inhabitants of these environments consequently become the endangered species. Cases of kidney failure, liver problems, blood infections, heart failures and extinction of aquatic organisms are common hazards (Myong, 2001; Nwaje and Iwegbue, 2007).

# The toxic heavy Metals

# Lead:

**The Origin of Lead in Foodstuffs**

Lead has been mined since ancient times and has been processed in many ways. For

example, for water pipes, containers and, as aceWDWH HYHQ IRU VZHHW VXJDU´*e* *t a* *l.,* 19693L).OWYoDrld production amounts to millions of tons and is used

in the manufacture of accumulators, solders, pigments, cables and anti-rust agents (red/lead/lead/oxide) (Bosshard and Zimmerli, 1994). The main sources of lead pollution in the environment are: Industrial products and their emissions, road traffic with leaded petrol, the smoke and dust emissions of coal and gas-fired stations, the laying of lead sheets by roofers as well as the use of paints and anti-rust agents (Schuman, 1993; Sada and Odomerho, 1988).

Problems for foodstuff were caused for a long time, and are still caused today on occasion, by the soldered seams of cans and the soldered closures of condensed milk cans, the metal caps of wine bottles and, still by lead pipes in drinking water systems. As regards to toxic effects, lead can trigger both acute and chronic symptoms of poisoning. According to report by Maduabuchi *et. al.,* (2006) acute intoxications only occur through the consumption of relatively large single doses of soluble lead while chronic intoxications can arise through the regular consumption of foodstuffs only slightly contaminated with lead.

Lead is a typical cumulative poison. As a result of their comparatively high affinity for proteins, the lead ions consumed, bond with haemoglobin (red blood pigment) and the plasma protein of the blood (Abdulla and Chmielnicka, 1990). This leads to inhibition of the synthesis of red blood cells and thus of the vital transport of oxygen. If the

bonding capacity here is exceeded, lead passes into the bone-marrow, liver and kidneys, and according to Van Dokkum *et al.,* (1989), such an intoxication leads to the following:

1. Encephalopathies in the central nervous system (CNS)
2. Disturbances in kidney and liver functions progressing as far as necrosis.
3. Damage to the reproductive organs
4. Anaemias and many metabolic deficiency symptoms.

Particularly dangerous to all forms of life are the organic lead compounds. They cause injuries to mental development such as reduction of intelligence, growth disturbances and spasticity. Children are particularly at risk from lead consumption, both before and after birth, as they absorb lead more rapidly than adults (Timothy *et al.,* 1989). Particularly affected are small children, with their habit of placing dirty fingers and objects of all kinds into their mouths or licking them (so-called mouth/hand activity) and, in this way, swallowing dust and soil particles containing heavy metals, from lead- based paints.

# Present Contamination of Foodstuffs

In 1993, the Joint FAO/WHO Expert committee for Additives and Contaminants JECFA. (1989) reduced the value it had provisionally specified for adults in 1972, for tolerable lead consumption per week (PTW, Provisional Tolerable Weekly Intake), from 0.05mg/kg body weight to 0.02 mg (or 25µg). This is because research has revealed further harmful potentials in lead. The foodstuffs which contribute most to the consumption of lead are vegetables, fruits, drinking water, beverages and cereal product (Becker and Kumplaineni, 1991).

Fruits and vegetables mostly acquire their contamination through impurities in the air. The lead content in drinking water presents a problem in many countries. Thus, the present WHO guideline of 50µg/l is exceeded in Great Britain (JECFA, 1989). The World Health Organisation (WHO), stipulated respected minimum standard concentrations for these elements as numbers that will be present in the water bodies before they can be considered safe for use.

# Cadmium

This exists in low concentrations in all soils. It is actively extracted from its ores for commercial purposes and is also emitted in industrial processes such as metal smelting and refining, coal and oil fired power stations, electroplating plant (Jones, (1989). It is spread by air and water (sewage sludge) far over sea and land, especially in the vicinity of heavy industrial plants. Cadmium is today regarded as the most serious contaminant of the modern age. It is absorbed by many plants and sea creatures and, because of its toxicity, presents a major problem for foodstuffs. Contamination through fertilizers becomes an increasing problem. For its toxicity, it is concentrated particularly in the kidneys, the liver, the blood-forming organs and the lungs. Cd intoxication most frequently results in kidney damage (necrotic protein precipitation) and metabolic anomalies caused by enzyme inhibitions (Richtwete, 1986). It was discovered by Galas-Gorchev, (1991), that the Itai-itai sickness in Japan (with bone damage) was a result of the regular consumption of highly contaminated rice. Cadmium is extremely toxic and consumption of water high in cadmium could cause adverse health effect to the end users.

# Present Contamination of Foodstuffs:

Among the foodstuffs which present a problem are offal, crustaceans and shellfish. Here, values of several mg/kg are found (Darmond and Denton, 1990), however,

vegetables are of greater importance for human cadmium contamination. According to a research by Gazza, (1990) and Groten *et al.,* (1990), rice and wheat contain 10- 150µg/kg, meat, fish and fruit, between 1 and 50µg/kg but that of milk products is very low. The permissible cadmium contamination levels are based on the value last set by the FAO/WHO in 1989 of about 7µg/kg body weight, corresponding with a quantity of 0.4-0.5mg/person (70kg) per week. The typical quantities of cadmium consumed per person per day in the western industrialized societies are between 10 and 15µg, but these are considerably higher in some localities (JECFA, 1989).

Groten, *et al.,* (1990) argued that one problem of a special kind is the smoking of tobacco. According to them, 20 cigarettes a day, provide a cadmium input of 4µg.

# Mercury

This passes into the environment through emissions from chemical plants (plants, paper, chlorine, plant pesticides) and power stations, mostly in effluents and sludges. The situation in sea water according to Goya, (1996) is of particular significance. Mercury becomes concentrated in shellfish, crustaceans and fish and thus, also passes, in the form of highly toxic mercury methylate, into the human food chain.

In 1965, the consumption of fish from regions of the sea contaminated by effluent led to the appearance of the so-called Minamata sickness in Japan and, in 1972, bread from cereals contaminated with fungicides containing mercury led to epidemic poisoning in Iraq (Emoyan *et al.,* 2006; Reeves and Vanderpool, 1997).

In its toxic effects, mercury in the form of its methyl compounds is specifically the most toxic of the heavy metals (Goya, 1996). When consumed orally, it first passes into the liver, the kidneys and the brain. Accumulation only takes place temporarily. A large part is excreted with the faeces. The salts of bivalent mercury, in the case of

chronic consumption, first cause tiredness, loss of appetite and weight loss. In the end the kidneys fail. Muscular weakness and paralysis are typical (Chang, 1990).

The methyl mercury from animal foodstuffs also damages the central nervous system and the immune system.

# Present contamination of foodstuffs

Vegetable foodstuffs are only very slightly contaminated with mercury, except when they are grown in the vicinity of emitting industrial plants. Among the animal foodstuffs, it is practically only sea food which exhibits relatively high contents of mercury (Oskarsson, 1992; Obodo, 2004). A special case are animals which are fattened on contaminated fish meal. The FAO/WHO Expert Committee (JECFA) (1989), has set a provisional maximum acceptable value for mercury consumption at 5µg per kg body weight per week. The average consumption of mercury varies in uncontaminated parts of the world between 20 and 80µg per day, but in contaminated regions, it is often temporarily higher (Elinder and Jarup, 1996). At these consumption, however, the values quoted above are only reached in exceptional cases. Up to 80% of the quantities of mercury consumed, originate by way of the food chain, either directly or indirectly from sea food.

The target organ of organic mercury (methyl mercury) in humans is the brain, where it disrupts the blood balance, upsetting the metabolism of the nervous system. The main toxic effects of inorganic mercury are that it tends to disrupt the functions of the kidney and liver. Compared with the inorganic mercury, methyl mercury can much more easily cross the placenta and affect the foetus (Eleanor, 1980; Igbegbe *et al*., 1992; Eboatu and Okonkwo, 1999).

# Other heavy metals

Some heavy metals (the so-called trace elements) are essential in very small concentrations for the survival of all life forms, for example, copper, iron, zinc, chromium, molybdenum and others. Despite this fact, it is often forgotten that in some circumstances, in higher concentrations, these can also be quite toxic, for example when they are present in an organic compound (Eleanor, 1980).

Nickel has often been associated recently with allergies (contact with jewellery and jeans buttons containing nickel). There is no established knowledge of effects of this type when it is absorbed in the gastero-intestinal tract (Igbegbe *et al.,* 1992). Cocoa is one of the foodstuffs with higher than average natural nickel contents.

The copper content of tomato dishes prepared in copper pots and having a copper content of 0.1 ±0.2 mg/kg body weight has already been found to cause digestive disturbances in sensitive consumers (Oskarsson, 1989). This is inspite of the specified tolerable quantity or daily consumption of 0.5mg/kg.

Chromium, copper and zinc play major roles in modern industry and, in the vicinity of extraction or processing plants, the emissions arising are certainly capable of causing an undesirable contamination of agricultural products. Considerable quantities have been found in fruit and vegetables.

The presence of calcium and magnesium ions in water cause hardness. This hardness provides protection possibly by preventing dissolution of lead from water pipes as this can produce high blood pressure, one of the causes of heart attacks. Vanadium levels in the environment are rising as a consequence of the burning of vanadium-containing fossil fuels and its mining and processing in order to meet the growing needs for the metal in industry. Both acute and chronic effects of occupational exposure to vanadium

compounds are manifested in the respiratory tract by irritation, including bronchitis and pneumonia. Beryllium is a powerful phosphate inhibitor and strontium is a competitor for calcium in the bone (Klabunde, 1980).

For the protection of human health, guidelines for the presence of heavy metals in water and contaminants have been set by different international organizations such as WHO, USEPA, EPA, and EUC, thus heavy metals have Maximum Contamination Level (MCL) which is enforceable standard set at numerical values with an adequate margin of safety to ensure no adverse effect on human health set by WHO, (1971).

# Physico-chemical Parameters

# pH

The pH value or hydrogen ion concentration is a measure of the acidity or alkalinity (basicity) of water or aqueous media. It is one of the most important determinations in water chemistry since many of the processes involved in water treatment are pH- dependent. Pure water is very slightly ionized into positive (H+) ions and negative hydroxyl (OH-) ions. A solution is said to be neutral when the numbers of hydroxyl ions and hydrogen ions are equal, each corresponding to an approximate concentration of 10-7 moles/l. The neutral point is temperature dependent and occurs at pH 7.0 at 25oC. When the concentration of hydrogen ions exceeds that of the hydroxyl ions, i.e at pH values of less than 7.0, the solution or water has acidic characteristics. Conversely, when there is an excess of hydroxyl ions i.e. the pH value is greater than 7.0, the solution or water has basic characteristics and is sometimes described as being on the alkaline side of neutrality. The pH value of an unpolluted water is mainly determined by the inter-relationship between free carbon dioxide and the amounts of carbonate and bicarbonate present. Thus, the pH values of most natural waters are in the range of 4 to

9. Hard water which have percolated through limestone have high pH values. The pH

of wastewater, however, is dependent on the by-products found in the wastes and on the manufacturing process undergone. Waters of low pH tend to be corrosive and if the pH value is very low, water can have a sour or acidic taste.

# Odour/Taste

Odour just like taste is a subjective test which relies upon description rather than quantitative results. Odours tend to be closely related to tastes although there are certain non-volatile substances, such as sodium chloride which give rise to tastes without causing odour. Eddy (2005) reported that there are many potential causes of tastes and odours in water which include the following:

1. Decaying vegetation, and algae may give rise to grassy or fishy odour. Algae may cause offensive odours as they die off while some living algae also cause taste and odour problems.
2. Moulds and some other organisms may give rise to earthy or mouldy odours and tastes in a water which may wrongly be attributed to algae growth. In stagnant waters and especially water in long lengths of pipeline left standing in warm surroundings, such as the plumbing system of a large building, the moulds have favourable conditions for growth and the first water drawn in the morning may have an unpleasant taste and odour of the kind mentioned above.
3. Iron and sulphur bacteria produce deposites which, on decompsotion release an offensive smell. The sulphur bacteria give rise to the sulphuretted hydrogen (rotten egg) smell.
4. Iron above certain amount will impact a bitter taste to a water.
5. Industrial wastes constitute a prolific source of odour or taste problem in water.

The odour or taste, however, depends on the nature of the substance within the

waste. For potable water, it is usually to specify that the odour and taste should be objectionable.

# Acidity

Acid water is one which has a pH value of less than 7.0. Acidity in water could be as a result of dissolved carbon dioxide which produces week carbonic acid. Organic acids produced by decomposing vegetation can also give rise to acidity in water. When the acidity is from natural origin such as these, the pH value of the water is usually above

3.7. Waters polluted by industrial effluent can contain free mineral acidity from strong acids and their salts, with pH values of below 3.7. The main requirement of acidity in water is that the water should be non-corrosive. The extent to which corrosion occurs, however, depends in most cases, largely upon the acidity or carbon dioxide content of the water and conversely, it can be controlled by the presence of calcium carbonate alkalinity with a sufficiently high pH. Certain treatment processes, notably, coagulation with aluminum sulphate reduce the pH value of the water making it more acidic. This happens mainly in water meant for domestic use. The final water leaving a treatment work should be non-corrosive with the pH value increased to correct for acidity when necessary. Where pH correction is not applied and an acidic water is allowed into the distribution system, corrosion problems can arise. This can result in attack of concrete pipes and the dissolution of heavy metals such as copper, zinc and lead.

# Alkalinity

This is almost entirely due to the bi-carbonate, carbonate and hydroxide ions in water, usually in association with calcium, magnesium, sodium and potassium ions. Alkalinity of a water resource is its quantitative capacity to react with a strong acid to a designated pH. It is significant in many uses and treatment of natural and waste waters.

Since alkalinity of many surface waters is primarily a function of carbonate, bicarbonate and hydroxide constant, it is taken as an indication of the concentration of those constituents. Analysts often quote alkalinity in terms of CaC03 instead of carbonate or bicarbonate content. This is a convenient form of expression whereby the sum of the constituent salts is expressed in equivalent terms of calcium carbonate.

Alkalinity can exist in water resources below the neutral point of pH 7.0 because of the relationship between alkalinity, carbon dioxide and pH values. In the simplest form of the relationship, bicarbonate alkalinity is in equilibrium with carbon dioxide in water between pH values of 4.6 and 8.3. Above pH 8.3, free carbon dioxide ceases to exist and combines to give both carbonate and bicarbonate alkalinity. Between pH values of

9.4 and 10, the alkalinity is all due to caustic or hydroxide alkalinity (APHA, 1980).

High level of alkalinity especially that of sodium carbonate can give rise to taste problem.

# Total Solids/Residue

Total solids refer to solid matter suspended or dissolved in water or wastewater. Residue may affect water or effluent quality adversely in a number of ways. Waters with high residue generally are of inferior palatability and may induce an unfavourable physiological reaction in the transient consumer. A limit of 500mg residue/litre is desirable for drinking water. Waters with very high dissolved solids (non-filterable residue) may be unsatisfactory for such purposes as bathing. Total solid is the term used for the material left in the vessel after evaporation of a sample and its subsequent drying in an oven at a defined temperature (ToC).

# Suspended Solid

Suspended solids are particulate matter that floats in suspension in water. They have three main sources: Natural soil erosion, materials produced as a by-product of

domestic and industrial activities and matter formed originally within a water body. Suspended matters are major carriers of many organic and inorganic pollutants including most toxic heavy metals, pathogens and nutrients. Suspended solid is also known as filterable solids because it can be filtered (WHO, 1993; European Community (EC), 1980).

# Dissolved Solids

This is also known as non-filterable solid because, it passes through the filter paper. They are dissolved substances in water. This parameter is a quantitative measurement of the dissolved salts in water. For a given water, the dissolved solids concentration can be directly related to the conductivity. Dissolved solids is obtained as the difference between total solids and suspended solid in a particular quantity of water sample.

# Hardness

Originally, water hardness was understood to be a measure of the capacity of water to precipitate soap. Certain materials in water react with soap causing a precipitation which appear as a scum or curb on the water surface. Until enough soap has been dissolved to react with all these materials, no lather can be formed. A water which behaves like this is said to be hard. In conformity with current practice, total hardness is defined as sum of the calcium and magnesium concentrations both expressed as calcium carbonate in milligrame/litre. When hardness is numerically greater than the sum of carbonate and bicarbonate alkalinity, that amount of hardness equivalent to the

WRWDO DONDOLQLW\ LV FDOOHG ³FDUERQDWH KD

is called non-carbonate hardness. When the hardness numerically is equal to or less than the sum of carbonate and bicarbonate alkalinity, all hardness is carbonate hardness

and non-carbonate hardness is absent. A comparison of hardness levels may be given as in Table 1.

# Table 1: Twort Hardness Classification

**Range (mg/l) Hardness Level Twort et al., 1986**

0-50 Soft

50 ±100 Moderately soft

150-200 Slightly hard

Over 200 Hard

Over 300 Very hard

The problem caused by excessive hardness mainly is economical in terms of scale formation on boilers and hot water systems and the wastage of soap. Conversely, water softer than 30-50mg/l tend to be corrosive and liable to taking lead into solution which could lead to heart disease (U.K. Department, 1971). Where softening of water is used for domestic supply, it is recommended that a minimum hardness concentration of 60mg/l Ca (equivalent to 150mg/l CaC03) be used (NALCO, 1979).

# Chloride

Chloride in the form of Cl- ion is one of the inorganic anions in water and waste. In potable water, the salty taste produced by chloride concentration is variable and dependent on the chemical composition of water. Some waters containing 250mg chloride/litre may have a detectable salty taste if the cation is sodium. On the other hand, the typical salty taste may be absent in waters containing as much as 1,000mg/l when the predominant cation are calcium and magnesium. Chlorides are derived from natural mineral deposites, from agricultural or irrigation discharge or from sewage and industrial effluents. A high chloride content may harm metallic pipes and structures as well as growing plants (APHA, 1980).

# Microbiological Monitoring

Disease in man can be caused by the presence of pathogenic organisms. From the health point of view, the most important characteristics of a good water is obviously an absence of pathogenic organisms, which are usually difficult to detect in water supply because of their small numbers. Even in a sewage effluent or polluted water, they may be present only infrequently or at irregular interval depending upon one or more carriers who excrete the pathogen.

The number of bacteria in the faeces of man and animals are quite numerous, and of these, the majority are *Escherichia coli,* which is a natural inhabitant of the intestines. Although *E. coli* has been considered harmless, certain strains have now been implicated in infantile diarrhoea (Okafor, 1985).

**Test for *E . coli***

A high percentage of all bacteria found in faecal matter are *E . coli.* The presence of this bacterium in a water supply therefore indicates pollution by faecal microbes. Other Coliform organisms will usually be present as well but if *E. coli* are absent, then, the inference is that pollution primarily arises from the soil or vegetation since other members of the *E. coli* aerogenes group are predominantly found in soil. The presence of any Coliform bacterium must, however, be regarded as an advance warning that more serious pollution may follow, especially after rain.

The organisms which are used as indicators of contamination are:

*Escherichia coli, Streptococcus faecalis, Clostridium perfringens (Welchi)*. Among these, the most commonly used indicators are *E. coli* and the Coliform group as a whole.

# Routine Test for Bacteria Contamination of Water

The routine test to distinguish the kind of bacteria in water and to estimate the probable number of *E . coli* present are as follows:

# The Plate Count

The standard plate count is not a central procedure in the examination of wastewater because it does not differentiate between the various types of organisms. It is principally done to know the number of colonies of bacteria which develop. The count also known as total colony count or total viable plate count is carried out on a non- selective medium e.g. nutrient agar. In this method, an aliquot of the water sample is spitted out on nutrient agar and incubated at 37oC. High count indicates high organic matter pollution. For most samples suspected to be highly contaminated, dilutions of up to 104 may be required during plating, but for samples of potable water, it should be enough to make a pour plate of an undiluted 1ml sample and 101 dilution. The colonies should, as in routine plate counting lie between 30 and 300 (Okafor, 1985).

# Examination for Coliform organism and *E. coli*

Coliform bacteria are defined as all Gram-negative non-spore forming rods capable of fermenting lactose, with the production of acid and gas at 37oC in less than 48 hours. *E. coli,* which is a Coliform is regarded as a Gram-negative non-spore forming, short rods capable of fermenting lactose with acid and gas formation at both 37oC and 44oC in less than 48 hours; it also produces indole in peptone water containing tryptophane and can use sodium citrate as a sole carbon source. The test for Coliform and *E. coli* is also known as the presumptive and differential test respectively.

# Detection

Two methods are used for the detection of Coliform organisms and *E . coli;* these are the multiple tube method and filtration or membrane filtration.

# Multiple Tube Method

The tests are conducted in three stages:

# The first stage is the presumptive test

Suitable volumes of water samples are inoculated into a number of tubes containing a suitable liquid medium such as MacConkey agar. Media are incubated at 37oC for up to 48 hours. An estimate of the Coliform organisms present in the given volume can then be counted.

# Confirmatory Test

This test is for the presence of Coliform and *E. coli* in the presumptive positive tubes. Aliquotes of sample are sub-cultured from the positive tubes into fresh tubes of MacConkey agar, or brilliant green bile broth. One set of tubes is incubated at 37oC for 48 hours for confirmation of the presence of Coliform; and the other set incubated at 44oC for 24 hours to conform the presence of *E . coli.* The confirmatory test is positive if the tubes produce acid and gas at the end of the incubation period.

# Biochemical Test (further confirmation on completed test).

Completed tests are carried out if confirmatory test is positive. Contents of presumptive positive tubes are employed in further tests. Aliquots are inoculated on either eosin methylene blue agar, or MacConkey agar, and isolated typical coliform colonies with greenish metallic sheen or pink colonies with dark centres are picked off for identification by indole tests, methylred test, voges preskauer test, citrate utilization e.t.c.

Also a gram stained smear of the colonies on a slide is made. If the organism are gram- negative, non-spore forming bacilli fermenting lactose with the production of acid and gas at 37oC in less than 48 hours, they are members of the coliform group.

On the other hand, if gram-negative, non-spore forming bacilli capable of fermenting lactose with production of acid and gases at 37oC and 44oC in less than 48 hours and capable of producing indole with peptone water containing tryptoplane and can use sodium citrate as sole source of carbon are observed, they are *E. coli.*

# The Membrane Filter Technique

This is an alternative method to the multiple tube technique. In this, a sample is drawn by vacuum through a membrane which retains all bacteria in the sample on it. The membrane is then saturated with or placed on a suitable culture medium and placed in an incubator so that each bacterium multiplying develops into a viable colony. The number of colonies is counted (as in the agar plate count, but using a magnifying glass), each separate colony being assumed to have originated from one bacterium, total coliform and faecal coliform can be distinguished. The method permits bacteriological testing in the field, provided that there is also available, a portable incubator, a supply of sterilized glass ware, distilled water, and (if any extensive testing is necessary) an autoclave for resterilizing glass ware. The method is however, unsuitable for highly turbid water because the pores of the membrane are rapidly blocked with the particulate matter of the water (Eddy, 2005; McFeters, 1974).

# Test for Faecal Streptococci

Faecal streptococci are bacteria which principally occur in the faeces of man and animals. They are not as numerous as *E. coli* in all normal cases, hence the test for faecal streptococci may offer no advantage over the *E . coli* test, except in cases of

doubt. (Koka, *et al*., 2007; Okonkwo *et al.,* 2006). Since animals generally excrete much higher numbers of faecal streptococci than humans, the ratio of coliforms to faecal streptococci in a water can indicate whether the pollution is derived from human or animal sources. Koro and Bukar (2005), noted that the ratios of faecal coliforms to faecal streptococci greater than 4.0 are strongly indicative of predominantly human contamination with the associated danger of human disease transmission.

# Wastewater Treatment and Disposal

Although industrialization is inevitable, various devastating ecological and human disasters which have continuously occurred over the last four decades implicate industries as major contributors to environmental degradation and pollution problems of various magnitude (Abdel-Shafy and Abdel-Basir, 1991; Asia and Ademoroti, 2001; Amoo *et al*., 2004), It has been reported that industrial effluent has a hazard effect on water quality, habitat quality and complex effects on flowing waters. (Ethan *et al*., 2003). Industrial wastes and emission contain toxic and hazardous substances, most of which are detrimental to human health (Rajaram and Ashutost, 2008; Ogunfowokan *et al.*, 2005, Jimena, *et al.,* 2008). These include heavy metals such as lead, cadmium and mercury, and toxic organic chemicals such as pesticides, dioxins, polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), petrochemical and phenolic compounds (Rao *et al*., 1998; Njoku *et al.,* 2009; Gbadebo *et al.,* 2010). Thus, if wastewater is not treated before discharge into the environment, it may lead to serious environmental degradation with its ugly effects on human health.

Because of the diversity of food processing industries, and the chemical processes involved in the operations, it is difficult to establish a standard and central method of treating all effluents. Methods of treatment is therefore based on the physical, chemical

and biological composition of the wastes. Nevertheless, the general operation in the treatment units is based on the following features:

# Primary treatment:

This consists of physical separation of floating and suspended (settleable) solids from the effluents. Screens, grit chambers and settling tanks are employed for this purpose.

# Secondary treatment:

This includes flocculation and precipitation of the remaining material in the water with the help of biological or chemical agents and their physical separation in secondary settling tanks. The by-products of the treatment processes are grits and sludges of different kinds of which grits can easily be disposed off by burial or burning. Sludge contains unstable volatile organic materials depending on the constituents and may be treated by the method of digestion in which gases are produced with high calorific values which can be successfully utilized for heating or power generation (Buswell, 1928).

# Choice of Method of Treatment

As stated before, the choice of the method of treatment is determined by the conditions prevailing in each situation such as; the character of the waste, circumstances pertaining to the body of water being used for final disposal, state health department requirement, the cost of plant and its operation. Just as we have primary and secondary treatment, a detailed division is given below based on the treatment operation.

# Physical Treatment

This includes those operations which do not involve chemical changes in the waste. Clarification: This is the removal of coarse-dispersed solids which may be removed from water by sedimentation and filtration. When clarified by sedimentation, solid particles settle by gravity on the bottom of a settling tank in which water undergoing

clarification is at rest or in slow horizontal or upward motion. Here, it is only particles which sink in water that can be removed from it by sedimentation. Sedimentation takes a long time, requires large capacity settling tank and cannot ensure complete removal of coarse- disposed impurities from water.

Filtration is a process of clarification of water by passing it through a porous material which retains coarse impurities on its surface and in pores. Filters used for water clarification are termed clarifying filters, also refers to as mechanical filters.

Another important aspect of mechanical treatment operation is skimming or floatation. This is used in the separation of grease and oil. It is a practice prevalent in oil producing and allied industries.

Certain substances in wastewater could easily be disposed of by heating, cooling, boiling and evaporation.

# Chemical Treatment:

This involves the addition of chemicals to the wastewater and is concerned with chemical changes or reactions in the constituents of the waste. Examples of chemical treatment processes are:

1. Precipitation method
2. Cation exchange processes
3. Aeration
4. Neutralization of acids and alkali.

## Biological Treatment:

This involves separation of *purtrecible* matter in suspension, and colloidal state. It includes:

## Aerobic biological treatment:

Bacteria are the primary degrader of organic wastes. They breakdown wastes to provide themselves with the necessary energy to reproduce. Aerobic bacteria utilize oxygen to carry out this work, i.e.

bacteria

organic wastes + oxygen  oxidized wastes + energy This energy is used to build new cells.

Organic waste bacteria

+ Energy  New bacteria

The process of bacteria oxidation leads to the mineralization of organic wastes (their conversion to inorganic forms) e.g.

Organic carbon + O2 bacteria

Organic nitrogen + O2 bacteria Organic Sulphur + O2 bacteria

CO2 NO3- SO42-

Bacteria are used to oxidize wastes because they are self maintaining and self adjusting chemical factors that do the work at lower cost than man. Aerobic bacteria merely need an adequate supply of oxygen, this is provided in the form of mechanical aeration.

## Anaerobic Digestion

This practice is applied mainly in the digestion of sludges, obtained as a result of some physical treatment of the wastes. Effluent sludges are best treated in the absence of oxygen by two spontaneously disappearing groups of anaerobic bacteria. The first group solubilizes the solids, principally to organic acids such as acetic acid, which are then converted by the second group to a mixture of carbon dioxide and methane. Methane is a useful fuel, and is thus potentially, valuable by-product of sewage treatment.

# CHAPTER THREE MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Sampling

Wastewater samples were collected from Resource Improvement and Manufacturing Company (RIMCO), Umudim, Nnewi; Life Breweries Ltd, Onitsha; Golden Oil Ltd Onitsha; King Size Pharmaceutical (KP) Ltd, Ogidi, and Obisco Beverage Company Ogidi, all in Anambra State. These were collected at one month interval starting from June 2010 to September 2010 (Rainy season) and October 2010 to January 2011 (Dry Season). A total of eight (8) sampling trips were made to each of the companies; 4 months dry season and 4 months rainy season for effective comparison.

Samples were collected at three different spots along the point of discharge of the effluents starting with point of discharge. (P1) to 30 meters away from point of discharge (P3).

The first sample was collected at the point of discharge of the effluent (P1), then 15 meters away from the point of discharge (P2) and finally 30 meters away from the point of discharge (P3), making a total of three (3) sampling points per food industry per visit, except for Life breweries, Onitsha where Savana effluent had different pathway.

This pathway coalesced with that of Life Breweries effluent pathway at a point, thus samples were collected at the point of discharge (P1) for both effluents. Collection of samples at 15 and 30 meters away from the point of discharge could not be continued for Savana effluent because of the mixing of its effluent with that of Life Breweries effluent but sampling continued with Life Breweries at the two points. Thus, there was no P2 and P3 for Savana effluent.

Collection of wastewater samples from the food industries lasted for eight (8) months, and at the end of each month, sixteen (16) samples were collected.

Each sample for physico-chemical analysis was collected using a 2 litre plastic container with a screw cap. The container was initially washed with detergent and rinsed with distilled water. At the point of collection, the container was rinsed three times with the sample before collection.

The pH of the effluents was taken on the field. Samples for biological monitoring were collected in sterilized one litre glass bottles with screw caps and care was taken not to allow air bubbles into the bottles during collection. The samples were immediately transported to the laboratory to ensure that the microbes are not suffered to death. Every sample collected was labeled correctly using masking tape.

Tests were carried out on fresh samples since samples for bacteriological examination should not be stored longer than 6 hrs. However, samples for physico-chemical analysis were stored in the refrigerator from where they were taken for analysis on daily basis.

The following examinations were carried out on the wastewater samples after collection:

1. Physicochemical analysis
2. Heavy metal determination
3. Microbial analysis
4. Determination of organic pollutants

# Materials ad Reagents Used

# Materials used for physico-chemical parameters

Portable pH meter (Hanna microprocessor)

Evaporating dishes - procelain dish of 100ml capacity, muffle furnace, analytical balance, funnel, drying oven, desiccator, water bath; burette pipette, conical flasks, millipore filter paper, stirring rods; colorimetric instrument-spectronic-21- spectrophotometer; volumetric flasks, beakers and spatula.

# Reagents for Physico-chemical parameters

0.1M HCl, methyl orange indicator, phenolphthalein indicator, 0.01M EDTA, ammonia buffer solution (pH 10), Erichrome Black T (sodium salt of 1-(1-hydroxyl-2- naphthylazo)-5-nitro-2-naphthal-4-sulphonic acid, 0.014M AgNO3 solution, distilled water, potassium chromate indicator, brucine reagent, concentrated sulphuric acid, 30% NaCl, nitric acid (concentrated), conditioning reagent (mixture of 7.5g NaCl, 3ml conc HCl, 10ml of 20% isopropylalcohol, 5ml glycerol and 30ml distilled water), stock sulphate solution, barium chloride (BaCl2) crystals, standard sulphate solution, standard 0.02M NaCl solution.

# Material for heavy metal determination

Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer Model Pye Unican 1900.

# Reagents for heavy metal determination

Air produced by compressor, acetylene gas (standard commercial grade), several stock and standard metal solutions.

# Materials for Microbiological Analysis

6WHULOH WXEHV VWHULOH PO DQG PO ERW

10ml, 1ml and 0.1ml pipettes.

# Reagents for Microbiological Analysis

MacConkey Broth, Brilliant Green Bile Broth (BGBB), Eiosin Methylene Blue Agar [EMBA], nutrient agar, peptone water, reagents for IMVIC test and other biochemical test.

# Materials for Organic Pollutant Determination

Hexane ±analar reagent grade

GC-MS-QP 2010 PLUS SHIMADZU JAPAN

# Physico-Chemical Analysis

# pH Determination

## Procedure:

The pH of the effluents were measured using a portable pH metre at the sampling point. This was done by rinsing the electrode with the sample. The metre was allowed to stabilize before taking the readings.

# Total Solid (Residue)

This was done according to the method outlined by APHA, (1980).

## Procedure

Clean dry evaporating dishes were ignited at 550oC for 1 hour in a muffle furnace. They were allowed to cool, weighed and stored in a desiccator, 100ml of each sample was measured and transferred into each of the pre-weighed dishes. The samples were evaporated to dryness on a water bath, allowed to cool and weighed.

Calculation:

(mg/l) Total solids = Ws x 106

VI

Where VI = Vol. of sample evaporated

WS = Weight in gramme of residue obtained.

# Total Suspended solids:

## Procedure:

The Millipore filter paper was dried, weighed and fitted into a funnel. 100ml of the sample was filtered through it. The filter paper was removed and dried in the oven at 105oC for 1 hour. It was later cooled in a desiccator.

mg/L Total Suspended solid =

(A ±B ) x 1000

Sample volume (ml)

Where A = weight of filter paper + residue in mg.

B = weight of filter paper in mg.

# Total Dissolved solids:

The total dissolved solids was easily obtained by simple calculation. Total dissolved solids = Total solid ±suspended solids.

# Alkalinity Determination:

The double titration method as given by AOAC, (1980), NNPC Refinery Laboratory Analysis, (1979) and Annual Book of ASTM Standard for Water, (1978).

## Procedure:

25ml of each sample was pipetted into a conical flask, three drops of phenolph-thalein indicator was added, there was no colour change.

Methyl orange indicator was added and was titrated to a light pink end point with 0.1M HCl.

Calculation:

# Hydroxyl content (mg/l)

= 1000 x V x M x 17 VI

# Bicarbonate content (mg/L)

= 1000 x V x M x 30 VI

# Total Alkalinity (mg/L CaCO3)

= 1000 x V x M x 50 VI

Where V = Vol. of acid used in titration M = Molarity of HCl

VI = Vol. of sample used.

# Total hardness Determination

This was done using APHA, (1980) method of EDTA Titrimetric method.

## Principle:

Ethylene diaminotetra acetic acid and its sodium salt (EDTA) form a chelated soluble complex when added to a solution of certain metal cation. If a small amount of a dye such as Eriochrome Black T is added to an aqueous solution containing Calcium and magnesium ions at pH of 10.0 + 0.1, the solution becomes wine red.

If EDTA is added to a titrant, the calcium and magnesium will be complexed and the solution turns from wine red to blue, marking the end point of the titration.

## Procedure:

25ml of the sample was pipetted into the conical flask and two drops of Erichrome Black T solution was added.

2ml of ammonia buffer was titrated with 0.01M EDTA solution. The colour changed from wine red to blue black when the end point was attained.

## Calculation:

Total Hardness (mg/CaCO3/L)

= V x M x 2.5 x 1000 x S

Vol. of sample used Where V = Vol. of EDTA used in titration

M = Equivalent weight of Ca2+ S = Strength of ETDA

2.5 = Molecular mass of CaCO3 Atomic mass of Ca2+

# Chloride Determination

This was done using APHA (1980) method.

## Principle:

In a neutral or slightly alkaline solution, potassium chromate can indicate the end point of the silver nitrate titration of chloride. Silver chloride is precipitated quantitatively before red silver chromate is formed.

## Procedure:

25ml of the waste water sample was pipetted into a conical flask, 3 drops of K2CrO4 indicator was added, the mixture was titrated using AgNO3 solution until the colour changed to a light red (precipitation) end point.

## Calculation:

Chloride content (mg/l)

= V x N x E x 1000

Vol. of sample used.

Where V = Vol. of AgNO3 used in titration.

N = Normality of AgNO3.

E = Equivalent weight of chloride.

# Determination of Nitrate

Nitrate was determined using Brucine colorimetric method (APHA, 1980)

## Procedure:

100ml each of the standards were transferred to a set of 250ml beakers. To these standards were added 2ml of 30% NaCl solution, 20ml of concentrated sulphuric acid and swirled. 0.2g of Brucine reagent was added to all the flasks which produced colour development. 10ml each of the samples and a blank were treated with the same reagents as the standards.

The absorbance of the standards and the samples were read with spectrophotometer at 410nm.

The readings of the standard were plotted against their concentration to obtain the calibration curve.

The total nitrate concentration for the samples were calculated from the calibration curve by interpolation.

# Sulphate Determination

This was done using Turbidiometric method (APHA, 1980).

## Principle:

Sulphate ion is precipitated in a hydrochloric acid medium with Barium chloride so as to form barium sulphate (BaSO4) crystals of uniform size. Light absorbance of the BaS04 suspension is measured by a transmission photometer and the sulphate ion concentration is determined by comparison of the reading from a standard curve.

## Procedure:

Formation of barium sulphate turbidity: 100ml each of the standard solution were poured into conical flask. To each standard was added 5.00ml conditioning reagent with stirring for one minute. While still stirring, a spatulaful of barium chloride crystal was added. Distilled water was used to standardize the spectrophotometer. This was poured into absorption cell of the spectrophotometer and after placing the cell at the compartment, the galvanometer was adjusted to zero absorbance. One of the cells was emptied and filled to the mark with treated standard solution, this was placed in the compartment and was allowed to stand for four (4) minutes. The absorbance was read at 420nm wavelength. 100ml of each sample in the twin cell was taken and treated the same way as the standard and the absorbance was also recorded.

# Preparation of Calibration Curve

The absorbance of the standard solution was plotted against their concentrations to obtain the calibration curve.

This was used to obtain the concentration of sulphate in the samples.

## Calculation:

mgS04/l = mg SO42- x 1000

ml samples

# Acidity

## Procedure:

100ml of the sample was pipetted into a 250ml flask and 3 drops of the phenolphthalein indicator added. It was then titrated with 0.02M NaOH from the burette until the first permanent pink colour appeared (APHA, 1980).

## Calculation

Total Acidity (mg/l CO3-) =

ml of NaOH x Molarity of NaOH x 50 x 1000

ml of sample Molarity of NaOH = 0.02M

# Heavy Metal Determination

Determination of mercury, chromium, cadmium, zinc, lead (Pb), manganese (Mn), and copper (Cu) by Atomic Aborption Spectrophotometry (AAS), pye unican 1900.

# Standard Metal Solution

A series of standard metal solution were prepared by diluting the following stock metal solution with water containing 1.5ml concentrated HNO3/l.

**Copper**: 1.00g of copper metal was dissolved in 1.5ml of Nitric acid (HNO3) and diluted to 1000ml with distilled water; 1.00ml = 1.00mg Cu.

**Manganese**: 3.076g manganous sulphate (MnSO4-H2O) was dissolved in 200ml distilled water; 1.5ml of concentrated HNO3 was added and diluted to 1000ml with distilled water.

**Lead**: 1.598g of lead nitrate was dissolved in 200ml of distilled water and 1.5ml concentrated HNO3 added and made up to 1000ml with distilled water. 1.00ml = 1.00mgPb

**Cadmium**: 1.00g cadmium metal was dissolved in a minimum vol of 1:1 HNO3; 1.00ml = 1.00mgCd.

**Nickel**: 1.273 nickel oxide (NiO) was dissolved in a minimum vol of 10% v/v HCl and diluted to 100ml with water, 1.00ml = 1.00mgNi.

**Zinc**: 1.00g of Zinc metal was dissolved in 20ml 1:1 HCl and diluted to 1000ml with water, 1.00ml = 1.00mgZn

## Procedure:

The hollow cathode lamp of desired metal was installed in the pye Unican SP 1900 and the wavelength was set. The AAS was turned on and the amount of current suggested by the manufacturer to the hollow cathode lamp was applied. The instrument was allowed to warm up until the energy source was stabilized. The burner head was installed, the air was turned on and the flow rate adjusted to the value specified and the flame was ignited. A standard was aspirated and atomized and the burner adjusted to obtain a maximum response.

# Standardization:

At least 5 (five) concentrations of each stock standard metal solution was prepared by diluting aliquots of stock solution to 100ml. Each standard was aspirated in turn into the flame and the absorbance recorded. A calibration curve for each metal being determined was obtained by plotting on a linear graph paper the absorbance of standard versus their concentration in mg/l.

# Analysis of Samples

The nebulizer was rinsed by aspirating distilled water containing 1.5ml concentrated HNO3/l. The instrument was zeroed by aspirating blank. The samples were then atomized and the absorbances were recorded.

The concentration of each metal ion in mg/l was calculated by referring to the appropriate calibration curve.

# Pollution Index (Pi) of the Heavy Metals

Pollution index (Pi) shows the relative pollution contributed by each heavy metal. A pollution index of more than 1.00 (Pi > 1.000) indicates that average heavy metal

concentration are above the permissible levels, while values less than 1.00 shows no pollution.

Pollution index (Pi) is expressed as a function of the concentration of individual heavy metal against the baseline standard or tolerable limit. It is given as

1

3L Q >0 VXE 7 / 6XE @ >0 VXE

*et al.,* (1998).

Where Pi = Pollution index

M.sub1; M. sub2 and M.subn = Average concentrations of polluting heavy metals (T.L). Sub1; (T.L). sub 2 and (T.L). subn = Baseline standard or Tolerable limit of the polluting heavy metals.

# Microbiological Analysis Method

* + 1. **Presumptive Test:** Measured amounts of 5ml single strength, 10ml double strength, MacConkey broth medium were sterilized in 20ml and 10ml bottles containing a Durham tube for indicating gas production. With sterile graduated Pipettes, the following amount of waste water were added. Into each of 5 bottles of 10ml double strength MacConkey broth were inoculated 10 ml of waste water. Into each of 5 bottles of 5ml single strength MacConkey broth were innoculated 1ml of waste water. Into each of 5 bottles of 5ml single strength MacConkey broth were inoculated 0.1ml of wastewater.

These were repeated for the respective wastewater samples. There after, the bottles were incubated at 37oC in a water bath and examined after 24hours for the presence or absence of acid and gas production. Those that showed acid and sufficient gas to fill the concavity of the top of the Durham tubes were considered to be contaminated or

presumptive positive as a result of the growth of Coliform bacilli. Any remaining negative bottles were reincubated for another 24hours for further checking, and if acid and gas develop, they too were regarded as being positive. Again, the negative bottles were subcultured unto fresh Nutrient Agar plates to check if there was other contaminants apart from Coliform bacilli.

# Differential Coliform Test (EIJKMAN Test):

Here, Eijkman test is usually employed to ascertain whether the Coliform bacilli detected in the presumptive test were *Escherichia coli.* This test depended on the ability of E. coli to produce gas and turbidity when growing in Brilliant Green Bile Broth medium pre-warmed at 37oC. Here, all bottles considered presumptive positive were sub-cultured into Brilliant Green Bile Broth medium pre-warmed at 37oC. These were incubated at 44oC in a water bath and examined after 24hours for the presence of gas production and turbidity and for colour change.

For confirmatory test, all bottles showing gas production and turbidity were sub- cultured unto Eiosin Methylene Blue Agar. Peptone water broth were also inoculated and incubated at 44oC in a water bath and examined after 24 hours to check for indole production at 44oC.

For completed test, colonies developing were gram stained and were further inoculated into Indole test medium, methyl red test medium, voges-proskaur test medium, Citrate medium. Also, slopes were made on nutrient agar. All these were examined and the result were recorded respectively.

# Identification of the Isolates

The isolates were identified using the following methods-

## Macroscopic Examination

This was the visual examination of the appearance of the organisms isolated on the eiosin methylene blue agar media and nutrient agar media respectively. Such examination included colony morphology, size, colour, texture, whether smooth or rough surface, flat or raised and other features.

## Gram Staining:

Gram staining method was most commonly used in microbiology since it differentiates bacteria into two classes- the gram positive organisms that stained dark purple and gram negative organisms that stained pale to dark red. To identify the cell type, and features of the isolates, the gram stain was therefore performed on each isolate.

# Method

With a sterile wire loop, the isolates were smeared on a clean grease-free slide and fixed with mild heat, the slides were stained with 0.5% crystal violet solution for 1 minute. They were washed with water and flooded with Lugols lodine for 1minute followed by washing with water again. Each sample slide was rinsed or decolourised with acetone-alcohol solution. These were then washed with water. The slides were counter stained with neutral red for 2 minutes and washed with water. The back of the slides were wiped clean and were placed in a draining rack for the smears to air dry. Each smear was examined microscopically using oil immersion, that is x 90 or x 100 objective.

Gram positive isolates stained dark purple, blue or violet while gram negative isolates stained pale to dark red.

## Indole at 44oC

Using a sterile straight wire, 5ml of sterile Motility Indole Urea (MIU) medium was inoculated with a smooth colony of the test organisms. An indole paper strip was placed in the neck of the Motility Indole Urea tube above the medium and the stopper. This was incubated at 37oC-44oC over night and examined for indole production by looking for a reddening of the lower part of the strip.

Reddening of strip showed positive test (indole was produced). No red colour showed negative test (No indole was produced).

## Methyl Red Test:

A colony of the test organisms was inoculated in 0.5ml of sterile Glucose Phosphate Broth. After overnight incubation at 35-37oC, a drop of methyl red solution was added. A positive methyl red test was shown by the appearance of a bright red colour, indicating acidity while a yellow or orange colour showed a negative test.

## Voges-Praskaur test:

2ml of sterile glucose phosphate peptone water was inoculated with the isolates and incubated at 35-37oC for 48hours. A very small amount of creatine was added and mixed thoroughly. 3ml of sodium hydroxide reagent was added and shaken. The bottle cap was removed and this was left for 1 hour at room temperature. Pink-red colour showed positive test. No pink-red colour showed negative test.

## Citrate Utilization Test:

Using a sterile straight wire, 3- PO RI VWHULOH NRVHU¶V FLWU with a culture of the isolates. The inoculated broth was incubated at 35-37oC for up to 4

days, checking daily for growth.

Turbidity and blue colour showed positive test (Citrate was utilized). No growth showed negative test, (Citrate was not utilized).

## Catalase Test:

A small portion of the colony was placed under test in a drop of hydrogen peroxide on a clean grease-free slide. Active bubbling or effervescence at the drop of hydrogen peroxode indicated positive test (Catalase was produced). No release of bubbles showed negative test (Catalase was not produced).

# Determination of Organic Pollutants Using Gas Chromatrography-Mass Spectroscopy (GC-MS).

**Procedure:**

100ml of each sample was mixed with 20ml of hexane and the hexane was extracted and concentrated to a 5ml volume. This was then used to determine the organics using a GC ±MS. GC - MS-QP2010 PLUS SHIMAD2U JAPAN auto sampler and auto analyzer model was fused with HP-innowax fused capillary column (60 x 0.25mm i.d) and helium as the carrier gas (flow rate:1.61cm3/min). The injection temperature was 250oC and oven temperature kept at 60oC for 5 minutes, then kept constant at 140oC for another 5 minutes and then programmed to 280oC at a rate of 20oC/min and then kept at 280oC for 15 minutes. The end of the capillary column was inserted directly into the ion source of MS and interface temperature was maintained at 250oC. The mass spectrometric data were acquired and processed with SHIMADZU MAT 330 data system. The run button was pressed and later the peak started appearing on the computer screen at different times. This profile was later printed out as shown in appendices. The instrument had an accompanying library embedded in the computer software attached to the equipment. The compounds were identified using the National Institutes of Standards and Technology (NIST) Mass spectral library based on their similarity.

# CHAPTER FOUR

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

* 1. **Results of the Mean Concentrations of the Heavy Metals**

Mean concentrations of heavy metals in the effluent samples at various points of collection from the different food processing industries studied are shown in Tables 4.1,

4.2 and 4.3 (rainy season) and Tables 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 (dry season).

75

# Table 4.1 Mean levels of heavy metals (mg/L) in effluents during rainy season for Life breweries and Savana drinks

**Savana Drinks/Point**

FMEnv (1991)

# Heavy Metal Life Breweries/Point of Collections

**of Collections**

Effluent Limit (mg/L)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** |
| Mercury (Hg) | 1.206 + 0483 | 1.106+ 0.219 | 2.115+ 0.488 | 1.985+ 0.462 | - | - 0.05 |
| Nickel (Ni) | 0.440 + 0.194 | 0.960+ 0.321 | 0.710+ 0.501 | 0.790+ 0.252 | - | - <1.0 |
| Copper (Cu) | 0.628+ 0.170 | 0.713+ 0.321 | 0.920+ 0.269 | 0.703+ 0.331 | - | - <1.0 |
| Iron (Fe) | 1.310+ 0.040 | 0.685+ 0.204 | 1.265+ 0.175 | 1.373+ 0.111 | - | - 1.0 |
| Gold (Au) | 0.425+ 0.005 | 0.498+ 0.020 | 0.378+ 0.175 | 0.500+ 0.015 | - | - NA |
| Chromium (Cr) | 1.685+ 0.053 | 0.638+ 0.120 | 1.225+ 0.018 | 0.608+ 0.104 | - | - 1.00 |
| Manganese (Mn) | 0.428+ 0.018 | 0.110+ 0.010 | 0.193+ 0.005 | 0.085+ 0.002 | - | - NA |
| Bismuth (Bi) | 0.788+ 0.041 | 1.263+ 0.306 | 2.595+ 0.197 | 1.620+ 0.147 | - | - NA |
| Lead (pb) | 3.020+ 0.111 | 2.665+ 0.205 | 2.820+ 0.174 | 2.495+ 0.108 | - | - <1.0 |
| Tin (Sn) | 0.890+ 0.001 | 0.820+ 0.012 | 1.034+ 0.010 | 0.928+ 0.011 | - | - NA |
| Cobalt (Co) | 0.003+ 0.059 | 0.005+ 0.060 | 0.425+ 0.058 | 0.138+ 0.057 | - | - NA |
| Cadmium (Cd) | 0.220+ 0.039 | 0.400+ 0.196 | 0.328+ 0.171 | 0.465+ 0.106 | - | - 1.0 |
| Silver (Ag) | 0.003+ 0.050 | 0.061+ 0.001 | 0.163+ 0.005 | 0.528+ 0.005 | - | - NA |
| Arsenic (As) | 0.003+ 0.059 | 0.340+ 0.243 | 0.165+ 0.220 | 0.208+ 0.283 | - | - 1.0 |
| Selenium (Se) | 0.195+ 0.035 | 0.363+ 0.190 | 0.600+ 0.168 | 0.223+ 0.103 | - | - NA |
| Zinc (Zn) | 0.995+ 0.035 | 4.518+ 0.190 | 4.753+ 0.168 | 4.753+ 0.103 | - | - <1.0 |

**NOTE**: P1 = Point of discharge of effluent P2 = 15 meters aay from point of discharge P3 = 30 meters away from point of discharge NA = Not Available

76

Table 4.1 shows that the concentrations of heavy metals at the various points of collection of the effluents (rainy season) did not follow any particular trend. For instance, the total mean concentrations of mercury (Hg) were highest at 30 meters away from the point of discharge of the effluents (P3) in Life Breweries effluent, followed by the value (1.206+0.483mg/l) obtained at the point of discharge (P1), while the least value of Hg (1.106+219mg/l) was obtained at 15 meters (P2) away from the point of discharge of the effluent. However, this particular trend was not observed in the occurrence of other heavy metals in this effluent. The total mean concentrations of selenium (Se), silver (Ag), cobalt (Co), bismuth (Bi) and copper (Cu) were highest at 30 meters (P3) away from the points of discharge of the effluents, followed by the values obtained 15 meters (P2) while the least mean total concentrations of these heavy metals were obtained at the points of discharge of the effluents (P1). This could probably be as a result of flow velocity factor leading to boundary effect at P3, hence increase in the levels of these heavy metals at P3 than P2 and P1.

77

# Table 4.2 Mean levels of heavy metal (mg/l) in effluents during rainy season for KP Beverage and Obisco Beverage, Ogidi Heavy Metal KP Beverage, Ogidi Obisco Beverage, Ogidi

# FMEnv (1991)

**Effluent Limit (mg/L)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **P1** | **P2** | **P3** | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** | |
| Mercury (Hg) 0.138+ 0.433 | 1.673+ 0.225 | 1.945+ 0.450 | 1.475+ 0.192 | 2.533+ 0.447 | 1.613+ 0.276 | 0.05 |
| Nickel (Ni) 0.405+ 0.388 | 0.888+ 0.210 | 1.248+ 0.450 | 0.850+ 0.475 | 0.390+ 0.483 | 0.463+ 0.446 | <1.0 |
| Copper (Cu) 0.465+ 0.182 | 0.928+ 0.303 | 0.570+ 0.394 | 0.853+ 0.424 | 1.020+ 0.480 | 0.468+ 0.308 | <1.0 |
| Iron (Fe) 0.960+ 0.170 | 0.903+ 0.063 | 0.788+ 0.121 | 1.723+ 0.070 | 2.965+ 0.091 | 0.088+ 0.099 | 1.0 |
| Gold (Au) 0.160+ 0.087 | 0.398+ 0.063 | 0.260+ 0.051 | 0.695+ 0.015 | 0.735+ 0.041 | 0.645+ 0.019 | NA |
| Chromium (Cr) 1.380+ 0.165 | 0.385+ 0.112 | 0.585+ 0.136 | 0.160+ 0.122 | 0.398+ 0.141 | 1.020+ 0.142 | 1.00 |
| Manganese (Mn) 0.128+ 0.060 | 0.360+ 0.070 | 0.438+ 0.066 | 0.675+ 0.060 | 0.173+ 0.054 | 0.170+ 0.054 | NA |
| Bismuth (Bi) 0.636+ 0.118 | 2.741+ 0.067 | 2.021+ 0.082 | 2.115+ 0.103 | 1.925+ 0.183 | 0.186+ 0.312 | NA |
| Lead (pb) 0.563+ 0.169 | 2.355+ 0.115 | 0.818+ 0.136 | 0.398+ 0.123 | 0.630+ 0.148 | 1.593+ 0.145 | <1.0 |
| Tin (Sn) 0.563+ 0.007 | 0.778+ 0.004 | 0.808+ 0.008 | 0.223+ 0.012 | 1.535+ 0.009 | 0.490+ 0.012 | NA |
| Cobalt (Co) 0.100+ 0.180 | 0.005+ 0.070 | 0.050+ 0.055 | 0.258+ 0.058 | 0.128+ 0.059 | 0.009+ 0.058 | NA |
| Cadmium (Cd) 0.310+ 0.117 | 1.033+ 0.065 | 0.560+ 0.072 | 0.728+ 0.063 | 0.883+ 0.089 | 1,123+ 0.088 | 1.0 |
| Silver (Ag) 0.253+ 0.000 | 0.525+ 0.003 | 0.578+ 0.003 | 0.075+ 0.003 | 0.328+ 0.005 | 0.530+ 0.005 | NA |
| Arsenic (As) 0.090+ 0.164 | 0.180+ 0.111 | 0.153+ 0.135 | 0.373+ 0.127 | 0.330+ 0.153 | 0.240+ 0.146 | 1.0 |
| Selenium (Se) 0.125+ 0.105 | 0.725+ 0.059 | 0.548+ 0.070 | 0.778+ 0.063 | 0.020+ 0.088 | 0.223+ 0.088 | NA |
| Zinc (Zn) 0.025+ 0.105 | 2.278+ 0.059 | 2.425+ 0.070 | 1.528+ 0.063 | 1.025+ 0.088 | 1.278+ 0.088 | <1.0 |
| **NOTE**: P1 = Point of discharge of effluent P2 = | 15 meters aay from point | of discharge | P3 = 30 meters | away from point | of discharge NA = | Not Available |

78

Table 4.2 shows the variations of the mean + S.D of the concentrations of heavy metals in the effluent samples from Kingsize Pharmaceutical Company (KP) and Obisco Beverages, Ogidi (in the rainy season) along the point of discharge of the effluents (P1), 15 meters away from the point of discharge (P2) and 30 meters away from the point of discharge (P3) of the effluents. The Table shows marked variations in the heavy metal concentrations from the effluent samples at various points of collection. Total mean concentrations of heavy metals from the effluent samples did not follow clearly discernible pattern at different points of collection. For instance, the mean concentrations of Zinc at the point of discharge (P1) of the effluent for KP was 0.025+

0.105mg/l (high) compared to the value obtained for this heavy metal from the same effluent 15 meters away from the point of discharge (P2) which was 2.278 +0.059mg/l (higher) and the value at 30 meters away from the point of discharge of the effluent at P3 (2.425+0.070mg/l) (highest). This trend was also observed in the concentrations of silver in both KP and Obisco effluents, where highest concentrations was obtained from the effluent samples at P3 (highest) through P2 (higher) and then P1 (high).

However, this trend was not observed for other heavy metals as some recorded mean values that were higher at P1 than P2 and P3, while others had total mean concentrations that were highest at P2 compared to the mean levels obtained at P3 and P1.

79

**Table 4.3 Mean levels of heavy metal (mg/l) in effluents during rainy season for Golden Vegetable Oil, Onitsha and RIMCO, Nnewi**

**FMEnv (1991)**

**Heavy Metal Golden Vegetable Oil, Onitsha RIMCO, Nnewi**

**Effluent Limit (mg/L)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** |  |
| Mercury (Hg) | 1.810+ 0.208 | 1.713+ 0.224 | 2.283+ 0.459 | 1.301+ 0.333 | 1.348+ 0.106 | 2.060+ 0.293 | 0.05 |
| Nickel (Ni) | 0.425+ 0.303 | 0.709+ 0.664 | 0.890+ 0.472 | 0.430+ 0.508 | 0.373+ 0.419 | 0.290+ 0.401 | <1.0 |
| Copper (Cu) | 0.460+ 0.390 | 0.395+ 0.217 | 1.003+ 0.173 | 0.573+ 0.138 | 1.200+ 0.140 | 0.323+ 0.275 | <1.0 |
| Iron (Fe) | 0.973+ 0.074 | 0.625+ 0.098 | 2.470+ 0.214 | 1.640+ 0.125 | 0.830+ 0.030 | 1.688+ 0.044 | 1.0 |
| Gold (Au) | 1.199+ 0.075 | 2.605+ 0.002 | 0.970+ 0.002 | 0.090+ 0.037 | 0.308+ 0.005 | 0.130+ 0.001 | NA |
| Chromium (Cr) | 0.345+ 0.136 | 1.445+ 0.152 | 0.318+ 0.273 | 0.248+ 0.190 | 0.068+ 0.090 | 0.975+ 0.101 | 1.00 |
| Manganese (Mn) | 0.073+ 0.063 | 0.145+ 0.056 | 0.123+ 0.061 | 0.025+ 0.065 | 0.055+ 0.065 | 0.195+ 0.058 | NA |
| Bismuth (Bi) | 2.338+ 0.079 | 2.020+ 0.103 | 1.068+ 0.216 | 4.680+ 0.146 | 3.853+ 0.282 | 2.598+ 0.070 | NA |
| Lead (pb) | 2.320+ 0.141 | 2.763+ 0.153 | 1.578+ 0.274 | 4.295+ 0.666 | 2.315+ 0.172 | 2.765+ 0.157 | <1.0 |
| Tin (Sn) | 0.715+ 0.003 | 0.701+ 0.002 | 1.164+ 0.002 | 2.168+ 0.196 | 3.663+ 0.088 | 1.443+ 0.057 | NA |
| Cobalt (Co) | 0.128+ 0.059 | 0.008+ 0.088 | 0.013+ 0.003 | 0.058+ 0.117 | 0.063+ 0.106 | 0.050+ 0.110 | NA |
| Cadmium (Cd) | 1.093+ 0.073 | 0.283+ 0.102 | 0.910+ 0.216 | 0.313+ 0.242 | 0.745+ 0.130 | 0.873+ 0.153 | 1.0 |
| Silver (Ag) | 0.035+ 0.000 | 0.200+ 0.002 | 0.025+ 0.003 | 0.480+ 0.116 | 2.428+ 0.123 | 0.788+ 0.063 | NA |
| Arsenic (As) | 0.035+ 0.130 | 0.070+ 0.149 | 0.390+ 0.270 | 1.180+ 0.141 | 2.883+ 0.123 | 0.913+ 0.065 | 1.0 |
| Selenium (Se) | 0.503+ 0.073 | 0.043+ 0.096 | 0.150+ 0.213 | 0.725+ 0.125 | 0.523+ 0.025 | 0.508+ 0.043 | NA |
| Zinc (Zn) | 1.700+ 0.072 | 0.773+ 0.096 | 0.528+ 0.213 | 4.255+ 0.125 | 1.725+ 0.025 | 1.263+ 0.043 | <1.0 |

**NOTE**: P1 = Point of discharge of effluent P2 = 15 meters aay from point of discharge P3 = 30 meters away from point of discharge NA = Not Available

80

Table 4.3 shows that the concentrations of the heavy metals did not follow any particular trend at the various points of collection of the effluents from the two food industries (in the rainy season). Total mean concentrations of Zinc (Zn) from Golden and RIMCO effluents were highest at the points of discharge (P1). For instance, Zn concentrations in Golden effluent were 1.700+0.072mg/l (P1) > 0.773 + 0.096mg/l (P2)

> 0.528 + 0.21mg/l (P3). In the case of RIMCO effluent samples, the total mean concentrations of Zn were 4.255+0.125mg/l(P1),>1.725+0.025mg/l(P2)>1.263+ 0.043mg/l (P3). This decrease in concentration from P1 to P3 could be as a result of seepage of this heavy metal into the soil as the effluent flowed from P1 through P2 to P3, thus reducing the level of this toxicant in the effluent pathway.

However, this trend was not observed in the concentrations of other heavy metals at the various points of discharge as the concentrations of these heavy metals varied from the points of discharge of the effluents (P1) to 30 meters away from the points of discharge (P3) and vice versa.

81

# Table 4.4 Mean levels of heavy metal (mg/l) in effluents during dry season for Life Breweries and Savana drinks

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Heavy Metal** | **Life Breweries** |  | **Savana Drinks** |  |  | **FMEnv (1991)**  **Effluent Limit (mg/L)** |
|  | **P1 P2** | **P3** | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** |  |
| Mercury (Hg) | 3.128+ 0.382 2.205+ 0.638 | 2.633+ 0.312 | 3.783+ 0.355 | - | - | 0.05 |
| Nickel (Ni) | BDL BDL | BDL | BDL | - | - | <1.0 |
| Copper (Cu) | BDL BDL | BDL | BDL | - | - | <1.0 |
| Iron (Fe) | 2.155+ 0.379 5.615+ 0.538 | 2.485+ 0.340 | 2.350+ 0.411 | - | - | 1.0 |
| Gold (Au) | BDL BDL | BDL | BDL | - | - | NA |
| Chromium (Cr) | 4.055+ 0.159 1.895+ 0.403 | 1.888+ 0.292 | 0.635+ 0.248 | - | - | 1.00 |
| Manganese (Mn) | BDL BDL | BDL | BDL | - | - | NA |
| Bismuth (Bi) | 0.528+ 0.154 3.225+ 0.400 | 5.055+ 0.285 | 0.993+ 0.243 | - | - | NA |
| Lead (pb) | 5.080+ 0.334 1.703+ 0.458 | 1.705+ 0.344 | 2.228+ 0.301 | - | - | <1.0 |
| Tin (Sn) | BDL BDL | BDL | BDL | - | - | NA |
| Cobalt (Co) | 0.103+ 0.033 0.033+ 0.041 | 0.030+ 0.113 | 0.085+ 0.053 | - | - | NA |
| Cadmium (Cd) | 0.635+ 0.299 0.733+ 0.390 | 1.520+ 0.188 | 1.505+ 0.195 | - | - | 1.0 |
| Silver (Ag) | BDL BDL | BDL | BDL | - | - | NA |
| Arsenic (As) | 0.380+ 0.207 0.930+ 0.502 | 0.938+ 0.400 | 0.205+ 0.468 | - | - | 1.0 |
| Selenium (Se) | 1.373+ 0.154 0.805+ 0.400 | 1.313+ 0.288 | 0.250+ 0.243 | - | - | NA |
| Zinc (Zn) | 5.635+ 0.206 14.470+ 0.434 | 14.180+ 0.350 | 7.723+ 0.308 | - | - | <1.0 |

**NOTE**: P1 = Point of discharge of effluent P2 = 15 meters aay from point of discharge P3 = 30 meters away from point of discharge NA = Not Available

82

Table 4.4 shows the mean + S.D of the heavy metal concentrations in the effluents from Life Breweries and Savana drink along the various points of discharge of the effluents for the dry season period. The mean concentrations of Hg in the effluent sample from Life Breweries was 3.128+0.382mg/l at the point of discharge of the effluent (P1), 2.205+0.630mg/l (P2) and 2.633+0.312mg/l (P3). This showed that the total mean concentrations of Hg was highest at P1, followed by P3 and the least was the value obtained at P2.

Though the concentrations of Hg was higher in the dry season than in the rainy season, at all the points of collection of the waste water (Tables 4.1 and 4.4), there was no particular trend in its occurrence, Hg was highest at P2 in the rainy season but highest at P3 in the dry season (Life Breweries effluent). nickel, copper, gold, manganese, tin and silver were below the detection limit (BDL) of the instrument in the dry season in both Life and Savana effluents, but were detected in the rainy season from these effluent samples.

83

**Table 4.5 Mean levels of heavy metal (mg/l) in effluents during dry season for KP beverage, Ogidi and Obisco beverage, Ogidi**

**FMEnv (1991)**

**Heavy Metal KP Beverage, Ogidi Obisco Beverage, Ogidi**

**P1 P2 P3 P1 P2 P3**

# Effluent Limit (mg/L)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Mercury (Hg) | 2.053+ 0.463 | 3.035+ 0.239 | 3.085+ 0.264 | 2.995+ 0.391 | 3.393+ 0.417 | 3.090+ 0.231 | 0.05 |
| Nickel (Ni) | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | <1.0 |
| Copper (Cu) | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | <1.0 |
| Iron (Fe) | 4.785+ 0.387 | 3.613+ 0.174 | 3.925+ 0.422 | 5.358+ 0.267 | 6.543+ 0.293 | 1.385+ 0.373 | 1.0 |
| Gold (Au) | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NA |
| Chromium (Cr) | 1.740+ 0.225 | 0.455+ 0.122 | 2.878+ 0.227 | 2.528+ 0.203 | 2.740+ 0.218 | 3.135+ 0.205 | 1.00 |
| Manganese (Mn) | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NA |
| Bismuth (Bi) | 3.285+ 0.222 | 5.253+ 0.117 | 4.510+ 0.200 | 5.535+ 0.192 | 3.830+ 0.218 | 3.468+ 0.204 | NA |
| Lead (pb) | 4.967+ 0.286 | 4.628+ 0.182 | 0.345+ 0.258 | 1.368+ 0.250 | 2.980+ 0.270 | 3.940+ 0.261 | <1.0 |
| Tin (Sn) | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NA |
| Cobalt (Co) | 0.085+ 0.057 | 0.088+ 0.028 | 0.030+ 0.028 | 0.113+ 0.165 | 0.168+ 0.052 | 0.308+ 0.114 | NA |
| Cadmium (Cd) | 1.883+ 0.182 | 0.500+ 0.123 | 0.560+ 0.188 | 0.650+ 0.187 | 1.750+ 0.183 | 0.458+ 0.174 | 1.0 |
| Silver (Ag) | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NA |
| Arsenic (As) | 0.698+ 0.423 | 0.805+ 0.192 | 0.325+ 0.445 | 0.640+ 0.255 | 1.013+ 0.293 | 0.988+ 0.305 | 1.0 |
| Selenium (Se) | 0.555+ 0.222 | 1.723+ 0.117 | 1.595+ 0.200 | 1.623+ 0.192 | 1.290+ 0.218 | 0.453+ 0.204 | NA |
| Zinc (Zn) | 3.053+ 0.286 | 11.558+ 0.182 | 4.818+ 0.253 | 5.718+ 0.250 | 3.035+ 0.270 | 2.598+ 0.251 | <1.0 |

**NOTE**: P1 = Point of discharge of effluent P2 = 15 meters aay from point of discharge P3 = 30 meters away from point of discharge NA = Not Available

84

Table 4.5 shows the mean + S.D of heavy metals in KP and Obisco beverages, Ogidi (Dry season). It was observed that nickel, copper, gold, manganese, tin and silver were below the detection limit (BDL) of the instrument and were not detected from the effluent samples from both industries in the dry season, but were detected in the rainy season (Table 4.2). Total mean levels of Hg were highest at P3 in KP effluent while for Obisco effluent the highest mean concentration of Hg was obtained at P2. In both effluents, the points of discharge of the effluents (P1) recorded the least mean concentrations of Hg. Again, the total mean levels of Zn obtained from these effluents (dry season) were higher than the total mean concentrations of Zn obtained in the rainy season. The levels of this heavy metal was highest at P2 in KP effluent (11.558+0.182mg/l, dry season), while in Obisco effluent, the highest level of Zn was obtained at the point of discharge of the effluent (P1) in the same season. This was also the same with other heavy metals as no particular order was observed in the occurrence of these heavy metals in the two effluents monitored.

85

# Table 4.6 Mean levels of heavy metal (mg/l) in effluents during dry season for Golden Vegetable Oil, Onitsha and RIMCO, Nnewi Heavy Metal Golden Vegetable Oil, Onitsha RIMCO, Nnewi FMEnv (1991)

**Effluent Limit (mg/L)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** |  |
| Mercury (Hg) | 3.348+ 0.367 | 1.090+ 0.340 | 4.145+ 0.500 | 0.70+ 0.325 | 0.553+ 0.065 | 1.310+ 0.167 | 0.05 |
| Nickel (Ni) | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | <1.0 |
| Copper (Cu) | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | <1.0 |
| Iron (Fe) | 4.970+ 0.379 | 1.888+ 0.422 | 5.560+ 0.494 | 2.153+ 0.221 | 1.058+ 0.121 | 2.280+ 0.269 | 1.0 |
| Gold (Au) | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NA |
| Chromium (Cr) | 1.020+ 0.187 | 1.870+ 0.203 | 1.355+ 0.329 | 0.548+ 0.131 | 1.630+ 0.032 | 1.068+ 0.051 | 1.00 |
| Manganese (Mn) | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NA |
| Bismuth (Bi) | 4.800+ 0.187 | 4.738+ 0.201 | 0.178+ 0.327 | 3.898+ 0.142 | 2.060+ 0.047 | 1.268+ 0.081 | NA |
| Lead (pb) | 4.588+ 0.246 | 2.085+ 0.251 | 3.988+ 0.397 | 2.295+ 0.242 | 2.368+ 0.140 | 2.733+ 0.115 | <1.0 |
| Tin (Sn) | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NA |
| Cobalt (Co) | 0.225+ 0.164 | 0.340+ 0.225 | 0.445+ 0.025 | 0.218+ 0.059 | 0.163+ 0.167 | 0.135+ 0.249 | NA |
| Cadmium (Cd) | 0.273+ 0.174 | 0.568+ 0.158 | 0.520+ 0.167 | 0.092+ 0.119 | 0.238+ 0.123 | 0.135+ 0.113 | 1.0 |
| Silver (Ag) | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NA |
| Arsenic (As) | 0.660+ 0.367 | 0.240+ 0.376 | 0.468+ 0.552 | 0.710+ 0.241 | 3.908+ 0.176 | 0.890+ 0.273 | 1.0 |
| Selenium (Se) | 0.723+ 0.187 | 2.470+ 0.201 | 1.498+ 0.327 | 1.698+ 0.231 | 1.498+ 0.033 | 1.185+ 0.050 | NA |
| Zinc (Zn) | 5.975+ 0.246 | 9.720+ 0.254 | 8.815+ 0.385 | 12.840+ 0.198 | 6.625+ 0.085 | 5.380+ 0.115 | <1.0 |

**NOTE**: P1 = Point of discharge of effluent P2 = 15 meters aay from point of discharge P3 = 30 meters away from point of discharge NA = Not Available

Table 4.6 was the mean of heavy metals in Golden and RIMCO effluents (Dry season). Total mean levels of Hg from the two effluents followed the trend of P3>P1>P2. This could be as a result of the combination of flow velocity factor and boundary effects. This trend was also observed in the occurrence of Fe in both effluents. However, other heavy metals did not follow this trend at the various points of collection from the two industries. Some heavy metals were highest at the point of discharge of the effluents (P1), while others were highest at P3 in both companies.

Nevertheless, nickel (Ni), copper (Cu), gold (Au), manganese (Mn), tin (Sn), and silver (Ag) were not detected in the dry season from all the effluent samples monitored. This could be as a result of the fact that these heavy metals were suspended in the air as sprays, droplets and dust particles (in the dry season) and with the arrival of rain, were washed down into the effluent pathways by precipitation, thus were detected in the rainy season. Concentrations of other heavy metals monitored were higher in the dry season than in the rainy season. Low levels of these heavy metals in the rainy season could be as a result of dilution through precipitation and continuous exchange of water along the effluent pathways which reduced the concentration of these toxicants in the rainy season.

* 1. **Pooled Mean of the Concentrations of Heavy Metals**

The results of the pooled mean of the concentrations of heavy metals in the effluent samples from the various food processing industries studied in both rainy and dry seasons were shown in Tables 4.7 and 4.8, then Figures 4.1 to 4.16. The result of the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), showing seasonal variations of the mean heavy metal levels in the effluent samples from the food processing industries studied between rainy and dry season and variation of these heavy metals in the effluents among these industries were shown in Appendix 3, while that of coefficient of variations between the two seasons were shown in Appendix 4.

88

# Table 4.7: Pooled Mean of the Heavy Metal Concentrations of the Effluents in the rainy season (June – September)

**Heavy metal Effluent Samples**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **(mg/l)** | **Life Breweries Onitsha** | **Savana Drinks** | **KP Beverages Ogidi** | **Obisco Beverages Ogidi** | **Golden Oil Osha** | **RIMCO**  **Nnewi** | **FMEnv (1991)**  **Effluent Limit** |
| Mercury | 1.638+ 0.497 | 1.985+ 0.860 | 1.252+ 0.497 | 1.874+ 0.497 | 1.935+ 0.497 | 1.564+ 0.497 | <0.05 |
| Nickel | 0.038+ 0.234 | 1.053 + 0.406 | 1.129+ 0.234 | 0.757+ 0.234 | 0.899+ 0.234 | 0.559+ 0.234 | <1.000 |
| Copper | 1.004 + 0.294 | 0.937+ 0.509 | 0.872+ 0.294 | 1.040+ 0.294 | 0.844+ 0.294 | 0.930+ 0.294 | <1.000 |
| Iron | 1.449 + 0.817 | 1.830 + 1.412 | 1.178+ 0.815 | 2.567+ 0.815 | 1.808+ 0.815 | 2.772+ 0.999 | 1.000 |
| Gold | 0.867 + 0.259 | 1.000 + 0.449 | 0.545+ 0.259 | 1.383 + 0.259 | 1.016+ 0.259 | 0.703+ 0.366 | NA |
| Chromium | 1.577 + 0.571 | 0.810 + 0.988 | 1.044 + 0.571 | 0.701 + 0.571 | 0.937+ 0.571 | 1.692 + 0.699 | 1.000 |
| Manganese | 0.543 + 0.183 | 0.110 + 0.367 | 0.617 + 0.150 | 0.290 + 0.212 | 0.227 + 0.150 | 0.367 + 0.212 | NA |
| Bismuth | 1.548 + 0.716 | 1.642 + 1.240 | 1.800 + 0.716 | 1.409 + 0.716 | 1.809 + 0.716 | 3.710 + 0.716 | NA |
| Lead | 2.835 + 0.719 | 2.495 + 1.246 | 1.645 + 0.719 | 0.873 + 0.719 | 2.220 + 0.719 | 2.446 + 0.719 | < 1.000 |
| Tin | 1.164 + 0.527 | 1.237 + 0.912 | 0.843 + 0.527 | 1.443 + 0.527 | 1.147 + 0.527 | 3.231 + 0.527 | NA |
| Cobalt | 0.577 + 0.225 | 0.550 + 0.389 | 0.207 + 0.225 | 0.523 + 0.225 | 0.197 + 0.225 | 0.083 + 0.159 | NA |
| Cadmium | 0.632 + 0471 | 0.930 + 0816 | 1.268 + 0471 | 1.828 + 0471 | 1.523 + 0471 | 0.818 + 0.385 | 1.000 |
| Silver | 0.301 + 1.302 | 2.110 + 2.255 | 1.807 + 1.302 | 1.243 + 1.302 | 0.243 + 1.302 | 2.463 + 0.920 | NA |
| Arsenic | 0.492 + 0.547 | 0.405 + 0.948 | 0.282 + 0.547 | 0.628 + 0.547 | 0.335 + 0.547 | 2.211 + 0.447 | 1.000 |
| Selenium | 1.543 + 0.645 | 0.890 + 1.117 | 1.867 + 0.645 | 1.360 + 0.645 | 0.927 + 0.645 | 2.340 + 0.645 | NA |
| Zinc | 13.373 + 3.406 | 16.010 + 5.899 | 6.303 + 3.406 | 5.107 + 3.406 | 4.000 + 3.406 | 9.657 + 3.406 | <1.000 |

**NOTE**: P1 = Point of discharge of effluent P2 = 15 meters aay from point of discharge P3 = 30 meters away from point of discharge NA = Not Available

89

All the heavy metals monitored in this season (rainy season) were detected from all the effluent samples though in lower concentrations compared to the dry season readings (except RIMCO effluents where reverse was the case in the mean concentrations of Hg, Fe, Bi, Cu, Zn, Cd and As). Lower levels of these heavy metals in rainy season (Table 4.7) could be attributed to dilution from precipitation, short residence time and continuous exchange of water in the effluent pathway leading to decrease in levels of these toxicants. The total mean concentrations of Hg, Fe, Pb and Zn obtained from these effluents in the rainy season were higher than the values recommended by the Federal Ministry of Environment, (1991) for industrial effluent limit. Arsenic levels were within this limit (except values obtained from RIMCO effluents where reverse was the case).

Moreover, the total mean nickel obtained in this work were higher than mean nickel value (0.183mg/l) reported by Fufeyin, (1994) for Ikpoba reservoir water though lower than the value (44.50mg/l) reported for Warri River by Egborge, (1991). The pooled mean levels of copper obtained from all the effluent samples monitored in the rainy season were higher than copper value (0.194mg/l) reported by Oguzie and Okhagbuzo (2010) in effluent discharges down stream of Ikpoba River, in Benin City. The Federal Ministry of Environment Limitation guideline wa not available for gold, manganese, bismuth, tin, cobalt, silver and selenium, though mean concentrations of manganese and silver obtained from all the effluent samples (rainy season) were higher than the limit (0.05mg/l) allowed for these two metals by the World Health Organization, (1989) for drinking water.

Sindnu, (2002) reported that micro-organisms can suffer from growth decline due to the presence of nickel, though they usually develop resistance to it after a while. The author also stated that nickel is not known to accumulate in plants or animals and as a result has not been found to biomagnify up the food chain. Copper on the other hand, is important to root metabolism and helps form proteins, amino acids and a host of organic compounds.

90

# Table 4.8: Pooled Mean of the Heavy Metal Concentrations of the Effluents in the Dry season (October – January)

**Heavy metal Effluent Samples**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **(mg/l)** | **Life Breweries Onitsha** | **Savana Drinks** | **KP Beverages Ogidi** | **Obisco Beverages Ogidi** | **Golden Oil Osha** | **RIMCO**  **Nnewi** | **FMEnv (1991)**  **Effluent** |
| Mercury | 2.655 + 0.497 | 2.883 + 0.860 | 2.724 + 0.497 | 3.182 + 0.497 | 2.865 + 0.497 | 0.878 + | <0.05 |
| Nickel | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | <1.000 |
| Copper | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | <1.000 |
| Iron | 3.427 + 0.706 | 2.350 + 1.223 | 2.350 + 0.706 | 4.107 + 0.706 | 4.428 + 0.706 | 4.139 + 0.706 | 1.000 |
| Gold | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NA |
| Chromium | 2.946 + 0.494 | 0.635 + 0.856 | 1.692 + 0.494 | 2.801 + 0.494 | 1.415 + 0.494 | 1.082 + 0.494 | 1.000 |
| Manganese | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NA |
| Bismuth | 3.914 + 0.827 | 1.323 + 1.432 | 5.812 + 0.827 | 5.266 + 0.827 | 4.318 + 0.827 | 3.211 + 0.827 | NA |
| Lead | 2.813 + 0.719 | 2.225 + 1.246 | 3.313 + 0.719 | 2.763 + 0.719 | 2.763 + 0.719 | 3.533 + 0.719 | < 1.000 |
| Tin | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NA |
| Cobalt | 0.220 + 0.225 | 0.340 + 0.389 | 0.230 + 0.225 | 0.783 + 0.225 | 1.347 + 0.225 | 0.693 + 0.225 | NA |
| Cadmium | 1.283 + 0.385 | 2.007 + 0.666 | 1.308 + 0.385 | 1.270 + 0.385 | 0.604 + 0.385 | 0.206 + 0.385 | 1.000 |
| Silver | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NA |
| Arsenic | 0.749 + 0387 | 0.205 + 0.670 | 0.602 + 0.387 | 0.878 + 0.387 | 0.456 + 0.387 | 1.836 + 0.387 | 1.000 |
| Selenium | 1.603 + 0.372 | 0.333 + 0.645 | 1.688 + 0.372 | 1.496 + 0.372 | 2.104 + 0.372 | 0.387 + 0.372 | NA |
| Zinc | 14.636 + 1.966 | 10.293 + 3.406 | 6.476 + 1.703 | 3.783 + 1.703 | 8.170 + 1.703 | 8.282 + 1.703 | <1.000 |

**NOTE**: P1 = Point of discharge of effluent P2 = 15 meters aay from point of discharge P3 = 30 meters away from point of discharge NA = Not Available

Table 4.8 shows that Ni, Cu, Au, Mn, Sn, and Ag were not detected in the effluent samples monitored in the dry season; they were below the detection limit (BDL) of the instrument. This could be as a result of the fact that these heavy metals were accumulated in the air around the food industries as sprays, droplets and dust particles and with the arrival of rain, they were washed down by precipitation and mixed with the effluents, leading to increase in the concentrations of these heavy metals in the rainy season than dry season. This was in line with the findings of Singare et al., (2011) who reported that nickel is released into the air by power plants and trash incinerators and will settle to the ground or fall down after it reacts with precipitation.

The total mean concentrations of all the heavy metals (except Ni, Cu, Au, Mn, Sn and Ag) were higher in the dry season from all the effluent samples monitored than the values obtained in the rainy season (Tables 4.7 and 4.8). This could be as a result of the explanation offered for these heavy metals in Table 3.7. Nevertheless, total mean concentrations of Hg, Fe, Cr, Pb, Cd, Zn and As (from RIMCO effluent) were higher than the values recommended by the Federal Ministry Environment (1991) for industrial effluent limit for these heavy metals. Lead is a major constituent of the lead- acid battery and used extensively in car batteries, as colouring agents in ceramic glazes, in some candles to treat the wicks, cables, glass ware and ammunitions. One of the major uses of Pb is in the glass of computer and television screens where it shields the viewer from radiation. The authors further stressed that most lead concentration that are found in the environment are due to human activities especially burning of car engines and hence it is released into the environment easily. This could be responsible for the high level of Pb obtained from this work from all the effluent samples monitored.

**C onc mg /l**

Apart from Resource Improvement and Manufacturing Company (RIMCO), Nnewi, Mercury levels in the effluent samples of all the food industries studied were higher in the dry season than in the rainy season. Concentrations of mercury in the dry season decreased in the following order: 3.82 + 0.497 (Obisco) > 2.865 + 0.497 (Golden) > 2.783 + 0.860mg/l (Savana) > 2.724 + 0.497mg/l (KP) > 2.665 + 0.497mg/l (Life breweries) > 0.878 + 0.497mg/l (RIMCO) respectively compared to the rainy season levels of 1.985 + 0.860mg/l (Savana)> 1.935 + 0.497mg/l (Golden)> 1.874 + 0.497mg/l (Obisco) > 1.638 + 0.497mg/l (Life) > 1.564 + 0.497mg/l (RIMCO) > 1.252 +

**F ig : 4.1 Merc ury levels by s eas on and loc ations**

S tandard

R imco

G olden

Obis co

KP

S avanna

L ife

4

3.5

3

2.5

2

1.5

1

0.5

0

Dry S eas on

R ainy S eas on

0.492mg/l (KP).

Low levels of mercury metal in the effluent samples in the rainy season might be attributed to dilution or even leaching out of this metal through flood into a receiving water body. RIMCO recorded the least mean concentration of mercury in the two seasons while Savana was the highest in mercury level in both seasons. Values obtained

for this metal in the effluent samples from all the food industries studied were far above the maximum allowable limit of 0.05mg/l prescribed by the Federal Ministry of Environment (FMENV), (1991) for industrial effluent.

Statistical analysis confirmed that the concentrations of mercury in the effluent samples from all the food processing industries studied were significant (P < 0.05) between rainy and dry season (Appendix 3a). Mercury levels were significantly higher in the dry season than in the rainy season, though the coefficient of variation (94.3%) was higher in the rainy season than in the dry season (76.2). This not withstanding, there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in the mean concentrations of mercury among the various food industries studied, but differences were seen in Savana and RIMCO, with coefficient of variations of 72.6 and 106.8, Obisco and RIMCO with CV of 71.8 and 106.8; Golden and RIMCO with CV of 80.3 and 106.8 respectively (Appendix 4).

Mercury, according to Asonye et al., (2007) is known to interfare with metabolism and function of living things by combining with phosphoryl, carboxyl, amides and amine groups, resulting in the enzyme inhibition and protein precipitation. The danger of the presence of mercury in seafood were demonstrated by the appearance in the 1950s and 60s of a crippling neurological disorder among the inhabitants of a town in Southern Japan where Peter and Micheal (2005) reported that victims were poisoned by eating fish and shell fish that had concentrated mercury discharged at sea by a chemical plant. Begum *et al.,* (2009) also stressed that when larger animals feed on these contaminated organisms, the toxins are taken into their bodies, moving up food chain with increasing concentration in a process known as magnification. Aloa *et al.,* (2010) also reported that pesticide residues on vegetable crops, mercury in fish and many industrially produced chemicals might cause cancer, birth defects, genetic mutations or death.

**C onc. mg /l**

Apart from Life breweries and Savana, the mean concentrations of lead in the effluent samples from KP, Obisco, Golden and RIMCO were higher in the dry season than in the rainy season. In both seasons, the mean concentrations of lead in the effluent samples (except Obisco; rainy season) violated the allowable limit of <1.00mg/l set by FMEnv, (1991) for industrial effluent to be discharged into surface water (Fig 4.2). This was in line with the findings of Oguzie, (1999) who reported high levels of cadmium, lead and copper in effluents discharged into Ikpoba River. The minimum concentration of lead (0.873 + 0.719mg/l) in Obisco effluent in the rainy season; and the maximum level (3.553 + 0.719mg/l) obtained in Golden effluent in the dry season in this work were higher than lead values (0.034mg/l) reported by Oguzie, (1999) for Ikpoba River.

4

R ainy S eas on

Dry S eas on

3.5

3

2.5

2

1.5

1

0.5

0

L ife

S avanna

KP

Obis co

G olden

R imco

S tandard

**F ig . 4.2 :L ead levels by s eas on and location**

The pooled mean levels of lead from the polluted effluents (Tables 4.7 and 4.8) which was found to be above the maximum permissible level, could exert toxic effects on human beings if consumed from water or irrigated agricultural products from these areas. Lead interfares with functions performed by essential mineral elements such as

calcium, iron, copper and zinc (Bala *et al.,* 2008). Moreover, Vasudevan and Streakumari, (2000), reported that lead also inhibits red blood cell-enzyme systems. Similarly, lead can displace calcium in bone to form softer denser sports which according to Underwood, (2002) activates cysteine containing enzymes allowing more internal toxicity from free radical chemicals and other heavy metals. Lead also causes disruption of the biosynthesis of haemoglobin, rise in blood pressure, kidney damage and brain damage. (Akaninwor and Gwin 2006; Ademoroti, 1998).

Though the concentrations of lead in the various effluents were higher in the dry season than in the rainy season (Table 4.3), statistical analysis showed that there was no significant difference (P > 0.05) in the mean concentrations of this metal between rainy and dry season (F-value 2.578; P-value 0.111). Rainy season Coefficient of Variation (CV) of lead was 106.0 compared to that of dry season value of 92.8. In the case of food industries, there was also no significant difference (P>0.05) in the mean concentrations of lead among their effluents.

**C onc. mg /l**

With the exception of RIMCO effluent, other effluent studied recorded lower iron levels in the rainy season than in the dry season. All the effluent samples gave pooled mean iron concentrations that were far above the value recommended by FMEnv; (1991) in industrial effluents to be discharged into receiving stream (Table 4.7 and Fig. 4.3). Values obtained in the dry season were in the increasing order of 1.830 +

**F ig . 4.3 : Iron levels by S eas on and loc ation**

S tandard

R imco

G olden

Obis co

KP

S avanna

L ife

5

4.5

4

3.5

3

2.5

2

1.5

1

0.5

0

Dry S eas on

R ainy S eas on

0.706mg/l (RIMCO) < 2.350 + 1.223mg/l (Savana) < 3.427 + 0.706mg/l (Life) <4.107

+ 0.076mg/l (KP) < 4.139 + 0.076mg/l (Golden) <4.428 + 0.706mg/l (Obisco) respectively compared to the rainy season values of 1.178 + 0.815mg/l (KP) < 1.449 +

0.815mg/l (Life) < 1.808 + 0.815mg/l (Golden) < 1.830 + 1.412mg/l (Savana) < 2.567

+ 0.815mg/l (Obisco) < 2.772 + 0.999mg/l (RIMCO).

The mean concentrations of Iron were highly significant (P<0.05) between rainy and dry season (Appendix 3c). Levels of iron obtained in the dry season were very high compared to the rainy season values (Table 4.7 and 4.8) but the coefficient of variation was higher in the rainy season (94.3%) than in the dry season (81.4%). However, there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in the total mean concentration of iron among

the various food industries studied though the coefficient of variations ranged from 76.1% (Savana, rainy) through 84.035% (Obisco, rainy) to 102.2% (Golden, rainy) compared to the coefficient of variations in the dry season which ranged from 32.9 (Savana) through 81.1 (Obisco) to 117.8 (RIMCO).

From the foregoing, it was observed that Obisco beverage, Ogidi recorded the highest iron concentration in the dry season while RIMCO had the highest value of iron in the rainy season. Low concentrations of iron in the rainy season compared to the dry season in the effluent samples studied could be as a result of the fact that during rainy season, there was twice increase in dilution from precipitation, short residence time and continuous exchange of water; thus, most of the materials were discharged into a receiving water body leaving a comparatively small quantity in the effluent pathway. This was in line with the findings of Moore and Ramamorathy, (1984).

**C onc. mg /l**

Mean chromium concentrations in the effluent samples of all the food industries investigated were again higher in the dry season than in the rainy season with the exception of Savana and RIMCO effluents which recorded higher values in the rainy season than in the dry season (Table 4.7). Lower values of chromium in the rainy season could also be as a result of dilution from precipitation or even leaching out of this pollutant into a receiving water body.

R ainy S eas on

Dry S eas on

3.5

3

2.5

2

1.5

1

0.5

0

L ife

S avanna

KP

Obis co

G olden

R imco

S tandard

**F ig . 4.4 : C hromium L evels by S eas on and loc ations**

Apart from Savana, both rainy (0.810 + 0.988mg/l) and dry (0.635 + 0.856mg/l) seasons; Obisco (0.701 + 0.571mg/l) and Golden (0.937 + 0.571mg/l) both rainy seasons, other effluent samples had their pooled mean chromium concentration above the standard prescribed by FMEnv, (1991) in both seasons. Total mean chromium concentrations obtained in this work ware higher than total mean chromium level (0.534mg/l) reported by Egborge, (1991) for Warri River and that (0.079mg/l) reported by Oguzie and Okhagbuzo, (2010) for effluent discharges downstream of Ikpoba river in Benin City.

Total mean chromium levels were not significant (P>0.05) in the effluent samples between rainy and dry season (f ±value 3.074, P ±value 0.83) (Appendix 3d), but recorded higher coefficient of variation in the rainy season (135.7) than in the dry season (99.8%). Again, there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in the concentrations of chromium in the effluents from various food industries with slight variations observed between Life and Golden (p ±value 0.032); Life and Savana (p ± value 0.030).

**C onc. mg /l**

Figure 4.5 showed that nickel was not detected in the dry season from all the effluent samples studied. It was below the detection limit of the instrument during this season probably because it was accumulated in the air around the food industries as sprays, droplets and dust particles, hence was not detected. With the arrival of rain, it was washed down by precipitation where it mixed with the effluent pathway leading to increase in the concentration of this metal in the rainy season than in the dry season. This was in line with the findings of Singare *et al.,* (2011) who reported that Nickel is released into the air by power plants and trash incinerators and will settle to the ground or fall down after it reacts with precipitation. Highest cocnentration of this metal was recorded in KP beverages, Ogidi (1.129 + 0.234mg/l) which was above the standard allowed by the Federal Ministry of Environment (1991) for effluent limit (<1.00mg/l). RIMCO, Nnewi gave the least value of nickel (0.938 + 0.234mg/l) (Table 4.7) which was within the limit set by the Federal Ministry of Environment, (1991) for industrial effluent limit.

R ainy S eas on

1.2

1

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0

L ife

S avanna

KP

Obis co

G olden

R imco

S tandard

**F ig . 4.5 : Nic kel levels by s eas on and loc ations**

**Mg /L**

Figure 4.6 showed that copper, also was not detected in the dry season in all the effluent samples studied. Copper was below the detection limit of the instrument and was not detected. This could be as a result of the fact that this metal was also concentrated in the air around the food industries as sprays, dust particles and even droplets during the dry season and with the coming of rain, it was washed down by precipitation and mixed with the effluent pathway, hence the increase in the concentration of this metal in the effluent samples from all the food industries in the rainy season than in the dry season (Tables 4.7 and 4.8).

**F ig . 4.6 : C opper levels by s eas on and loc ations**

S tandard

R imco

G olden

Obis co

KP

S avanna

L ife

1.2

1

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0

R ainy S eas on

Obisco beverages, Ogidi and Life breweries, Onitsha gave mean values of copper (1.014 + 0.294mg/l) and (1.004 + 0.294mg/l) that were above the values allowed by the FMEnv, (1991) for industrial effluent discharges (Fig 4.6). Other effluents from RIMCO, Savana, KP and Golden gave mean copper concentrations that were within the FMEnv, (1991) effluent limit.

**C onc. mg /l**

Gold was not detected in the dry season (Fig 4.7) from all the effluent samples studied. It was also below the detection limit of the instrument in the dry season but was detected in the rainy season. This could be as a result of the reason offered for nickel and copper in figures 4.5 and 4.6. Obisco beverages, Ogidi recorded the highest mean level of gold but there were neighter FMEnv, (1991) effluent limit for gold nor WHO limit for gold in drinking water.

R ainy S eas on

1.6

1.4

1.2

1

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0

L ife

S avanna

KP

Obis co

G olden

R imco

**F ig . 4.7 : G old levels by s eas on and loc ations**

The trend of gold cocnentrations in rainy season for the food industries studied was Obisco > Golden > Savana > Life > RIMCO > KP respectively.

**C onc. mg /l**

Similarly, Fig 4.8 showed that tin was not detected in the dry season or was rather below the detection limit of the instrument. Resource Improvement and Manufacturing Company, Nnewi recorded the highest mean concentration of tin compared to other food industries studied (3.231 + 0.527mg/l) while the least value of tin was obtained in effluent from KP beverages, Ogidi (0.943 + 0.527mg/l).

3.5

R ainy S eas on

3

2.5

2

1.5

1

0.5

0

L ife

S avanna


KP

Obis co

G olden

R imco

**F ig . 4.8 : T in levels by s eas on and loc ations**



# Fig. 4.9: Manganese levels by season and locations

Manganese was not detected in the dry season in all the effluent samples studied. This could be as a result of the fact that this metal was accumulated in the air around the food industries as sprays, droplets and dust particles in the dry season and with the arrival of rain, it was washed down through preciptation and mixed with the effluents, leading to increase in the concentrations of manganese in the rainy season than in the dry season (Fig 4.9).

The highest concentration of manganese was obtained in the effluent from KP beverages, Ogidi while the least level was recorded by Savana effluent. FMEnv, (1991) effluent limit for manganese was not available, but values obtained for this metal in all the effluent samples studied (rainy season) were above the limit (0.05mg/l) set by the WHO, (1989) for drinking water.

**C onc mg /L**

Figure 4.10 showed that silver was below the detection limit of the instrument and was not detected in the dry season from all the effluent samples studied. This could be as a result of the reason given for inability to detect manganese in Fig 4.9. The mean concentration trend for silver in the rainy season for the industries was RIMCO > Savana > KP > Obisco > Golden > Life. FMEnv, (1991) industrial effluent limit for silver was not available but values obtained for this metal (rainy season) in all the effluent samples were above the limit (0.05mg/l) set by WHO, (1989), for drinking water.

3

R ainy S eas on

2.5

2

1.5

1

0.5

0

L ife

S avanna

KP

Obis co

G olden

R imco

**F ig . 4.10 : S ilver levels by s eas on and loc ations**

**C onc. mg /l**

With the exception of Savana and RIMCO effluent samples, the total mean concentrations of Arsenic in all the effluent samples studied were higher in the dry season than in the rainy season. Values obtained ranged from a minimum of 0.282 +

**F ig . 4.11 : Ars enic levels by s eas on and loc ations**

S tandard

R imco

G olden

Obis co

KP

S avanna

L ife

0

0.5

1

1.5

2

Dry S eas on

R ainy S eas on

2.5

0.547mg/l in KP effluent to a maximum of 2.211 + 0.447mg/l in RIMCO effluent for the rainy season respectively compared to the minimum value of 0.205 + 0.670mg/l in Savana effluent to a maximum of 1.836 + 0.387mg/l in RIMCO effluents for the dry season (Tables 4.7 and 4.8). This showed that RIMCO Industry had the highest concentration of Arsenic in both seasons which was higher than the FMEnv, (1991) industrial effluent limit for this heavy metal. On the other hand, Savana had the least Arsenic levels in the dry season. Other effluent samples studied recorded total mean arsenic levels that are within the limit allowed by FMEnv, (1991) (Table 4.8 and Fig. 4.11).

Nevertheless, arsenic concentrations varied significantly (P<0.05) in the effluent samples among the food industries, especially between Life and RIMCO with coefficient of variation of 80.886 and 132.6) Savana and RIMCO (76.5 and 132.6); KP

and RIMCO (93.3 and 132.6); Golden and RIMCO (115.8 and 132.6); Obisco and RIMCO (69.5 and 132.6) respectively (Appendix 4f).

Arsenic is actually toxic to man and if detected in drinking water should give cause for concern. Investigation showed that a severe poisoning could arise from ingestion of as little as 100mg arsenic as a result of pollution from weedkillers and pesticides containing arsenical compounds. Exposure to inorganic arsenic can cause irritation of the stomach and intestine, decreased production of red and white blood cells and significant amount of arsenic can intensify chances of cancer development.

**C onc. mg /l**

Cadmium levels were highest in the effluent samples from Savana (dry season), followed by Obisco effluent (rainy season) and Golden oil effluent (rainy season) (Fig 4.12). Effluent samples from other food industries studied recorded total mean cadmium concentrations that were either within or slightly above the allowable limit of 1.00mg/l (FMEnv, 1991). This was in line with the findings of Oguzie and Okhagbuzo, (2010) who reported low cadmium levels in effluents discharges of Ikpoba river; but higher than the value reported by Obasohan *et al.,* (2006) for Ogbar river water.

**F ig . 4.12 :C admium lev els by s eas on and loc ations**

S tandard

R imco

G olden

Obis co

KP

S avanna

L ife

0

0.5

1

1.5

2

2.5

Dry S eas on

R ainy S eas on

High exposure to cadmium could lead to lung diseases and has been linked to lung cancer and damage to human respiratory systems. Adeyemi *et al*., (2007) reported that people who consume cadmium in excess of the permissible level will suffer from renal tubular disease.

However, there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in the total cadmium levels among the food industries under study except that seen between Obisco and RIMCO (f

±value 0.018).

Although the total mean concentrations of Arsenic and Cadmium were slightly higher in the dry season than in the rainy season, in almost all the effluent samples studied, there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in the levels of these two metals between the two seasons but the coefficient of variation for arsenic was higher in the rainy season (181.8%) than in the dry season (150.0%) compared to that of cadmium which was higher in the dry season (112.6%) than in the rainy season (107.7). Nevertheless, arsenic concentrations varied significantly (P<0.05) in the effluent samples among the food industries, especially between Life and RIMCO with coefficient of variation of

80.9 and 132.6) Savana and RIMCO (76.5 and 132.6); KP and RIMCO (93.3 and

132.6); Golden and RIMCO (115.8 and 132.6); Obisco and RIMCO (69.5 and 132.6) respectively (Appendix 4f).

**C onc. mg /l**

Zinc, on the otherhand, recorded values that are significantly high in the effluent samples from all the food industries investigated in both seasons when compared to the value recommended by FMEnv, (1991) (<1.00mg/l) in industrial effluents (Fig 4.13). In the rainy season, the highest value was obtained in Savana effluent (16.010 +

**F ig . 4.13: Zinc levels by s eas on and locations**

S tandard

R imco

G olden

Obis co

KP

S avanna

L ife

16

14

12

10

8

6

4

2

0

Dry S eas on

R ainy S eas on

18

5.899mg/l) against 4.000 + 3.406mg/l in Golden oil effluent (least value). Life breweries effluent recorded the highest value in the dry season (14.636 + 1.966mg/l) while Obisco effluent had the value (3.783 + 1.703mg/l) in the same season.

Concentrations of zinc in all the effluent samples were alarmingly high and violated the FMEnv, (1991) industrial effluent limit for zinc. Kolo *et al*., (2009) reported that zinc is one of the general essential elements for human health and metabolism and should be available in normal drinking water which according to Rajaram and Ashutost, (2008) may be higher if the water is stored in metal tanks.

Though total mean concentrations of zinc obtained in this work were high, they were within the value (15.00mg/l) recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO),

(1989) in drinking water except effluent sample from Savana (rainy season) which was higher than the WHO, (1989) value in drinking water.

Statistical analysis confirmed that the industries investigated showed no significant difference (P>0.05) between rainy and dry season with coefficient of variations of 74.0 (rainy season) and 81.9 (dry season) respectively. In the case of industries, there were significant difference (P<0.05) in the level of zinc among the effluents from these industries. For instance, there was significant difference in the mean zinc levels between Life and KP (p ±value 0.001); Life and Obisco (p ±value 0.000); Life and Golden (p ±value 0.003); Life and RIMCO (p ±value 0.014); Savana and Obisco (p ± value 0.024); Obisco and RIMCO (p ±value 0.040) respectively (Appendix 3j). Among the heavy metals, zinc is the least toxic and an essential element in human diet as it is required to maintain the functioning of the immune system. The recommended dietary allowance for zinc is 15mg/day for men and 12mg/day for women (ATSDR, 1994).



**Fig. 4.14: Cobalt levels by season and locations**


Figure 4.14 showed that cobalt concentration was higher in the dry season than in the rainy season with the exception of Life breweries, Onitsha and Savana effluent where reverse was the case. Low levels of this metal (Cobalt) in the rainy season compared to the dry season could be as a result of dilution through precipitation or leaching out of this heavy metal into a receiving water body by flood thereby lowering the concentration of cobalt in the effluent pathway in the rainy season than in the dry season. Golden vegetable oil, Onitsha recorded the highest mean concentration of cobalt in the dry season. The trend of cobalt concentration in the dry season for the food industries was Golden > Obisco > RIMCO > Savana > KP > Life respectively. Similarly, the cobalt concentration trend for the industries in the rainy season was Life

> Savana > Obisco > Golden > KP > RIMCO.

The total mean concentrations of cobalt in the wastewater samples were not significant (p > 0.05) between the rainy and the dry seasons (f ±value: 2.782; p ±value: 0.109) (Appendix 3g). In a similar note, there was also no significant difference (p > 0.05) in the total mean concentrations of cobalt in the effluent samples of the different

industries monitored, though slight variations was observed in the mean levels of cobalt in the effluent samples from Golden and RIMCO (p ±value: 0.027); KP and Golden (p

±value: 0.22) where cobalt level was slightly higher in Golden effluent than the values obtained from RIMCO and KP effluents.




**Fig. 4.15: Bismuth levels by season and locations**

Similarly, Fig 4.15 showed that bismuth levels were higher in the dry season than in the rainy season (with the exception of Savana and RIMCO effluents) in all the effluent samples studied. Low concentrations of bismuth in the rainy season compared to the dry season could be as a result of dilution through preciptiation or by flood which washed away the metal into receiving water bodies, thus reducing its cocnentrations in the effluent pathways in the rainy season. The highest mean concentration of bismuth in the dry season was obtained from KP effluent sample. The trend of bismuth concentration in the dry season for the food industries studied was KP > Obisco > Golden > Life > RIMCO > Savana. Similarly, the bismuth concentration trend for the food industries in the rainy season was RIMCO > Golden > KP > Savana > Life > Obisco respectively.

Appendix 3f showed that the mean concentration of Bismuth in the effluent samples was highly singificant (p < 0.05) between the rainy and dry seasons. The levels of Bismuth obtained in this work was higher in the dry season than the values obtained in the rainy season as confirmed by the ANOVA Table (Appendix 3f) in all the effluent samples monitored. Nevertheless, the coefficient of variation was higher in the rainy

season (117, 8558) than in the dry season (64.7429). Nevertheless, Appendix 3f showed that mean concentrations of Bismuth in the different food processing industrial effluents were not significant (p > 0.05). this showed that the Bismuth concentrations in the effluent samples of the different industries studied were not different from each other.



**Fig. 4.16: Selenum levels by season and locations**

Figure 4.16 showed that the mean concentrations of selenium was higher in the dry season than in the rainy season in effluent samples from Golden vegetable oil, Onitsha, Obisco beverages, Ogidi and Life breweries, Onitsha. Conversely, this metal was higher in the rainy season than in the dry season in effluent samples from RIMCO, KP and Savana. The decrease in the levels of selenium in the rainy season could be because of dilution by rain fall which lowered the concentrations of this metal in the effluent pathways. There was no FMEnv, (1991) effluent limit for selenium but mean concentrations of selenium obtained in the wastewater samples studied were higher than the value (0.01mg/l) recommended by WHO, (1989) in drinking water.

The ANOVA Table of between subjects effects for selenium was shown in Appendix 3i. From the Appendix, it was observed that there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in the mean concentrations of selenium between the rainy and dry seasons studied (f ±value: 0.013; p ±value: 0.908). Similarly, the same Appendix showed that there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in the variation of the concentrations of

selenium in the effluent samples from the different industries monitored, though a slight difference was observed between Savana and Golden (p ±value 0.043), Savana and RIMCO (p ±value: 0.018) respectively.

# Table 4.9: Pollution Index of the Heavy Metals Rainy Season

**Mercury Iron Chromium Lead Cadmiun Zinc Arsenic**

**Polution index**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Life | 1.6385 | 1.4489 | 1.5767 | 2.8350 | .6317 | 13.3733 | .4917 7.5896 |
| Savanna | 1.9850 | 1.8300 | .8100 | 2.4950 | .9300 | 16.0100 | .4050 8.8829 |
| KP | 1.2518 | 1.1778 | 1.0444 | 1.6450 | 1.2683 | 6.3033 | .2817 5.2510 |
| Obisco | 1.8735 | 2.5667 | .7011 | .8733 | 1.8283 | 5.1067 | .6283 7.0249 |
| Golden | 1.9352 | 1.8078 | .9367 | 2.2200 | 1.5233 | 4.0000 | .3350 7.0752 |
| Rimco  **Dry Season** | 1.5643 | 2.7717 | 1.6917 | 2.4458 | .8178 | 9.6567 | 2.2111 7.2688 |
| Life | 2.6550 | 3.4267 | 2.9458 | 2.8125 | 1.2833 | 14.6356 | .7491 11.2790 |
| Savanna | 3.7825 | 2.3500 | .6350 | 2.2250 | 2.0067 | 10.2933 | .2050 13.3379 |
| KP | 2.7242 | 4.1075 | 1.6917 | 3.3133 | 1.3078 | 6.4758 | .6017 10.2830 |
| Obisco | 3.1817 | 4.4283 | 2.8008 | 2.7633 | 1.2700 | 3.7833 | .8783 11.3654 |
| Golden | 2.8650 | 4.1392 | 1.4150 | 3.5533 | .6044 | 8.1700 | .4559 10.8054 |
| Rimco | .8778 | 1.8300 | 1.0817 | 2.4650 | .2064 | 8.2817 | 1.8358 4.7510 |
| **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Life | 2.1468 | 2.5790 | 2.3590 | 2.8238 | 1.0227 | 14.3200 | .6633 9.5290 |
| Savanna | 2.8838 | 2.1271 | .7100 | 2.3600 | 1.5760 | 11.7225 | .2717 10.9203 |
| KP | 1.9880 | 2.8519 | 1.4143 | 2.4792 | 1.2920 | 6.4413 | .4950 7.8191 |
| Obisco | 2.5276 | 3.6305 | 1.9010 | 1.8183 | 1.4933 | 4.0480 | .7950 9.1768 |
| Golden | 2.4001 | 3.1400 | 1.2100 | 2.8867 | .9720 | 7.3360 | .4156 9.1374 |
| Rimco | 1.2211 | 2.1439 | 1.2850 | 2.4554 | .5121 | 8.5567 | 1.9967 5.9102 |

The levels of pollution index of the heavy metals from the food industries are shown in Table 4.9. The most polluting industry in the rainy season was Savana with a pollution index of 8.9 which was very high compared to the tolerable limit of 1.00, while the least was KP beverage, Ogidi with a pollution index of 5.3 in the same season. In the dry season (Table 4.9), Savana again recorded high pollution index (13.3), while RIMCO had the least value (4.8) in the same season.

Generally, the highest pollution index was obtained in Savana effluents in both seasons (10.9), followed by Life breweries effluents (9.5), while the least pollution index was obtained in RIMCO effluents (5.9), followed by KP beverage effluents (7.8).

Nevertheless, a critical look at the values obtained for the pollution index showed that all the food industries studied were polluted since the pollution index values obtained were above the tolerable limit of 1.00, though some are more polluted than the others. A higher degree of pollution was evident in Savana effluents than that seen in RIMCO effluents in both seasons. Pollution in terms of heavy metal in the food industries studied ranked in the order Savana > Life > Obisco > Golden > KP > RIMCO respectively.

Toxic metals may be absorbed by vegetables through several processes and finally enter the food chain at high concentrations capable of causing a serious health risk to consumers. Their toxicity according to Charles *et al.,* (2011) reduce mental and central nervous functions, lower energy levels and damage to blood composition, lungs, kidneys, liver and other vital organs. The authors also asserted that long term exposure to these heavy metals may result in slowly progressing physical, muscular and neurological degenerative processes that cause muscular dystrophy and multiple sclerosis.

Uba and Agbogho, (2001) reported that Arsenic and Chromium cause cancer while Mercury affects the kidney and central nervous system, lead affects mental development in infants, toxic to the central and peripheral nervous system.

These effluents, therefore need to be appropriately treated with respect to these heavy toxic metallic elements before discharge into receiving water body. Soil contaminated by these heavy metals in the effluent samples might produce unhealthy crops which when consumed by humans might be dangerous to health.

# Results of the Physico-Chemical Parameters of the Effluents:

The Results of all the Physico-Chemical Parameters in the effluent samples of all the food processing industries studied were expressed as mean + SD in Tables 4.10 ±4.12 (rainy season) and Tables 4.13 ±4.15 (dry season).

121

# Table 4.10 Mean levels of Physico-chemical parameters for the months of June – September (Rainy Season) for life and Savana effluents Food Industry Life Breweries Effluent Savana Drink Effluents FMEnv

**(1991)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **P1** | | **P2** | **P3** | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** |
| Acidity (mg/L) | 0.216 + 0.113 | 0.103 + 0.086 | 0.462 + 0.713 | 0.187 + 0.154 | - - NA | |
| Total Hardness | 250.00 + 50.000 | 20.000 + 40.000 | 70.000 + 140.000 | BDL | - - 100mg/ L | |
| (mg/L) Chloride | 3.231 + 2.204 | 3.708 + 2.632 | 4.725 + 2.489 | 4.473 + 1.905 | - - 200 mg/ L | |
| Content (mg/L)  Hydroxyl | 11.900 + 23.800 | 15.300 + 30.600 | 51.000 + 102.000 | BDL | - - NA | |
| (mg/L)  Bicarbonate | 210.000 + 240.000 | 210.000 + 420.000 | 900.000 + 1,800.000 | BDL | - - NA | |
| Content(mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  | |
| Total Alkalinity | 35.000 + 70.000 | 35.000 + 70.000 | 150.000 + 300.000 | BDL | - - NA | |
| (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  | |
| pH Values | 3.500 + 2.467 | 5.200 + 3.526 | 5.000 + 3.434 | 2.650 + 0.904 | - - 6 ²9 | |
| Nitrate Concentration  (mg/L) Sulphate | 0.875 + 0.480  40.500 + 28.723 | 0.513 + 0.473  38.375 + 24.377 | 2.913 + 0.659  69.625 + 70.995 | 1.050 + 0.672  84.250 + 61.299 | * - 50 mg/ L * - 250 mg/ L | |
| Concentration (mg/L)  Total Solids | 1125.000+ 629.153 | 1450.000 + 640.312 | 1000.000+ 0.000 | 475.000 + 125.831 | - - 2030 mg/ L | |
| (TS) (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  | |
| TSS (mg/L) | 0.475 + 0.386 | 0.325 + 0.206 | 0.250 + 0.191 | 0.200 + 0.200 | - - 30 mg/ L | |
| TDS (mg/L) | 1124.525+ 628.775 | 1449.675 + 643.567 | 999.750 + 0.191 | 474.800 + 125.698 | - - 2000 mg/ L | |

**NOTE**: TS = Total Solids TSS = Total Suspended Solids TDS= Total Dissolved Solids BDL = Bellow Detection Limit

122

Table 4.10 shows the mean levels of the concentrations of the physico-chemical properties of the effluent samples from Life Breweries and Savana drinks from different points of collection ranging from the point of discharge of the effluent (P1) through 15 meters away from the point of discharge (P2) to 30 meters away from the point of discharge (P3) for the rainy season (June- September). In Life Breweries effluent, total hardness was observed to be highest at the point of discharge of the wastewater (P1) compared to the values obtained at P2 and P3. For Savana effluent, this parameter (hardness) was below the detection limit (BDL) and was not detected. This was also the case with hydroxyl, bicarbonate and total alkalinity which were not detected in the rainy season from Savana effluent, but were detected in Life Breweries effluent.

Again, there was an increase in the pH levels of the wastewater sample from Life Breweries from the point of discharge of the wastewater (3.500+2.467) to P2 (5.200+3.526) and then a decrease (5.00+3.434) at 30 meters away from the point of discharge of the wastewater (P3). However, other physico-chemical parameters did not follow clearly any discernible pattern as some parameters were highest at P1 than P2 and P3 while others were highest at P3 compared to the values obtained at P2 and P1 and vice versa.

123

**Table 4.11: Mean levels of Physico-chemical parameters for the months of June – September (Rainy Season) for KP and OBISCO effluents**

**Food Industry**

**KP Beverage Ogidi/Point of Collections Obisco Beverage Ogidi/Point of Collections FMEnv**

**(1991)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** |  |
| Acidity (mg/L) | 0.053 + 0.051 | 0.049 + 0.042 | 0.131 + 0.106 | 0.115 + 0.021 | 0.083 + 0.041 | 0.128 + 0.065 | NA |
| Total Hardness (mg/L) | 77.000 + 76.131 | 66.000+ 63.203 | 442.000 + 773.043 | 242.000 + 380.337 | 240.000 + 380.876 | 239.800 + 380.495 | 100mg/ L |
| Chloride  Content | 6.958 + 3.807 | 7.455 + 4.408 | 7.455 + 4.408 | 7.952 + 4.295 | 8.449 + 4.408 | 8.946 + 4.732 | 200 mg/ L |
| (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydroxyl (mg/L) | BDL | BDL | BDL | 6.800 + 13.600 | 6.800 + 13.600 | 13.600 + 27.200 | NA |
| Bicarbonate Content (mg/L) | BDL | BDL | BDL | 120.000 + 240.000 | 120.000 + 240.000 | 240.000 + 480.000 | NA |
| Total Alkalinity (mg/L) | BDL | BDL | BDL | 20.000 + 40.000 | 20.000 + 240.000 | 40.000 + 80.000 | NA |
| pH Values | 5.525 + 0.126 | 5.520 + 0.206 | 5.475 + 0.340 | 5.600 + 0.337 | 5.625 + 0.330 | 5.625 + 0.520 | 6 ²9 |
| Nitrate  Concentration | 1.200 + 1.145 | 1.238 + 0.694 | 0.638 + 0.502 | 2.825 + 2.575 | 4.400 + 3.075 | 5.273 + 1.626 | 50 mg/ Ll |
| (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulphate  Concentration | 78.750 + 68.163 | 99.750 + 62.185 | 85.250 + 68.178 | 56.000 + 55.785 | 49.500 + 51.235 | 54.250 + 48.230 | 250 mg/ L |
| (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Solids  (TS) | 125.000 + 629.123 | 1100.000+163.325 | 1300.00 + 871.780 | 850.000 + 300.000 | 850.000 + 300.000 | 650.000 + 58.230 | 2030 mg/ L |
| (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TSS (mg/L) | 0.437 + 0.415 | 0.200 + 0.200 | 0.350 + 0.235 | 0.300 + 0.231 | 0.275 + 0.206 | 0.525 + 0.05 | 30 mg/ L |
| TDS (mg/L) | 1124.563+ 629.256 | 1099.800+663.345 | 1299.650+871.680 | 849.700 + 300.133 | 849.725 + 300.150 | 649.475 + 580.181 | 2000 mg/L |

**NOTE**: TS = Total Solids TSS = Total Suspended Solids TDS= Total Dissolved Solids BDL = Bellow Detection Limit

124

From Table 4.11, it was observed that mean total hardness were highest from the effluent sample collected 30 meters away from the point of discharge (P3) in KP effluent, while in Obisco effluent, the highest mean hardness was recorded at the point of discharge of the effluent (P1). Values of hardness obtained from Obisco effluent at the various points of discharge and that obtained from KP effluent (P3) were higher than the value recommended by the Federal Ministry of Environment, (1991) in industrial effluent to be discharged into the environment. Bicarbonate and alkalinity were below the detection limit (BDL) of the instrument in KP effluent at all the points of discharge (rainy season, Table 4.11), but were detected in Obisco effluent in the same season.

In both effluents (KP and Obisco), pH values increased from the points of discharge of the effluents (P1) through 15 meters away from the points of discharge (P2) to 30 meters from the points of discharge of the effluents (P3). However, other parameters showed no particular trend of occurrence at the different points of discharge of the effluents in both companies (rainy season, Table 4.11).

125

**Table 4.12: Mean levels of Physico-chemical parameters for the months of June – September (Rainy Season) for Golden and RIMCO effluents.**

**Food Industry Golden Vegetable Oil Onitsha /Point of Collections RIMCO Nnewi/Point of Collections FMEnv**

**(1991)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** |  |
| Acidity (mg/L) | 0.362+0.108 | 0.395 + 0.134 | 0.395 + 0.180 | 0.271+0.046 | 0.306+0.034 | 0.270+0.092 | NA |
| Total Hardness | 13.000 + 26.000 | BDL | 100.00+200.000 | 171.500+286.442 | 314.000+591.256 | 150.000+300.000 | 100mg/l |
| (mg/L)  Chloride Content | 6.457 + 3.396 | 6.457+3.396 | 6.954 + 3.808 | 3.479+0.994 | 3.231+1.491 | 3.231+1.490 | 200 mg/l |
| (mg/L)  Hydroxyl (mg/L) | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NA |
| Bicarbonate Content (mg/L) | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NA |
| Total Alkalinity | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | BDL | NA |
| (mg/L)  pH Values | 3.225 + 1.541 | 3.175+1.457 | 3.200 + 1.499 | 3.100+2.069 | 2.850+1.634 | 2.800+1.667 | 6 ²9 |
| Nitrate Concentra-tion  (mg/L) Sulphate | 0.713 + 34.073  40.500 + 34.073 | 1.625+1.554  52.500+40.286 | 1.275 + 1.165  49.750 + 35.519 | 1.600+0.057  44.875+37.417 | 1.200+0.813  37.500+22.128 | 1.450+0.951  48.250+26.424 | 50 mg/l  250 mg/l |
| Concentration (mg/L)  Total Solids (TS) | 675.000+427.200 | 750.000+288.675 | 750.000+288.675 | 650.000+ 238.048 | 625.000+250.000 | 450.000+57.735 | 2030 mg/l |
| (mg/L)  TSS (mg/L) | 0.350+ 0.300 | 0.250+0.191 | 0.225 + 0.189 | 0.325+0.126 | 0.425+0.222 | 0.425+0.222 | 30 mg/l |
| TDS (mg/L) | 674.650+427.134 | 749.750+288.502 | 749.775+288.531 | 649.675+238.083 | 624.575+250.083 | 449.57+57.880 | 2000 mg/l |

**NOTE**: TS = Total Solids TSS = Total Suspended Solids TDS= Total Dissolved Solids BDL = Bellow Detection Limit

126

Table 4.12 shows that bicarbonates, and alkalinity were below the detection limit (BDL) of the instrument and hence were not detected from the effluent samples from Golden and RIMCO in the rainy season. Mean pH levels of the effluents decreased from P1 (3.00+2.069) through P2 (2.850+1.634) and then to P3 (2.800+1.667) in RIMCO effluent sample. However, this trend was not observed in the pH levels of the Golden oil effluents as there was a decrease from P1 to P2 and then an increase from P2 to P3.

Total solids levels obtained from RIMCO effluents decreased from the point of discharge of the effluent (P1) through 15 meters away from the point of discharge (P2) and then 30 meters away from the point of discharge of the effluent (Table 4.12), but in Golden effluent, this trend was not observed in the mean levels of total solids at the various points of discharge of the effluents.

Other physico-chemical parameters monitored for Golden and RIMCO effluents did not follow a particular trend in their occurrence at the different points of discharge of the effluents.

127

# Table 4.13: Mean levels of Physico-chemical parameters for the months of October – January (Dry Season) for Life and Savana effluents Food Industry Life Breweries Effluent Savana Drink Effluent FMEnv

**(1991)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **P1** | | **P2** | **P3** | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** |
| Acidity (mg/L) | 0.175 + 0.178 | 0.142 + 0.175 | 0.162 + 0.188 | 0.175 + 0.122 | - - NA | |
| Total Hardness (mg/L) | 98.800 + 73.976 | 127.000 + 86.925 | 97.000 + 56.415 | 107.000+ 72.544 | - - 100mg/ L | |
| Chloride Content (mg/L)  Hydroxyl (mg/L) | 7.455 + 6.958  10.200 + 20.400 | 6.958 + 5.964  22.100 + 44.200 | 6.958 + 5.964  13.600 + 27.200 | 5.219 + 3.182  BDL | * - 200 mg/ L * - NA | |
| Bicarbonate Content (mg/L)  Total Alkalinity (mg/L) | 180.000 + 360.000  300.000 + 600.000 | 390.000 + 780.000  65.000 + 130.000 | 240.000 + 480.000  40.000 + 80.000 | BDL  BDL | * - NA * - NA | |
| pH Values | 6.063 + 3.960 | 6.050 + 3.842 | 5.988 + 3.683 | 5.325 + 3.176 | - - 6 ²9 | |
| Nitrate Concentration (mg/L)  Sulphate Concentration | 0.663 + 0.442  11.250 + 10.104 | 1.025 + 0.953  15.500 + 9.747 | 1.313 + 0.838  15.600 + 11.758 | 1.525 + 0.450  13.000 + 13.491 | * - 50 mg/ L * - 250 mg/ L | |
| (mg/L)  Total Solids (TS) | 600.000+294.3920 | 525.000 + 320.156 | 412.500 + 118.145 | 275.000+ 50.000 | - - 2030 mg/ L | |
| (mg/L)  TSS (mg/L) | 0.525 + 0.171 | 0.750 + 0.191 | 0.750 + 0.205 | 0.700 + 0.245 | - - 30 mg/ L | |
| TDS (mg/L) | 599.475 + 294.29 | 524.250+ 320.00 | 411.750 + 118.124 | 274.300 + 49.867 | - - 2000 mg/ L | |

**NOTE**: TS = Total Solids TSS = Total Suspended Solids TDS= Total Dissolved Solids BDL = Bellow Detection Limit

128

Table 4.13 shows the mean levels of the physicochemical properties of the effluents from Life Breweries and Savana in the dry season (October- Jan) from the different points of discharge (P1-P3). Total mean pH levels obtained from the various points of discharge of the wastewater in Life effluent samples decreased in the order P1 (6.063+3.960)> P2 (6.050+3.842)>P3 (5.988+3.683). This trend showed a decrease in the pH of the wastewater from the point of discharge of the effluent (P1) to 30 meters away from the point of discharge (P3). However, mean pH values obtained from Savana effluent (P1) was lower than the values obtained at the different points in Life Breweries effluent sample.

Nevertheless, hydroxyl, bicarbonate and total alkalinity were below the detection limit (BDL) of the instrument and were not detected in both seasons from Savana effluent (Table 4.10 and 4.11). Other physico-chemical parameters showed no particular order in their occurrence from the different points of discharge of the effluents in Life Breweries effluents.

129

**Table 4.14: Mean levels of Physico-chemical parameters for the months of October – January (Dry Season) for KP and OBISO effluents.**

**Food Industry KP Beverage Ogidi/Point of Collections Obisco Beverage Ogidi/Point of Collections FMEnv**

**(1991)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Acidity (mg/L) | **P1**  0.209 + 0.212 | **P2**  0.186 + 0.188 | **P3**  0.180 + 0.138 | | **P1**  0.189 + 0.160 | **P2**  0.184 + 0.173 | **P3**  0.185 + 0.192 | NA |
| Total Hardness (mg/L)  Chloride Content | 95.000 + 90.059  7.952 + 7.952 | 86.000 + 64.457  8.448 + 8.947 | 82.000 + 69.244  9.940 + 11.928 | | 249.000 + 371467  7.957 + 7.949 | 244.200+371.947  9.443 + 10.934 | 235.000+378.564  6.958 + 5.964 | 100mg/ L  200 mg/ L |
| (mg/L)  Hydroxyl (mg/L) | 17.050 + 19.688 | 22.050 + 26.713 | 23.750 + 11.928 | | 32.300 + 29.050 | 25.500 + 29.575 | 27.958 + 28.850 | NA |
| Bicarbonate Content (mg/L)  Total Alkalinity | 300.000+ 346.410  50.000 + 57.735 | 390.000+473.709  65.000 + 78.951 | 420.000+ 567.098  70.000 + 94.516 | | 570.000 +512.640  365.000 +562.939 | 450.000 +521.920  165.000 +177.670 | 480.000+509.117  215.000+270.000 | NA  NA |
| (mg/L) pH Values | 6.800 + 1.211 | 6.625 + 0.991 | 6.400+ 0.622 | | 5.250 + 2.838 | 5.175 + 3.058 | 4.215 + 3.342 | 6 ²9 |
| Nitrate Concentration (mg/L) Sulphate | 2.213 + 1.825  9.250 + 5.825 | 2.213 + 1.321  13.500 + 10.344 | 1.725 + 2.274  9.625 + 7.274 | | 2.213 + 1.509  6.800 + 5.902 | 2.013 + 1.217  7.750 + 2.062 | 2.188 + 0.936  10.000 + 5.477 | 50 mg/ L  250 mg/ L |
| Concentration (mg/L)  Total Solids (TS) | 500.000 + 81.650 | 425.000+125.831 | 300.000+ 115.470 | | 550.000+ 341.565 | 475.000 +350.000 | 412.500+392.375 | 2030 mg/ L |
| (mg/L)  TSS (mg/L) | 0.550 + 0.129 | 0.525 + 0.206 | 0.625 + 0.15 | | 0.725 + 0.150 | 0.725 + 0.189 | 2.975 + 4.690 | 30 mg/ L |
| TDS (mg/L) | 499.450 + 81.731 | 424.475+ 125.678 | 299.375 | + | 574.275 + 309.707 | 474.275 +349.81 | 409.525+387.706 | 2000 mg/ L |
|  |  |  | 115.499 |  |  |  |  |  |

**NOTE**: TS = Total Solids TSS = Total Suspended Solids TDS= Total Dissolved Solids BDL = Bellow Detection Limit

130

From Table 4.14, it was observed that the total mean hardness was highest at the point of discharge of the effluents (P1) in Obisco effluent (249.00+371.467mg/L) and least at 30 meters away from the point of discharge (P3). Total mean hardness levels obtained from this effluent at all the points of collection were higher than the value recommended by the Federal Ministry of Environment, (1991) for industrial effluent limit. This trend was also observed in KP effluent sample though total mean hardness levels from KP effluent were within the limit specified for industrial effluent.

pH levels of the two effluents (KP and Obisco) were also highest at the points of discharge (P1) and least at 30 meters away from the points of discharge of the effluents (P3). This particular trend was again observed in the levels of total solids and total suspended solids at the different points of discharge of the effluents from both KP and Obisco effluents. However, other physicochemical parameters from these effluents varied from one point to the other at the different points of discharge.

131

**Table 4.15: Mean levels of Physico-chemical parameters for the months of October – January (Dry Season) for Golden and RIMCO effluents.**

**Food Industry**

**Golden Vegetable Oil Onitsha/Point of Collections RIMCO Nnewi/Point of Collections FMEnv**

**(1991)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** | **P1** | **P2** | **P3** |  |
| Acidity (mg/L) | 0.350 + 0.349 | 0.373 + 0.274 | 0.358 + 0.274 | 0.354+0.204 | 0.342 + 0.214 | 0.356 + 0.212 | NA |
| Total Hardness | 507.500 + 591.672 | 517.500+580.835 | 525.000+573.303 | 450.000+416.493 | 500.000+469.326 | 522.500+ 588.114 | 100mg/ L |
| (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | 8.449 + 2.982 | 17.395 + 19.094 | 11.928 + 8.434 | 3.231+1.491 | 3.231 + 1.491 | 3.479 + 0.994 | 200 mg/ L |
| Content |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydroxyl | 8.500 + 17.000 | 8.500 + 17.000 | 8.500 + 17.000 | 10.200+13.021 | 6.800 + 7.8852 | 8.500 + 10.200 | NA |
| (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bicarbonate | 150.000 + 300.000 | 150.000+300.000 | 150.000+300.000 | 80.000+229783 | 120.000+138.564 | 150.000+180.000 | NA |
| Content |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 25.000 + 50.000 | 25.000 + 50.000 | 250.000+50.000 | 30.000+38.297 | 20.000 + 23.094 | 25.000 + 30.000 | NA |
| Alkalinity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH Values | 5.175 + 0.624 | 5.075 + 0.299 | 5.375 + 0.330 | 5.275+1.413 | 4.925 + 1.539 | 4.900 + 1.587 | 6 ²9 |
| Nitrate | 1.663 + 6.266 | 1.875 + 0.247 | 2.150 + 1.178 | 0.800+0.577 | 0.538 + 0.453 | 0.713 + 0.375 | 50 mg/ L |
| Concentration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulphate | 6.875 + 3.924 | 6.875 + 3.924 | 8.750 + 2.363 | 7.250 + 7.354 | 7.250 + 8.529 | 10.875 + 10.299 | 250 mg/ L |
| Concentration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Solids | 450.000 + 129.099 | 390.000+90.185 | 332.500+127.377 | 620.000+337.046 | 570.000+315.595 | 487.500 + 352.077 | 2030 mg/ L |
| (TS) (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TSS (mg/L) | 0.800 + 129.099 | 1.125 + 0.597 | 0.775 + 0.206 | 0.575 + 0.386 | 0.475 + 0.206 | 0.500 + 0.100 | 30 mg/ L |
| TDS (mg/L) | 449.200 + 129.048 | 388.875+90.109 | 331.725+127.186 | 619.425+337.123 | 569.525+315.725 | 486.950 + 351.980 | 2000 mg/ L |

**NOTE**: TS = Total Solids TSS = Total Suspended Solids TDS= Total Dissolved Solids BDL = Bellow Detection Limit

Table 4.15 shows the mean levels of the physico-chemical properties of the effluents from Golden and RIMCO in the dry season (October- Jan). It was observed that the mean total hardness obtained from these effluents followed the reverse trend as was the case in Table 4.14. The highest mean hardness was obtained from the effluent sample collected from 30 meters away from the points of discharge of the effluents (P3)in both Golden and RIMCO industries. The trend was P3>P2>P1. Mean hardness obtained from the two effluents were higher than the value recommended by the Federal Ministry of Environment (FMEnv), (1991) for industrial effluent limit.

pH values decreased from P1 to P3 from the effluent sample from RIMCO but did not follow this pattern in Golden effluent as P3 recorded the highest level of pH compared to P2 and P1. Total dissolved solids were highest at P1 in the two effluents and least at P3.

Despite the variations obtained in the physico-chemical properties of the effluents at the different sampling points in both season, the pH values were lower in the rainy season than in the dry season at almost all the points of collection of the effluents. This could be as a result of acid rain in the area which instead of diluting the effluents, increased the acidity of the effluents, hence lowering the pH in the rainy season than in the dry season.

In the same way, total solids (TS) and total dissolved solids (TDS) obtained from these effluents were higher in the rainy season at all the points of discharge of the effluents than values obtained in the dry season. This could probably be as a result of flood run off from the surrounding areas which mixed with the effluent pathways, thus increasing the concentrations of these parameters in the rainy season than in the dry season.

# Pooled Mean Levels of the Physico-Chemical Parameters

The results of the pooled mean levels of the physico-chemical parameters of the effluent samples are presented in Tables 4.16 (rainy season), 4.17 (dry season) and Figures 4.17 to 4.28 respectively.

134

# Table 4.16: Pooled Mean levels of the Physico-Chemical Parameters of the Effluents in the rainy season (June – September)

**Parameters Effluent Samples**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tested** | **Life Breweries Onitsha** | **Savana Drinks** | **KP Beverages Ogidi** | **Obisco Beverages Ogidi** | **Golden Oil Osha** | **RIMCO**  **Nnewi** | **FMEnv (1991)**  **Effluent Limit** |
| Acidity (mg/L) | 0.324 + 0.061 | 0.249 +0.112 | 0.102+ 0.064 | 0.108+ 0.056 | 0.379+ 0.056 | 0.174+ 0.056 | NA |
| Hardness (mg/L) | 173.333+ 206.038 | BDL | 260.000+ 118.956 | 455.143+134.883 | 226.000+252.244 | 423.667+145.691 | 100mg/L |
| Chloride Content | 3.727+ 1.689 | 4.473+ 2.925 | 7.289+ 1.689 | 8.449+1.689 | 6.622+ 1.689 | 3.313+ 1.689 | 200mg/L |
| (mg/l) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydroxyl | 104.267+ 17.038 | BDL | BDL | 36.267+17.038 | BDL | BDL | NA |
| Content (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bicarbonate | 1840.000+300.819 | BDL | BDL | 620.000+300.819 | BDL | BDL | NA |
| Content (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alkalinity (mg/L) | 306.667+ 155.820 | BDL | BDL | 86.667+155.820 | BDL | BDL | NA |
| pH | 4.583+ 0.414 | 2.650+ 0.718 | 5.508+ 0.414 | 5.625+ 0.414 | 3.200+ 0.414 | 2.908+ 0.414 | 6 ±9 |
| Nitrate | 1.434+ 0.353 | 1.050+ 0.611 | 1.004+ 0.353 | 4.166+ 0.353 | 1.204+ 0.353 | 1.333+ 0.353 | 50mg/L |
| Concentration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulphate | 49.500+ 9.346 | 84.917+ 16.188 | 87.917+ 9.346 | 53.333+ 9.346 | 47.875+ 9.346 | 41.875+ 9.346 | 250 mg/L |
| Concentration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (mg/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TS (mg/L) | 1191.667+103.957 | 475.000+180.059 | 1175.000+103.957 | 891.667+103.957 | 725.000+103.957 | 533.333+103.957 | 2030 mg/L |
| TSS (mg/L) | 0.354+ 0.069 | 0.200+ 0.120 | 0.346+0.046 | 0.383+ 0.069 | 0.275+ 0.069 | 0.400+ 0.069 | 30 mg/L |
| TDS (mg/L) | 1191.313+103.916 | 474.800+179.988 | 1174.654+103.916 | 891.283+103.916 | 724.725+103.916 | 532.933+103.916 | 2000 mg/L |

**NOTE**: TS = Total Solids TSS = Total Suspended Solids TDS= Total Dissolved Solids BDL = Bellow Detection Limit

Table 4.16 shows the mean levels of the physiochemical properties of the effluent samples from the various food industries monitored in the rainy season. From the Table, it was observed that the physicochemical parameters monitored showed marked variation from one effluent sample to the other. For instance, total mean value of pH of the discharged effluents was lowest in Savana effluent (2.650 + 0.718). This value was closely followed by the values obtained from RIMCO effluent (2.908 + 0.414) and Golden effluent sample (3.200 + 0.414). The pH of these effluents were highly acidic in this season and could impair the activities of soil microbes and even aquatic lives if discharged into the environment without proper treatment.

The mean concentrations of pH obtained in the rainy season from all the effluents were lower than the values obtained in the dry season (Table 4.17). This could be as a result of acid rain which mixed with the effluents, hence leading to low pH values. Values of pH obtained from all the effluent samples monitored (rainy season) were lower than the permissible industrial effluent limitation guideline set by the Federal Ministry of Environment, (1991). Moreover, the total mean levels of sulphates, total solids and total dissolved solids were higher in the rainy season in all the effluent samples monitored than the values obtained for these parameters in the dry season. This could be as a result of flood run off which mixed with the effluent pathways, hence increased the turbidity of the effluents in this season (Table 4.16). However, the mean concentration of sulphates, Nitrates, total solids and total dissolved solids obtained from this work were still lower than the values recommended by the Federal Ministry of Environment, (1991) for industrial effluent limit. This showed that these parameters contributed little to the pollution status of these effluents.

This notwithstanding, hardness levels obtained from these effluent samples (apart from Savana effluent) recorded values that were higher than the value recommended by the Federal Ministry of Environment (FMEnv), (1991) for effluent limitation guideline.

137

# Table 4.17: Pooled Mean levels of the Physico-Chemical Parameters of the Effluents in the dry season (October – January)

**Parameters Effluent Samples**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tested** | **Life Breweries Onitsha** | **Savana Drinks** | **KP Beverages Ogidi** | **Obisco Beverages Ogidi** | **Golden Oil Osha** | **RIMCO**  **Nnewi** | **FMEnv (1991)** |
| Acidity (mg/L) | 0.174± 0.058 | 0.169± 0.097 | 0.256± 0.064 | 0.179± 0.064 | 0.364± 0.056 | 0.351± 0.056 | NA |
| Hardness | 106.933± 103.019 | 107.000 ± 178.434 | 87.333± 103.019 | 242.733±103.109 | 516.667± 0.056 | 410.833± 103.019 | 100 mg/L |
| (mg/L)  Chloride | 7.124± 1689 | 5.467± 2.925 | 8.780± 1.689 | 8.118± 1.689 | 12.591± 1.689 | 3.313± 1.689 | 200 mg/L |
| Content (mg/L)  Hydroxyl | 61.200± 17.038 | BDL | 41.867 ± 12.048 | 37.867± 9.837 | 34.000± 17.036 | 17.000± 12.048 | NA |
| Content (mg/L)  Bicarbonate | 1080.000± 300.819 | BDL | 740.000± 212.711 | 660.000±173.678 | 600.000±300.819 | 300.000± 212.711 | NA |
| Content (mg/L)  Alkalinity | 546.667± 155.820 | BDL | 123.333± 110.181 | 331.111± 89.963 | 100.000±155.820 | 50.000± 110.181 | NA |
| (mg/L) pH | 8.033± 0.414 | 7.257± 0.718 | 6.600± 0.414 | 6.017± 0.414 | 4.817± 0.414 | 5.003± 0.414 | 6-9 |
| Nitrate Concentration (mg/L) Sulphate | 1.000± 0.353  14.250± 9.346 | 1.525± 0.611  13.000± 16.188 | 2.050± 0.353  10.7792± 9.346 | 2.135± 0.353  8.083± 9.346 | 1.896± 0.353  7.500± 9.346 | 0.683± 0.353  8.458± 9.346 | 50 mg/L  250 mg/L |
| Concentration (mg/L)  TS (mg/L) | 512.500± 103.957 | 275.000 ± 180.059 | 408.333± 103.957 | 487.500±103.957 | 390.833± 103.957 | 559.167± 103.957 | 2030 mg/L |
| TSS (mg/L) | 0.675± 0.069 | 0.700± 0.120 | 0.567± 0.069 | 0.725± 0.069 | 0.900± 0.069 | 0.567± 0.069 | 30 mg/L |
| TDS (mg/L) | 511.825± 103.916 | 274.300± 179.988 | 407.767± 103.916 | 486.025±103.916 | 389.933± 103.916 | 558.600± 103.916 | 2000 mg/L |

**NOTE**: TS = Total Solids TSS = Total Suspended Solids TDS= Total Dissolved Solids BDL = Bellow Detection Limit

The pH levels of the effluent samples were higher in the dry season than the pH values obtained in the rainy season from all the effluent samples monitored. Life, Savana, KP and Obisco effluents recorded pH values that were alkaline and close to neutrality, hence were within the limit allowed by the Federal Ministry of Environment (FMEnv), (1991) for industrial effluent limit, but values of pH obtained from Golden and RIMCO effluents in this season were still acidic and were lower than the Federal Ministry of Environment (FMEnv), (1991) limit. This confirmed that these effluents from Golden and RIMCO are highly acidic and will be toxic to soil, water and aquatic lives and human beings of discharged into the environment without proper treatment.

Again, the hardness values obtained from the effluent samples (except KP effluent) were higher than the hardness level recommended by the Federal Ministry of Environment (FMEnv), (1991) for industrial effluent limit. Total mean suspended solids were higher in the dry season (Table 4.17) than the values obtained for this parameter in the rainy season (Table 4.16) in all the effluent samples monitored. However, these values were lower than the limit prescribed by the Federal Ministry of Environment (FMEnv), (1991) for industrial effluent to be discharged into the environment.

**F ig . 4.17: p H Value le v e ls by s e as on and loc ations**

S tandard

R imco

Golden

Obis co

KP

S avanna

L ife

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

1

0

D ry S eas on

R ainy S eas on


9

Figure 4.17 showed that pH in rainy season was highest in effluent from Obisco beverage, Ogidi and least in effluent from Savana. Life Breweries, Onitsha recorded the highest pH level in the dry season (8.083 + 0.414) while Golden oil had the least value (4.817 + 0.414) (Tables 4.16 and 4.17).

Lower pH values would increase the acidity of the receiving streams, a situation that is deleterious to aquatic lives and even humans when sea foods such as oyster shell, shrimps, fish and water snails from such streams are consumed (Chukwu, 2008). Ram, et al., (2011) reported that most of the chemical reactions in aquatic environment are controlled by any change in pH values of the ecosystem. They also stated that the toxicity of heavy metals get enhanced at particular pH, thus any pH either highly acidic or alkaline would kill marine forms.

The low pH values of the waste water from RIMCO and Golden oil (in both seasons) might be as a result of free fatty acids from the oil droplets in the wastewater. This is evident in the results of organic pollutants shown on Tables 4.36 ±4.38 (Golden oil) and RIMCO (Tables 4.39 ±4.41). These could be concentrated and used for soap making instead of being discharged indiscriminately into receiving waterbody as these fats, according to Ene; (1994) would inhibit the transfer of oxygen from the atmosphere into the waterbody. Higher pH values, according to Chukwu, (2008) could also encourage some sea weeds such as water hyacinth to grow and multiply.

However, statistical analysis showed that the pH values of the wastewaters differ significantly (P<0.05) between rainy and dry seasons. Lower values were obtained in the rainy season than in the dry season. This could probably be as a result of acid rain which mixed with the effluents leading to increased acidity of the effluents or surface runoff of fertilizers from farmland which mixed with the effluents in their path ways leading to increased acidity of the effluents thus, reduced pH. Industries studied also showed marked significant difference (P<0.05) in the mean values of their pH. The industries that differed in the level of pH in their effluents were Life and Savana (p ± value: 0.021), Life and Golden (p ±value: 0.000), Life and RIMCO (p ±value: 0.000), KP and Golden (p ±value: 0.000), KP and RIMCO (p ±value: 0.000), Obsico and Golden (p ±value: 0.000), Obisco and RIMCO (p ±value: 0.000) (Appendix 5a).



**Fig. 4.18: Alkalinity levels by season and locations**

The alkalinity levels were much higher in the dry season than in the rainy season (Tables 4.16 and 4.17). For instance, Life breweries recorded total mean alkalinity level of 546.667 + 155.820mg/l in the dry season compared to the value (306.667 +

155.82mg/l) obtained in the rainy season. Dry season alkalinity level obtained for life effluent was above the value (500mg/l) recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), (1989) for drinking water. Alkalinity is an estimate of the ability of water to resist change in pH upon addition of acid. It is caused mainly by the presence of OH, CO3, and HCO3 ions. High concentration of alkalinity, especially that of sodium carbonate can give rise to taste problem.

Irrespective of the high levels of alkalinity observed in the dry season compared to the rainy season in these effluents, statistical analysis showed that there was no significant difference (P>0.05) between the two seasons as regards the alkalinity levels of the effluents. This was also the case in the level of alkalinity among the different industries investigated (Appendix 5b).

In the rainy season, Alkalinity was not detected from the effluent samples collected from Savana, KP, Golden Oil and RIMCO. It was below the detection limit of the instrument. This was against the values obtained for the alkalnity levels (BDL, 123.333

+ 110.181mg/L 100.000 + 156.820mg/L, 50.000 + 110.181mg/L) for the same industires in the dry season respectively.

**C onc. mg /l**

Fig 4.19 showed the variation of the mean levels of hardness obtained from the effluent samples of the different food processing industries monitored in both seasons.

R ainy S eas on

Dry S eas on

600

500

400

300

200

100

0

L ife

S avanna

KP

Obis co

G olden

R imco S tandard

**Fig. 4.19: Total Hardnes s levels by s eas on and loc ations**

Hardness levels obtained in the effluent samples were relatively high in both seasons. The least value was recorded in the Savana effluents for the rainy season (BDL) while Obisco beverage, Ogidi, recorded the highest value in the same season. Values obtained in the dry season showed that KP effluents had the least value of mean hardness (87.333 + 103.019mg/L) while Golden effluents had the highest value (516.667 + 103.019mg/L) in the same season (Table 4.17). Apart from Savana effluents (BDL, rainy season) and KP effluents (87.333 + 103.019mg/L, dry season), hardness levels obtained in the effluents from all other food industries studied were higher than the value (100mg/L) recommended by the Federal Ministry of Environment (FMEnv, 1991) for industrial effluent limit.

Statistically, there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in the hardness levels of the effluents between rainy and dry season. Again, hardness levels showed no significant

difference in the effluent samples among the food industries apart from Life and Golden (p - value 0.009), KP and Golden (p - value 0.013), Life and RIMCO (p - value 0.000), KP and RIMCO (p - value 0.03) respectively (Appendix 5c).

Hardness is predominantly caused by cations such as calcium and magnesium. Alao *et al.*, (2010) reported that people with kidney and bladder stones should avoid high content of calcium and magnesium in water. Thus, the high level of hardness observed in these effluents would be detrimental to aquatic life and even human beings if they are discharged directly into receiving waterbody without treatment. Effort should be made by these food industries to soften their waste water before discharging them to nearby waterbody.

**C Onc . mg /l**

Figure 4.20 showed the Nitrate concnetrations of the different effluent samples monitored in both rainy and dry season.

**F ig . 4.20: Nitrate C onte nt le v e ls by s e as on and loc ations**

S tandard

R imco

Golden

Obis co

KP

S avanna

L ife

0

10

20

30

40

D ry S eas on

R ainy S eas on

50

60

Nitrate determination helps in the assessment of the character and degree of oxidation in surface waters and in biological processes (ISO, 1990). The mean concentrations of nitrate (Tables 4.16 and 4.17) obtained in the two seasons were highest in Obisco effluents (4.166 + 0.353mg/l, rainy season) and 2.135 + 0.353mg/L, (dry season) respectively, while the least value was obtained in RIMCO effluent in dry season and KP effluent in rainy season. Nevertheless, the mean levels of nitrate obtained in all the food industries studied were far below the allowable limit (50.00mg/l) recommended by FMEnv, (1991) for industrial effluent wastewaters to be discharged into receiving waterbody. Nitrate represents the final product of the biochemical oxidation of ammonia and if washed into the sea, leads to the growth of water hyacinth which reduces or undermines navigation on water ways. Moreover, the marine plants that use the nitrates are encouraged to grow and when they die, need a lot of oxygen for their biodegradation, this oxygen comes from water, subsequently reducing the oxygen available for the survival of aquatic organisms (Anser and Khad, 2005).

Statistical analysis showed that there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in the mean nitrate levels of effluent samples between rainy and dry seasons, though the industries showed significant difference (P<0.05) in the mean concentrations of nitrate among their waste waters. The industries that differed were life and Obisco, (p ±value: 0.000) Savana and Obisco, (p ±value: 0.000) KP and Obisco, (p ±value: 0.000) Obisco and RIMCO, (p ±value: 0.000) Obisco and Golden (p ±value: 0.000) respectively (Appendix 5f).

**C onc . mg /l**

Sulphate concentrations were higher in the rainy season than dry season in all the effluent samples studied. This was in line with the findings of Phiri *et al.,* (2005). In the rainy season, sulphate levels ranged from a minimum of 41.875 + 9.346mg/L (Obisco) to a maximum of 87.917 + 9.346mg/L (KP), while in the dry season, Life breweries recorded the highest value of sulphate in its effluent and Golden, the least value in the same season (Table 4.17). Sulphate concentrations, though higher in the rainy season than in the dry season in all the effluent samples studied, were still far below the FMENV, (1991) allowable limit for industrial effluents. Nevertheless, there was significant seasonal difference (P<0.05) in the mean levels of sulphate in the effluent samples between rainy and dry seasons. (Appendix 5h). Sulphate levels were significantly higher in the rainy season than in the dry season probably due to run off of fertilizers from farm lands which mixed with the effluents increasing their levels in the rainy season. On the other hand, there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in the mean sulphate levels of effluents among the food industries, though KP differed slightly from Obisco, (p ±value 0.046) Golden (p ±value 0.021) and RIMCO (p ± value 0.011) in the level of sulphate in its effluent samples.

**F ig . 4.21: S ulphate C onte nt le v e ls by s e as on and loc ations**

S tandard

R imco

Golden

Obis co

KP

S avanna

L ife

0

50

100

150

200

250

D ry S eas on

R ainy S eas on

300

**C onc mg /l .**

Dry season mean concentrations of chloride was highest in the effluent samples from Golden and least in the effluent samples from RIMCO (Table 4.17). The highest value in the rainy season was recorded by effluent sample from Obisco while RIMCO again gave the least value of chloride in their effluent samples in the same season. With the exception of effluents from RIMCO with mean chloride concentrations of 3.313 +

**F ig . 4.22: C hloride C onte nt le v e ls by s e as on and loc ations**

S tandard

R imco

Golden

Obis co

KP

S avanna

L ife

0

50

100

150

200

D ry S eas on

R ainy S eas on

250

1.698mg/L, both rainy and dry seasons), other effluent recorded higher chloride concentrations in the dry season than in the rainy season.

This was in line with the findings of Fakayode, (2005) and Phiri *et al*., (2005). Mean chloride levels obtained in this work were below the FMEnv, (1991) allowable limit for industrial effluents. This confirmed that chloride composition of the effluents had little contribution to the pollution state of these industrial effluents.

Bhujangaiah and Nayak; (2005) reported that excessive chloride in potable water was not particularly harmful and the criteria set for this anion are based primarily on

palatability and its potentially high corrosiveness. Chloride in excess imparts a salty taste to water and people who are not accustomed to high chlorides, according to Ram et al., (2011) might be subjected to laxative effects. APHA, (1980) also reported that a high chloride content might harm metallic pipes and structures as well as growing plants.

In as much as chloride levels obtained in this work were higher in the dry season than in the rainy season, statistical analysis showed that there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in the mean chloride concentrations of the effluents between rainy and dry seasons, though industries differed significantly (P<0.05) in the mean chloride contents of the wastewater especially between Life and Golden (p ±value: 0.015); KP and RIMCO (p ±value: 0.006), Obisco and RIMCO (p ±value: 0.004), Golden and RIMCO (p ±value: 0.000) (Appendix 5g).

**C onc . mg /l**

The total solids (TS) of the effluent from Life breweries was the highest in the rainy season, while Savana effluent recorded the least value of mean TS in the same season. In the dry season, RIMCO had the highest mean TS value while Savana again had the least value. Total solids levels were higher in the rainy season than in the dry season in the effluent samples from all the food industries investigated (Tables 4.16 and 4.17). Total solids contribute to high turbidity of water bodies into which they are discharged. In both cases, the TS contents in the effluent samples studied were below the value recommended by FMEnv, (1991), for industrial effluent limit but higher than the value (500mg/L) recommended by WHO, (1989) for drinking water.

**F ig . 4.23: Total S olids le v e ls by s e as on and loc ations**

S tandard

R imco

Golden

Obis co

KP

S avanna

L ife

0

500

1000

1500

2000

D ry S eas on

R ainy S eas on

2500

The test of between subject effects and multiple comparison tests of the Total solids concentrations of the effluent samples from the food industries studied in rainy and dry season and also how the industries differed in the levels of total solids among their effluents were shown in Appendix 5.

The total solids concentrations in the rainy season varied significantly (P<0.05) from that of the dry season. There was a significant increase in the mean total solids concentration of the effluents in the rainy season compared to that of dry season. Again, the different food industries studied showed marked significant difference (P<0.05) in the TS level of their effluents. Variation occurred between Life and Savana (p ±value: 0.002), Life and Golden (p ±value: 0.005), Life and RIMCO (p ±value: 0.004), Savana and KP (p ±value: 0.005), Savana and Obisco (p ±value: 0.034), KP and Golden (p ± value: 0.026), KP and RIMCO (p ±value: 0.020) respectively (Appendix 5i).

**C onc mg /l.**

The mean TDS values were higher in all the effluent samples in the rainy season than in the dry season (except RIMCO), though values obtained were lower than the FMEWV, (1991) industrial effluent limits. A low level of TDS implied that the sediments are of small size which according to Chukwu (2008) was more dangerous because the sediments could easily hamper respiration of sea animals by blocking the respiratory pores. This called for proper sedimentation process if the quality of the wastewater was to be improved since smaller sediments settled more slowly than bigger ones. A high value of TDS lead to nutrient enrichment or entrophication (Chukwu, 2008) of receiving water bodies.

**F ig . 4.24: Total Dis s olv e d S olid le v e ls by s e as on and loc ations**

S tandard

R imco

Golden

Obis co

KP

S avanna

L ife

0

500

1000

1500

2000

D ry S eas on

R ainy S eas on

2500

There was significant difference (P<0.05) in the mean concentrations of TDS in the waste water samples between rainy and dry season. TDS was higher in the rainy season than in the dry season in all the effluent samples monitored. This was also the case among the various industries investigated. This difference was seen between Life and Golden, Life and RIMCO, Life and Savana, Savana and KP, Savana and Obisco, KP and Golden, KP and RIMCO respectively (Appendix 5k).

**C onc . mg /l**

Total Suspended Solids (TSS) are higher in the dry season than in the rainy season in the effluent samples from all the food industries studied (Table 4.17), though values obtained were below the FMEnv, (1991) industrial effluent limit of 30.00mg/l. This was in line with the findings of Aloa et al., (2010). The low value could be explained by the fact that as the wastewater travels along the effluent pathway, they permeate the ground and the solid materials would be filtered out. Alao, *et al.,* (2010) reported that untreated effluent typically contains TSS in the range of 10 ±60mg/l, but this value was higher than values obtained for TSS in this study for all the effluent samples. Water high in suspended solids might be aesthetically unsatisfactory for bathing. Again, the effect of the presence of suspended solids is the turbidity due to silts and organic matter. Increase in turbidity could be considered a type of pollution in that it affected the biotic balance.

35

R ainy S eas on

D ry S eas on

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

L ife

S avanna

KP

Obis co

Golden

R imco S tandard

**F ig . 4.25: Total S us pe nde d S olids le v e ls by s e as on and loc ations**

Statistical analysis showed that there was significant difference (P<0.05) in the mean concentrations of TSS of the effluent samples between rainy and dry seasons. TSS was significantly higher in the dry season than in the rainy season and was in line with the findings of Phiri, et al., (2005). Nevertheless, the level of this parameter did not differ significantly (P>0.05) among the different effluent samples studied.

**C onc . mg /l**

Figure 4.26 showed the Bicarbonate levels of the different effluent samples from the food industries studied in both rainy and dry seasons. In the rainy season, Bicarbonate were not detected in the effluent samples from savanna, KP, Golden and RIMCO industries or rather, it was below the detection limit of the instrument. Highest concentration of Bicarbonate was recorded in the effluent sample from Life breweries, Onitsha in the rainy season. In the dry season, the levels of this parameter were high in all the effluent samples (except Savana) studied. The trend of bicarbonate content in the effluent samples in the dry season was Life > KP > Obisco > Golden > RIMCO. Appendix 5e showed that there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in the levels of Bicarbonate contents between the rainy and dry season in the effluent samples of the food industries studied. However, there was significant difference (p < 0.05) in the mean concentrations of this parameter among the effluent samples of the different food industries studied. This difference was recorded between the effluent samples from Life and KP (p ±value: 0.024), Life and Obisco (p ±value: 0.005), Life and Golden (p ± value: 0.028), Life and RIMCO (p ±value: 0.001).

R ainy S eas on

D ry S eas on

2000

1800

1600

1400

1200

1000

800

600

400

200

0

L ife

KP

Obis co

Golden

R imco

**F ig :. 4.26: B ic arbonate C onte nt le v e ls by s e as on and loc ations**

**C onc . mg /l**

In Figure 4.27, Life breweries, recorded the highest mean concentrations of hydroxyl content in both seasons. This parameter was not detected in the effluent samples from Savana (Both seasons), KP, Golden and RIMCO (rainy season only). It was below the detection limit of the instrument in the rainy season. The least value of hydroxyl content was obtained in the effluent sampe from RIMCO effluent in the dry season.

120

R ainy S eas on

D ry S eas on

100

80

60

40

20

0

L ife

KP

Obis co

Golden

R imco

**F ig . 4.27: Hydroxyl C onte nt le v e ls by s e as on and loc ations**

The ANOVA Table (Appendix 5d) showed that the mean concentrations of Hydroxyl contents in the effluent samples did not differ singificantly (p > 0.05) between rainy and dry seasons. However, there was significant difference (p<0.05) in the levels of this parameter among the effluent samples from the different food processing industries monitored. This difference was seen between Life and KP (p ±value: 0.024), Life and Obisco (p ±value: 0.005), Life and Golden (p ±value: 0.028), Life and RIMCO (p ± value: 0.001) respectively.

The hydroxyl and bicarbonate contents of the effluent wastewaters did not differ significantly (P>0.05) in the two seasons, but differed highly (P<0.05) among the effluents from different industries studied. In both cases, differences in the concentrations of the two parameters were seen between Life and KP; Life and Obisco,

Life and Golden, Life and RIMCO respectively. However, there was neither FMEnv, (1991) industrial effluent limit nor WHO standard for drinking water for these two parameters.

**C onc mg /l .**

Figure 4.28 showed that the level of Acidity in the effluent samples was highest in the effluent samples from Golden Vegetable Oil, Onitsha in both seasons. The levels of acidity in the effluent samples from Golden, Life and Savana were higher in the rainy season than the value obtained for this parameter in the dry season for the same industries. This confirmed the result of the pH levels of the effluents which were low in the rainy season than in the dry season. This increase in the levels of acidity in the rainy season in these effluent samples could be attributed to acid rain or run off of fertilizers from surrounding farm lands which mixed with the effluents in their pathways, hence reduction in pH levels and subsequent increase in the levels of acidity of these effluents.

**F ig . 4.28: Ac idity le v e ls by s e as on and loc ations**

R imco

Golden

Obis co

KP

S avanna

L ife

0.35

0.3

0.25

0.2

0.15

0.1

0.05

0

D ry S eas on

R ainy S eas on

0.4

The ANOVA Table of between subjects effects for Acidity level of the effluent samples between the rainy and dry season period was shown in Appendix 5L. From this test, it was observed that there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in the levels of acidity in the effluent samples between rainy and dry seasons. Nevertheless, the

multiple comparison tests showed that there was significant difference (p < 0.05) in the level of acidity among the effluent samples of the different food processing industries studied, especially between Life and Golden (p ±value: 0.031), Savana and Golden (p

±value: 0.046), KP and Golden (p ±value: 0.002), KP and RIMCO (p ±value: 0.025), Obisco and Golden (p ±value: 0.000), Obisco and RIMCO (p ±value: 0.003), Obisco and Life (p ±value: 0.031) among others (Appendix 5l).

Generally, this study has shown that apart from pH and hardness levels, other parameters investigated were either within or below the standard set by the Federal Ministry of Environment for effluent composition or the World Health Organisation standard for drinking water. Although the values in some cases were lower than the allowable limits, the continued discharge of the effluents into the environment or receiving waterbodies without treatment might result in severe accumulation of the contaminants which might in turn affect the lives of the people. Thus, careless disposal/discharge of the wastes should be discouraged and if possible, there was need for industries to install a treatment plant for all the industrial wastes so that they are properly treated before being discharged into the environment.

* 1. **Results of Pollution Index of the Physicochemical Parameters:**

The pollution index of the physicochemical characteristics of the effluents were presented in Tables 4.18.

# Table 4.18: Pollution Index of the Physicochemical Parameters of the Effluents. Dry Season

**Alkalinity**

**Total Hardness**

**TDS**

**TSS**

**TS**

**Sulphate**

**Nitrate**

**pH**

**Chloride**

**Pollution Index**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Life 306.67 173.33 | | | 1191.31 | | .3542 | 1191.67 | 49.50 | 1.43 | 4.58 | 3.73 | 0.49 |
| Savanna | | | 474.80 | | .2000 | 475.00 | 84.25 | 1.05 | 2.65 | 4.47 | 0.14 |
| KP 260.00 | | | 1174.65 | | .3458 | 1175.00 | 87.92 | 1.00 | 5.51 | 7.29 | 0.55 |
| Obisco 86.67 455.14 | | | 891.28 | | .3833 | 891.67 | 53.33 | 4.17 | 5.63 | 8.45 | 0.75 |
| Golden 226.00 | | | 724.73 | | .2750 | 725.00 | 47.58 | 1.20 | 3.20 | 6.62 | 0.41 |
| RIMCO 423.67 | | | 532.93 | | .4000 | 533.33 | 41.86 | 1.33 | 2.91 | 3.31 | 0.60 |
| **Rainy Season** | | |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Life 546.67 106.93 | | | 511.82 | | .6750 | 512.50 | 14.25 | 1.00 | 8.08 | 7.12 | 0.44 |
| Savanna |  | 107.00 | 274.30 | | .7000 | 275.00 | 13.00 | 1.53 | 7.26 | 5.47 | 0.31 |
| KP | 123.33 | 87.33 | 407.77 | | .5667 | 408.33 | 10.79 | 2.05 | 6.60 | 8.78 | 0.29 |
| Obisco | 331.11 | 242.73 | 486.03 | | .7250 | 487.50 | 8.08 | 2.14 | 6.02 | 8.12 | 0.51 |
| Golden | 100.00 | 516.67 | 389.93 | | .9000 | 390.83 | 7.50 | 1.90 | 4.82 | 12.60 | 0.73 |
| RIMCO | 50.00 | 410.83 | 558.60 | | .5667 | 559.17 | 8.46 | 0.68 | 5.03 | 3.31 | 0.62 |
| **Total** | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Life | 426.67 | 120.21 | | 851.57 | .5146 | 852.08 | 31.88 | 1.22 | 6.33 | 5.43 | 0.44 |
| Savanna |  | 107.00 | | 374.55 | .4500 | 375.00 | 48.63 | 1.29 | 4.96 | 4.97 | 0.30 |
| KP | 123.33 | 161.33 | | 791.21 | .4563 | 791.67 | 49.35 | 1.53 | 6.05 | 8.03 | 0.42 |
| Obisco | 270.00 | 320.99 | | 688.65 | .5542 | 689.58 | 30.71 | 3.15 | 5.82 | 8.28 | 0.61 |
| Golden | 100.00 | 475.14 | | 557.33 | .5875 | 557.92 | 27.54 | 1.55 | 4.01 | 9.61 | 0.70 |
| RIMCO | 50.00 | 415.11 | | 545.77 | .4833 | 546.25 | 25.17 | 1.01 | 3.97 | 3.31 | 0.61 |

Pollution index (Pi) showed the relative pollution contributed by each physicochemical parameters. Pollution index of more than one (1.000) showed that the average concentration of each of the physico-chemical parameters were above the allowable limits, while indics less than one (<1.000) indicated no pollution from the said parameters.

Table 4.18 showed the pollution index of the physicochemical parameters of the effluents. In the rainy season, result of the index showed that Golden effluent had the highest pollution index (0.73368) while the least index was recorded by KP effluent (0.2905) in the same season. Similarly, it was observed that Obisco effluent sample had the highest index value (0.7516) in the dry season and Savana effluent the least value in the same season (Table 4.18).

Values of the pollution index obtained in both seasons showed that the highest index was recorded by Golden effluent (0.6984), while Savana effluent was the least polluted in terms of the physicochemical parameters monitored (Table 4.18). The trend of pollution from the physicochemical parameters of the effluents investigated was Golden > RIMCO > Obisco > Life > KP > Savana. This trend, not withstanding, values obtained for the pollution index of the physicochemical parameters of all the effluent samples monitored were less than one (<1.000).

This showed that the physicochemical parameters of the effluents contributed little to the pollution profile of the effluents in all the food processing industries monitored since index values obtained were less than one (Table 4.18). Nevertheless, the continued discharge of the effluents in the environment might result in severe accumulation of these pollutants into toxic levels that might affect crop yield, aquatic ecosystem and human health.

# Microbial Results

The result of the presumptive coliform count of the effluent samples for both rainy and dry seasons are shown in Table 4.19 while the Most Probable Number (MPN) of organisms are presented in Tables 4.20 ±4.22 (rainy season) and 4.23 ±4.25 (dry season).

161

# Table 4.19: Presumptive Test Results of Effluent Samples in both Seasons Rainy Season Dry Season

**Volume of Effluents Used 10ml 1ml 0.1ml**

**Food Industry**

**Point of Collection of Effluent**

**Probable Number of Coliform Bacilli in 100ml of Effluent**

**Volume of Effluents Used**

**10ml 1ml 0.1ml Probable**

**Number of Coliform Bacilli in 100ml of Effluent**

# FMENV, 1991

**Effluent Limit**

# WHO

**Limit in Drinking Water**

Life Breweries Onitsha

P1 P2&3

4/5

4/5

3/5

3/5

2/5

2/5

50

113

3/5

4/5

1/5

3/5

2/5

2/5

26

54 Daily

Less than

Savana P1 4/5 2/5 2/5 65

3/5 2/5 2/5 26

Average

3MPN in

Kp Beverages Ogidi

Obisco Beverages Ogidi Golden Oil Onitsha

P1 P2&3 P1 P2&3 P1 P2&3

4/5

4/5

5/5

2/5

5/5

5/5

2/5

3/5

2/5

2/5

1/5

2/5

2/5

2/5

2/5

3/5

1/5

2/5

31

78

100

132

73

117

3/5

4/5

4/5

4/5

4/5

4/5

2/5

1/5

1/5

2/5

2/5

1/5

2/5

1/5

2/5

2/5

1/5

2/5

25 of

61 500MPN

23 in 100ml

51 of

36 Effluent

22

100ml of Water

RIMCO Nnewi P1 P2&3

4/5

5/5

2/5

2/5

2/5

2/5

62 3/5

93 4/5

2/5

3/5

2/5 26

2/5 43

**NB**: The numerators are the number of tubes with positive organisms while the denominators showed the numbe r of inoculated tubes.

162

Table 4.19 shows the presumptive test results of the effluent samples in both seasons with the different points of collection of the effluents. Usually, the result obtained from presumptive test determines whether the rest of the examination will be carried out or not (differential and confirmatory/completed tests). The numerators in Table 4.19 showed the positive tubes while the denominators indicated the number of inoculated tubes. From the Table, it was observed that all the effluent samples from food industries studied showed positive presumptive test in both rainy and dry season respectively. This was as a result of the presence of lactose fermenting organisms in these effluent samples. The rainy season counts were higher than that of dry season at all the points.

The highest probable number of coliform organisms was obtained in the effluent sample from Obisco beverage, Ogidi (rainy season) at P2 and P3 (132MPN) while the least probable number of coliform organisms was recorded in the effluent sample from KP beverages, Ogidi (P1) (31 MPN) (Table 4.19).

Though the Most Probable Number (MPN) of coliform organisms were higher in the rainy season than in the dry season in all the effluent samples monitored, these values were still below the limit (500MPN) allowed by the Federal Ministry of Environment (FMEnv), (1991) in industrial effluent to be discharged into receiving water body but higher than the standard set by the World Health Organization (1989) in drinking water.

Samples that showed positive presumptive test were tested for the presence of *Escherichia coli*. The pure cultures of the bacterial isolates were subjected to various morphological and biochemical characterization tests to determine the identity of the bacteria isolates.

163

**Table 4.20:Differential/Confirmatory Test Result of Effluents for Rainy Season**

**Food Industry**

**Test Performed Vol. of Effluents**

**Used**

**Gram staining Reaction**

**Growth in EMBA Growth in Nutrient**

**Agar**

**Suspected Organisms**

1. *Enterobacter*

*aerogenes*

1. *Bacillus cereus*

**MPN**

**Index Organism**

2. Gram +ve sporing large

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Savana PI | Catalase Test.  Growth in BGB Broth | 4/5  4/5 | 4/5  3/5 | 3/5  2/5 | rods, straight or  curved.  1. Gram ²ve non- | Large, dark- | granular surface.  Round, 3-5mm in |
|  | Indole at 44c | 4/5 | 3/5 | 2/5 | sporing rods. | centered colonies | diam, raised, dull, |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Methyl Red Test | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| Voges-Proskaur Test | 4/5 | 4/5 | 3/5 |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 3/5 | 3/5 | 2/5 |

mucoid, gummy & pinkish appearance.

grayish white opaque colonies with entire edge and finely

1. *Enterobacter*

*aerogenes*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | **10ml** | **Iml** | **0.Iml** |  | | |
| Life Brew | Growth in BGB Broth | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1. Gram ²ve non- | Large brown | Round, 3-5mm in |
| (PI) | Indole at 44c | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | sporing rods. | centered colonies | diam, raised, dull, |
| Onitsha | Methyl Red Test | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |  | mucoid, gummy & | grayish white opaque |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 3/5 | 3/5 | 2/5 | 2. Gram +ve | pinkish | colonies with entire |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 3/5 | 3/5 | 2/5 | sporing large | appearance. | edge and finely |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | rods, straight or |  | granular surface. |
|  |  |  |  |  | curved. |  |  |
| P2&3 | Growth in BGB Broth | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1. Gram ²ve non- | Large brown | Round, 3-5mm in |
|  | Indole at 44c | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | sporing rods. | centered colonies | diam, raised, dull, |

1. *Bacillus cereus*

2. Gram +ve sporing large rods, straight or curved.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Methyl Red Test | 3/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 |
| Voges-Proskaur Test | 2/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 |
| Citrate Utilization Test | 2/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 |

with metallic sheen in reflected light.

grayish white opaque colonies with entire edge and finely granular surface.

1. *E. coli*
2. *Bacillus* 33

*cereus*

Note: -ve = Negative, +ve = Positive

Numerator: Number of tubes with positive organisms Denominator: Number of negative tubes P1: Point of discharge of effluents P2: 15 meters away from point of discharge P3 = 30 meters away from point of discharge.

164

Table 4.20 shows the differential/confirmatory test results of the effluents from Life and Savana for rainy season count period. It was observed from the Table that after subjecting the positive tubes (from the presumptive tests) to various morphological and biochemical characterization tests, that Life effluents at different points of discharge showed no evidence of coliform organism while effluent from Savana recorded 33 Most Probable Number (33MPN/100mg) of *Escherichia coli* organisms. This count from Savana effluent was lower than the value (500 MPN/100ml) recommended by the Federal Ministry of Environment (FMEnv), (1991) for industrial effluent.

The presence of *E.coli* in Savana effluent showed that the effluent was contaminated with feacal matter which could lead to water borne diseases if the water bodies used as dumping site are used for drinking purposes or for cooking among others.

165

# Table 4.21: Differential/Confirmatory Test Result of Effluents for Rainy Season

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Food**  **Industry** | **Test Performed** | **Vol. of Effluents**  **Used** | | | **Gram**  **Reaction** | **staining** | **Growth in EMBA** | **Growth in Nutrient**  **Agar** | **Suspected**  **Organisms** | **MPN**  **Index** |
|  |  | **10ml** | **1ml** | **0.1ml** |  | |  |  |  | **Organism** |
| KP | Growth in BGB Broth | 3/5 | 2/5 | 1/5 | 1. Gram ²ve non- | | Large, dark-centered | Round, 3-5mm in diam, | 1. *E. coli* |  |
| Beverage | Indole at 44c | 3/5 | 2/5 | 1/5 | sporing rods. | | colonies with | raised, dull, grayish |  |  |
| Ogidi (P 1) | Methyl Red Test | 3/5 | 2/5 | 1/5 |  | | metallic sheen in | white opaque colonies | 2. *Bacillus* | 9 |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 2/5 | 3/5 | 4/5 | 2. Gram +ve | | reflected light. | with entire edge and | *cereus* |  |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 2/5 | 3/5 | 4/5 | sporing large rods, | |  | finely granular surface. |  |  |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | straight or curved. | |  |  |  |  |
| P2&3 | Growth in BGB Broth | 4/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 | 1. Gram ²ve non- | | Large, dark-centered | Round, 3-5mm in diam, | 1. *E. coli* |  |
|  | Indole at 44c | 4/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 | sporing rods. | | colonies with | raised, dull, grayish |  |  |
|  | Methyl Red Test | 4/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 |  | | metallic sheen in | white opaque colonies | 2. *Bacillus* | 17 |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 1/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 | 2. Gram +ve | | reflected light. | with entire edge and | *cereus* |  |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 1/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 | sporing large rods, | |  | finely granular surf ace. |  |  |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | straight or curved. | |  |  |  |  |
| Obisco | Growth in BGB Broth | 3/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 | 1. Gram ²ve non- | | Large, dark-centered | Round, 3-5mm in diam, | 1. *E. coli* |  |
| Beverage | Indole at 44c | 3/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 | sporing rods. | | colonies with | raised, dull, grayish |  |  |
| Ogidi (PI) | Methyl Red Test | 3/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 |  | | metallic sheen in | white opaque colonies | 2. *Bacillus* | 22 |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 2/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 | 2. Gram +ve | | reflected light. | with entire edge and | *cereus* |  |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 2/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 | sporing large rods, | |  | finely granular su rface. |  |  |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | straight or curved. | |  |  |  |  |
| P2&3 | Growth in BGB Broth | 4/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 | 1. Gram ²ve non- | | Large, dark-centered | Round, 3-5mm in diam, | 1. *E. coli* |  |
|  | Indole at 44c | 4/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 | sporing rods. | | colonies with | raised, dull, grayish |  |  |
|  | Methyl Red Test | 4/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 |  | | metallic sheen in | white opaque colonies | 2. *Bacillus* | 30 |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 1/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 | 2. Gram +ve | | reflected light. | with entire edge and | *cereus* |  |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 1/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 | sporing large rods, | |  | finely granular surface. |  |  |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | straight or curved. | |  |  |  |  |
| Note: -ve = Negative,  Numerator: Number of tubes with positive organisms | | | +ve = Positive  Denominator: Number of negative tubes | | | | |  | | |
| P1: Point of discharge of effluents | | | P2: 15 meters away from point of discharge | | | | | P3 = 30 meters away from point of discharge. | | |

166

Table 4.21 shows that Kingsize Pharmaceutical (KP) effluent recorded 9MPN/100ml of *Esherichia coli* organism at the point of discharge of the effluent (P1) in the rainy season compared to the count obtained at P2 and P3 (17MPN/100ml) in the same season. In the case of Obisco effluent sample, it was also observed that high count of *E coli* organism was recorded at P2 and P3 (30MPN/100ml) compared to the number obtained at the point of discharge of the effluent P1(22MPN/100ml). This showed that

*E. coli* accumulation was higher at P2 and P3 than the counts obtained at P1 from the two effluent samples.

The higher counts obtained from the effluent samples at P2 and P3 compared to P1 could be as a result of flow velocity factor and consequently boundary effects which hindered further movement of the organisms in the effluents at P2 and P3, thus increasing their concentrations at P2 and P3 compared to the count obtained at P1.

167

# Table 4.22: Differential/Confirmatory Test Result of Effluents for Rainy Season

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Food** | **Test Performed** | **Vol. of Effluents** | | | **Gram** | **staining** | **Growth in EMBA** | **Growth in Nutrient** | **Suspected** | **MPN** |
| **Industry** |  | **Used** | | | **Reaction** |  |  | **Agar** | **Organisms** | **Index** |
|  |  | 10ml | 1ml | 0.1ml |  | |  |  | **Organism** | |
| Golden Oil | Growth in BGB Broth | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | Gram +ve sporing | |  | Round, 3-5mm in diam, |  | |
| Onitsha PI | Indole at 44c | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | large rods, straight | |  | raised, dull, grayish white |  | |
|  | Methyl Red Test | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | or curved | |  | opaque colonies with | *Bacillus cereus* | |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 4/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 |  | |  | entire edge and finely |  | |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |  | |  | granular surface. |  | |
|  | Catalase Test. | 4/5 | 5/5 | 3/5 |  | |  |  |  | |
| P2&3 | Growth in BGB Broth | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | Gram +ve sporing | |  | Round, 3 ²5mm in |  | |
|  | Indole at 44c | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | large rods, straight | |  | diameter raised, dull |  | |
|  | Methyl Red Test | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | or curved | |  | grayish white opaque | *Bacillus cereus* | |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 4/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 |  | |  | colonies with entire edge |  | |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |  | |  | and finely granulas |  | |
|  | Catalase Test. | 4/5 | 3/5 | 2/5 |  | |  | surface. |  | |
| RIMCO PI | Growth in BGB Broth | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1. Gram ²ve non- | | Large, brown centered | Same As Above. | 1.*Enterobactre* | |
| Nnewi | Indole at 44c | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | sporing rods. | | colonies, mucoid, |  | *aerogenes* | |
|  | Methyl Red Test | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |  | | gummy & pinkish in |  |  | |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 4/5 | 3/5 | 2/5 | 2. Gram +v sporing | | appearance. |  | 2. *Bacillus cereus* | |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 4/5 | 3/5 | 2/5 | large rods, straight | |  |  |  | |
|  | Catalase Test. | 3/5 | 2/5 | 4/5 | or curved. | |  |  |  | |
| RIMCO | Growth in BGB Broth | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1. Gram ²ve non- | | Large, brown centered | Same As Above. | 1.*Enterobacter* | |
| P2&3 | Indole at 44c | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | sporing rods. | | colonies, mucoid, |  | *aerogenes* | |
| Nnewi | Methyl Red Test | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |  | | gummy & pinkish in |  |  | |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 4/5 | 3/5 | 4/5 | 2. Gram +v sporing | | appearance. |  | 2. *Bacillus cereus* | |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 4/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 | large rods, straight | |  |  |  | |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | or curved. | |  |  |  | |

Note: -ve = Negative, +ve = Positive

Numerator: Number of tubes with positive organisms Denominator: Number of negative tubes

P1: Point of discharge of effluents P2: 15 meters away from point of discharge

P3 = 30 meters away from point of discharge.

168

The differential/confirmatory test results of the effluents from Golden vegetable oil, Onitsha and Resource Improvement and Manufacturing Company (RIMCO) in the rainy season was presented in Table 4.22. From the Table, it was observed that there was no evidence of *Esherichia coli (E. coli)* organism in the effluent samples from both food industries at the various points of collection in the rainy season. However, other organisms that were isolated are *Bacillus cereus* and *Enterobacter aerogenes*. This showed that contamination of the effluents from these two industries was primarily from the surrounding soil and vegetation since *E.coli* was not detected whose presence indicate feacal matter contamination.

169

**Food Industry**

**Table 4.23: Differential/Confirmatory Test Result of Effluents for Dry Season**

**Vol. of Effluents**

**MPN**

**Index**

**Test Performed**

**Used**

**Gram staining Reaction**

**Growth in EMBA Growth in Nutrient**

**Agar**

**Suspected Organisms**

**Organis m**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | **10ml** | **Iml** | **0.Iml** |  | | |
| Life Brew | Growth in BGB Broth | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1. Gram +ve sporing | Large brown | Round, 3-5mm in *1. Enterobacter* |
| Onitsha | Indole at 44c | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | large rods, straight | centered colonies | diam, raised, dull *aerogenes* |
| (PI) | Methyl Red Test | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | or curved. | mucoid, gummy & | opaque colonies with |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 4/5 | 4/5 | 3/5 |  | pi nkish in | entire edge and finely 2. *Bacillus* |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 4/5 | 4/5 | 3/5 | 2. Gram ²ve non- | appearance. | granular surface. *cereus* |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | sporing rods. |  |  |
| P2&3 | Growth in BGB Broth | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1. Gram +ve sporing | Large brown | Round, 3-5mm in *1. Enterobacter* |
|  | Indole at 44c | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | large rods, straight | centered colonies | diam, raised, dull *aerogenes* |
|  | Methyl Red Test | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | or curved. | mucoid, gummy & | opaque colonies with |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 4/5 | 4/5 | 3/5 |  | pinkish in | entire edge and finely 2. *Bacillus* |
|  | Citrate Utili zation Test | 4/5 | 4/5 | 3/5 | 2. Gram ²ve non- | appearance. | granular surface. *cereus* |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | sporing rods. |  |  |
| Savana PI | Growth in BGB Broth | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1. Gram +ve sporing | Large brown | Round, 3-5mm in *1. Enterobacter* |
|  | Indole at 44c | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | large rods, straight | centered colonies | diam, raised, dull *aerogenes* |
|  | Methyl Red Test | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | or curved. | mucoid, gummy & | opaque colonies with |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 4/5 | 4/5 | 3/5 |  | pinkish in | entire edge and finely 2. *Bacillus* |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 4/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 | 2. Gram ²ve non- | appearance. | granular surface. *cereus* |
|  | CatalaseTest. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | sporing rods. |  |  |

Note: -ve = Negative, +ve = Positive

Numerator: Number of tubes with positive organisms Denominator: Number of negative tubes P1: Point of discharge of effluents P2: 15 meters away from point of discharge P3 = 30 meters away from point of discharge.

170

Table 4.23 shows the result of the differential/confirmatory test of effluent samples from life Breweries and Savana drink in the dry season. It was observed from the Table that there was no evidence of *E.coli* organism in the effluent sample from life Breweries at all the points of collection of the effluents. This was also the case in the rainy season (Table 4.20) at the points of collection of the effluent. However, Savana effluent samples with MPN count of 33 in the rainy season (Table 4.20) was observed to show no evidence of *E. coli* count in the dry season. This could be as a result of high humidity observed during the rainy season which favoured the growth and reproduction of these micro organisms in the rainy season than in the dry season.

171

# Table 4.24: Differential/Confirmatory Test Result of Effluentsfor Dry Season

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Food**  **Industry** | **Test Performed** | **Vol. of Effluents**  **Used** | | | **Gram staining Reaction** | **Growth in EMBA** | **Growth in Nutrient**  **Agar** | **Suspected**  **Organisms** | **MPN**  **Index** |
|  |  | **10ml** | **1ml** | **0.1ml** |  |  |  |  | **Organism** |
| KP | Growth in BGB Broth | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1. Gram ²ve non- sporing | Large brown centered | Round, 3-5mm in diam, | 1. *Bacillus* |  |
| Beverages | Indoleatf 44c | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | rods | colonies mucoid, | raised, dull opaque | *cereus* |  |
| Ogidi PI | Methyl Red Test | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |  | gummy & pinkish in | colonies with entire edge |  |  |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 4/5 | 4/5 | 3/ 5 | 2. Gram +ve sporing | appearance. | and finely granular | 2. *Enterobacter* |  |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 4/5 | 3/5 | 4/5 | large rods straight or |  | surface. | *Aerogenes* |  |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | curved. |  |  |  |  |
| P2&3 | Growth in BGB Broth | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1. Gram ²ve non- sporing | Large brown centered | Round, 3-5mm in diam, | 1. *Bacillus* |  |
|  | Indole at 44c | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | rods | colonies mucoid, | raised, dull opaque | *cereus* |  |
|  | Methyl Red Test | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |  | gummy & pinkish in | colonies with entire edge |  |  |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 4/5 | 4/5 | 4/5 | 2. Gram +ve sporing | appearance. | and finely granular | 2. *Enterobacter* |  |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 4/5 | 4/5 | 3/5 | large rods straight or |  | surface. | *Aerogenes* |  |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | curved. |  |  |  |  |
| Obisco | Growth in BGB Broth | 3/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 | 1. Gram ²ve non-sporing | Large, dark-centered | Round, 3 ²5mm in | *1. E. coli.* | 20 |
| Beverages | Indole at 44c | 3/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 | rods. | colonies with metallic | diameter raised, dull |  |  |
| Ogidi (PI) | Methyl Red Test | 3/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 |  | sheen in reflected light. | grayish white opaque | 2. *Bacillus* |  |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 2/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 | 2. Gram +v sporing large |  | colonies with entire edge | *cercus* |  |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 2/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 | rods, straight or curved. |  | and finely granula r |  |  |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 |  |  | surface. |  |  |
| P2&3 | Growth in BGB Broth | 4/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 | 1. Gram ²ve non-sporing | Large, dark-centered | Round, 3 ²5mm in | 1. *E. coli* | 30 |
|  | Indole at 44c | 4/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 | rods. | colonies with metallic | diameter raised, dull |  |  |
|  | Methyl Red Test | 4/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 |  | sheen in reflected light. | grayish white opaque | 2. *Bacillus* |  |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 1/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 | 2. Gram +v sporing large |  | colonies with entire edge | *cereus* |  |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 1/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 | rods, straight or curved. |  | and finely granular |  |  |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 |  |  | surface. |  |  |

Note: -ve = Negative, +ve = Positive

Numerator: Number of tubes with positive organisms Denominator: Number of negative tubes P1: Point of discharge of effluents P2: 15 meters away from point of discharge P3 = 30 meters away from point of discharge.

172

The differential/confirmatory results of the effluents from KP and Obisco beverages Ogidi in the dry season was presented in Table 4.24. The Table showed that KP effluent had no evidence of *E. coli* organism at the different points of collection in this season unlike what was obtained in the rainy season (Table 4.21). Higher counts of *E. coli* organisms in the rainy season compared to the dry season could be as a result of the explanation offered in Table 4.23 for effluent sample from Savana drink, Onitsha.

Nevertheless, the effluent sample from Obisco beverage, Ogidi had 20 cells/100ml of

*E. coli* organism at P1 compared to 22 Cells/100ml obtained in the rainy season at the same point. This showed a reduction in the number of *E. coli* organism from the point of discharge of the effluent from 22cells in the rainy season to 20 cells in the dry season probably because of reduction in atmospheric humidity observed in the dry season.

173

# Table 4.25: Differential /Confirmatory Test Result of Effluents for Dry Season

**Food Industry Test Performed Vol. of Effluents Used**

**Gram staining Reaction Growth in EMBA Growth in Nutrient**

**Suspected**

**MPN**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Golden | Growth in BGB Broth | **10ml**  3/5 | **1ml**  2/5 | **0.1ml**  1/5 | 1. Gram ²ve non-sporing | Large, dark-centered | **Agar**  Round, 3 ²5mm in diameter | **Organisms**  1. *E. coli* | **Index Org**  17 |
| Vegetable Oil | Indole at 44c | 3/5 | 2/5 | 1/5 | rods. | colonies with metallic | raised, dull grayish white |  |  |
| Osha (PI) | Methyl Red Test | 3/5 | 2/5 | 1/5 |  | sheen in reflected light. | opaque colonies with entire | 2. *Bacillus cereus* |  |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 2/5 | 3/5 | 4/5 | 2. Gram +v sporing large |  | edge and finely granular |  |  |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 2/5 | 3/5 | 4/5 | rods, straight or curved. |  | surface. |  |  |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P2&3 | Growth in BGB Broth | 4/5 | 3/5 | 1/5 | 1. Gram ²ve non-sporing | Large, dark-centered | Round, 3 ²5mm in diameter | 1. *E. coli* | 35 |
|  | Indole at 44c | 4/5 | 3/5 | 1/5 | rods. | colonies with metallic | raised, dull grayish white |  |  |
|  | Methyl Red Test | 4/5 | 3/5 | 1/5 |  | sheen in reflected light. | opaque colonies with entire |  |  |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 1/5 | 2/5 | 4/5 | 2. Gram +v sporing large |  | edge and finely granular | 2. *Bacillus cereus* |  |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 1/5 | 2/5 | 4/5 | rods, straight or curved. |  | surface. |  |  |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| RIMCO | Growth in BGB Broth | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1. Gram ²ve non-sporing | Large, brown centered | Same As Above. | 1.*Enterobacter* |  |
| Nnewi (P I) | Indole at 44c | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | rods. | colonies, mucoid, gummy |  | *aerogenes* |  |
|  | Methyl Red Test | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |  | & pinkish in appearance. |  |  |  |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 4/5 | 4/5 | 3/5 | 2. Gram +v sporing large |  |  | 2. *Bacillus cereus* |  |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 4/5 | 4/5 | 4/5 | rods, straight or curved. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| RIMCO | Growth in BGB Broth | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1. Gram ²ve non-sporing | Large, brown centered | Same As Above. | 1.*Enterobacter* |  |
| Nnewi (P 2&3) | Indole at 44c | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | rods. | colonies, mucoid, gummy |  | *aerogenes* |  |
|  | Methyl Red Test | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |  | & pinkish in appearance. |  |  |  |
|  | Voges-Proskaur Test | 4/5 | 4/5 | 3/5 | 2. Gram +v sporing large |  |  | 2. *Bacillus cereus* |  |
|  | Citrate Utilization Test | 4/5 | 4/5 | 4/5 | rods, straight or curved. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Catalase Test. | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note: -ve = Negative, | | | | | +ve = Positive | |  | | |
| Numerator: Number of tubes with positive organisms  P1: Point of discharge of effluents | | | | | Denominator: Number of negative tubes  P2: 15 meters away from point of discharge | | P3 = 30 meters away from point of discharge. | | |

Table 4.25 shows the confirmatory test results of the effluent samples from Golden and RIMCO in the dry season. The Table showed that Golden effluent without *E. coli* count in the rainy season, recorded *E. coli* organism of 17MPN/100ml at the point of discharge (P1) of the effluent and 35MPN/100ml of *E. coli* organism at P2 and P3. In the case of RIMCO effluent sample, no evidence of *E. coli* organism was observed as was the case in the rainy season though other coliforms like *Enterobacter aerogens* and *Bacillus cereus* were isolated.

Nevertheless, counts of *E. coli* organisms obtained from these effluents were lower than the value (500MPN/100ml) recommended by FMEnv, (1991) for industrial effluent limit though higher than the standard set by the World Health Organization (WHO), (1989) for drinking water.

The presence of *E. coli* generally suggests that the effluents might have been contaminated with feaces either of human or animal origin. This was in line with the findings of Banwo, (2006) who reported that the presence of bushes around the industries might have resulted in animals roaming about these industries at night to drink from wastewaters thereby passing out feaces on the process. Moreover, Benka- Coker and Ojior, (1995) associated human health with the possibility of accumulation of enteric microorganisms by aquatic organisms. Thus, the presence of coliform organisms, especially *Escherichia coli* might be deleterious to humans if effluents from these industries are discharged into a body of water without treatment. Coliform accumulation or build up was greater at points 2 and 3 (Tables 4.20 and 4.21) than point 1. This could be as a result of flow velocity factors which was expected to be lower at points 2 and 3 than point 1 as a result of boundary effect. Thus, the higher the flow velocity factor, the less the accumulation of the organisms. Organisms isolated from the effluent samples in both rainy and dry seasons are *Escherichia coli, Bacillus*

*cereus* and *Enterobacter aerogens*. The presence of these micro-organisms (coliforms) in the effluents of the food processing industries studied could lead to risk of waterborne diseases if discharged into receiving water bodies without proper treatment. Such water borne diseases include hepatitis, cholera, typhoid, diarrhea and stomach cramps among others.

# Result of the Organic Pollutants

The result of the organic pollutants identified by the GC ±MS analysis were shown in Tables 4.26 ±4.41 while the GC ±MS spectra of the effluents were presented in appendix 6.

**Table 4.26: Organic Pollutants by GC – MS in Effluent from Life Breweries, Onitsha (P1)**

**M.W Formular Structure Name**

92 C7H8 Toluene

106 C8H10 Ethyl Benzene

106 C8H10 P-xylene

106 C8H10 O-xylene

134 C10H14 Dimethylethylbenzene

Undecane

156 C11H24

170 C12H26 Dodecane

184 C13H28 Tridecane

226 C16H34 Hexadecane

212 C15H32 Pentadecane

198 C14H30 Tetradecane

254 C18H38 Octadecane

268 C19H40 Nonadecane

Table 4.26 shows the major organic compounds found in the effluent samples from the point of discharge (P1) of the wastewater in Life breweries, Onitsha. These organics were the alkylbenzenes and alkane compounds. The source of these might be from the laboratory analar reagents used in the industry or from soil and air pollution of the surrounding petroleum resource industries.

These alkylbenzenes could react in the environment to form Poly Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHS) and become toxicants to receiving water bodies and soils.

# Table 4.27: Organic Pollutants by GC – MS in Effluent from Life Breweries, Onitsha (P2)

**M.W Formular Structure Name**


164 C2Cl4

Cl Cl



Cl Cl

Tetrachloroethylene

106 C8H10 P-xylene

120 C9H12 Benzene, (1-methyl)-

86 C6H14 2,2-dimethyl-butane,

156 C11H24 Undecane

142 C10H22 2,3 dimethyl-octane

142 C10H22 5-ethyl-2-methylheptane

170 C12H26 Dodecane

226 C16H34 Hexadecane

212 C15H32 2,6,10-

Trimethyldodecane

182 C13H26 1-Tridecane

256 C16H32O2 O n-Hexadecanoic acid

OH

308 C22H44 1-Docosene

282 C18H34O2 Oleic Acid

O

OH

242 C16H34O OH 1-Hexadecanol

390 C24H38O4 O Di-n-octyl phthalate

O O

O

The major organics found in the effluent samples (P2) were alkylbenzenes, alkanes, alkanols, alkanoic acids and benzyl-phthalates. The phthalates may react to form polyaromatics which are hard to biodegrade in the environment. These might accumulate to form toxins to both soil and receiving water bodies, hence to fish and other aquatic organisms.

# Table 4.28: Organic Pollutants by GC – MS in Effluent from Life Breweries, Onitsha (P3)

**M.W Formular Structure Name**

164 C2Cl4

Cl Cl



Cl Cl

Tetrachloroethylene

106 C8H10 O-Xylene

206 C14H22O OH 3,5-Di-t-butylphenol

146 C8H18O2 2,5-dimethylhexanediol

OH

OH

154 C11H22 8-methyl-1-decene

The major organic pollutants at the 30 meters away from the point of discharge (P3) were o-xylene, and phenolic compounds. These compounds are easily biodegradable but might pose problem in the environment as earlier stated in Tables 3.26 and 3.27.

# Table 4.29: Organic Pollutants by GC – MS in Savana Effluent

**M.W Formular Structure Name**

158 C8H14O3

O

O O

2-methyl propanoic acid anhydride

164 C2Cl4 Cl Cl Tetrachloroethylene

Cl Cl

287 C15H13NO5 H O

O

N

O

Benzonitrate

O

O

106 C8H10 O-xylene

206 C14H22O OH 3,5-Di-tert-butylphenol

146 C8H18O2 2,5-dymethyl-hexanediol

OH

OH

160 C9H20O2 HO OH 1,9-Nonanediol

Table 4.29 shows the organic pollutants found in the effluent samples from Savana at the point of discharge (P1) of the waste water. The major organic components here were Benzonitrates, O-xylene, phenolic compounds and diols.

Reactivity of the Benzonitrates in the environment might form Polyaromatic compounds that are not biodegradable in the environment leading to their accumulation and formation of toxins that are harmful to the soil, water and aquatic organisms.

**Table 4.30: Organic Pollutants by GC – MS in Effluent from KP Beverages, Ogidi (P1)**

**M.W Formular Structure Name**

164 C2Cl4

Cl Cl



Cl Cl

Tetrachloroethylene

106 C8H10 O - xylene

106 C8H10 P - xylene

230 C11H18O5 O O Propanedioic acid

O O

O

117 C5H11NO2 O O Nitrous acid

N

154 C11H22 4-methylene-decane

Table 4.30 shows the major organics found in the effluent samples from KP beverages, Ogidi at the point of discharge of the effluent (P1). These organics include substituted benzene compounds and alkanoic acids. These organic compounds are easily biodegrable but might pose problem to the soil microbes and aquatic life if discharged into receiving water body without proper treatment.

# Table 4.31: Organic Pollutants by GC – MS in Effluent from KP Beverages, Ogidi (P2)

**M.W Formular Structure Name**

164 C2Cl4

Cl Cl



Cl Cl

Tetrachloroethylene

106 C8H10 P-xylene

120 C9H12 1-Ethyl-2-methyl benzene

120 C9H12 (1-methyl ethyl)benzene

120 C9H12 1-Ethyl-2-methyl benzene

254 C16H14O3

2-Oxobicyclo(3,2,2)nona-3,6- dien-1-yl benzoate

O

O

O

134 C10H14 1,3,8-P-Menthatriene

176 C12H16O

O

1-(4-methyl phenyl)-1- pentanone

238 C16H14O2 Benzaldehyde

O

O

128 C10H8 4-Phenylbut-3-ene-1-yne

142 C11H10 2-Methylnaphthalene

206 C14H22O OH 3,5-Di-tert-butylphenol

106 C5H11Cl Cl 1-chloro-2,2-dimethyl propane

256 C16H32O2 O n-Hexadecanoic acid

OH

266 C18H34O O 9-Octadecenal olealdehyde

Table 4.31 shows the major organic pollutants obtained in the effluent samples from KP beverages, Ogidi 15meters away from the point of discharge of the effluent (P2). The organics were polyaromatic disubstituted benzene, substituted phenols, and alkanoic acids.

The 2-methylnaphthalene and 4-phenylbut-3-ene (presence of heavy metals) might form methyl compounds which have been reported as toxicants in water and aquatic animals (Goya, 1996).

# Table 4.32: Organic Pollutants by GC – MS in Effluent from KP Beverages, Ogidi (P3)

**M.W Formular Structure Name**

270 C17H34O2 O

OH

Methyl ester, hexadecanoic acid

256 C16H32O2 O n-Hexadecanoic acid

OH

294 C19H34O2 O 9,12-Octadecenoic acid

O

296 C19H36O2 HO

O

Methylester,

9-octadecenoic acid

292 C19H32O2

O

O Methylester 9,12,15- Octadecatrienoic acid

The major organics found in the effluent sample from KP beverages, Ogidi at (P3) were mainly alkanoic acids which are easily biodegradable in the environment.

Nevertheless, care should be taken in discharging these effluents into any water body as the acidity of the receiving water body might increase leading to death of the aquatic organisms.

# Table 4.33: Organic Pollutants by GC – MS in Effluent from OBISCO Beverages, Ogidi (P1)

**M.W Formular Structure Name**

164 C2Cl4

Cl Cl



Cl Cl

Tetrachloroethylene

134 C9H10O O Octadiyn-3-one

106 C8H10 O-xylene

206 C14H22O OH 3,5-di-tert-butylphenol

144 C8H16O2 O Tert.butyl butanoate

O

146 C8H18O2 2,5-dimethyl hexanediol

OH

OH

Table 4.33 shows the organic pollutants obtained in the effluent sample from Obisco beverages, Ogidi at the point of discharge of the effluent (P1). The major organics here were o-xylene, substituted phenol, diol and alkanoic acid. These compounds are easily bio-degradable but might pose problems (on continuous discharge) to soil microbes, plants and even aquatic organisms.

# Table 4.34: Organic Pollutants by GC – MS in Effluent from OBISCO Beverages, Ogidi (P2)

**M.W Formular Structure Name**

164 C2Cl4

Cl Cl



Cl Cl

Tetrachloroethylene

106 C8H10 P-xylene

106 C8H10 O-Xylene

120 C9H12 (1-methyl ethyl)benzene

170 C12H26 3,7 dimethyldecane

202 C12H26O2

O

2-Methyl-1-[-1-(2-

methylbutoxyl)ethoxy]butane

O

86 C6H14 2,2-dimethylbutane

142 C10H22 2,2,5,5, tert.methylhexane

206 C14H22O OH 3,5-di-tert-butylphenol

256 C16H32O2 O n-hexadecanoic acid

OH

282 C18H34O2 Oleic Acid

O2H

284 C18H36O2 O Octadecanoic acid

OH

The major organic pollutants here were mainly substituted benzene compounds, alkanes, substituted phenols and of course alkanoic acids. Similarly, these organics are easily biodegradable but could still be dangerous to the soil microbes, plants and aquatic lives if allowed to accumulate over a period of time.

# Table 4.35: Organic Pollutants by GC – MS in Effluent from OBISCO Beverages,

**Ogidi (P3)**

**M.W Formular Structure Name**

164 C2Cl4

Cl Cl



Cl Cl

Tetrachloroethylene

106 C8H10 O-xylene

128 C7H12O2 O 2,3-Heptadione

O

240 C8H17I

I

Octyl Iodide

230 C11H18O5 O O Oxo-bis(2-

O O methylpropyl)ester

O

282 C11H23I I I-iodoundecane

86 C6H14 2,2-dimethylbutane

3,7-dimethylnonane

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 156 | C11H24 |
| 256 | C16H32O2 |
| 172 | C11H24O |
| 172 | C10H20O2 |

O n-Hexadecanoic acid

OH

1-Undecanol

OH

O n-Decanoic Acid

284 C18H36O2 O

OH

OH

Octadecanoic adic

The major organic pollutants found in the effluents from Obisco beverage, Ogidi (P3) were o-xylene, alkanols, alkanoic acids, alkanes. These organic compounds are easily biodegradable in the environment but could still pose some problem to the soil microbes and aquatic lives since they might accumulate to toxic level by continuous discharge of untreated effluent into the soil or receiving water bodies as discussed in Table 4.34.

# Table 4.36: Organic Pollutants by GC – MS in Effluent from Golden Vegetable Oil, Onitsha (P1)

**M.W Formular Structure Name**

164 C2Cl4

Cl Cl



Cl Cl

Tetrachloroethylene

106 C8H10 0-xylene

256 C16H32O2 O n-Hexadecanoic acid

OH

254 C16H30O2 O 9-Hexadecenoic acid

OH

Table 4.36 shows that the major organics found in the effluent of Golden Vegetable Oil, Onitsha at the point of discharge (P1). The organics were substituted benzene and alkanoic acids. The presence of alkanoic acids was evidence in the result of the mean concentration of pH obtained in this effluent which was low (acidic) in both seasons.

This effluent could be acidic to the receiving water body, hence endanger the aquatic lives and humans who consume fishes from the source.

# Table 4.37: Organic Pollutants by GC – MS in Effluent from Golden Vegetable Oil, Onitsha (P2)

**M.W Formular Structure Name**

270 C17H34O2 O Hexadecanoic acid

OH

256 C16H32O2 O n-Hexadecanoic acid

OH

294 C19H34O2 O 9,12-Octadienoic acid

O

296 C19H36O2 O

O

methyl ester 9-octadecenoic acid

292 C19H32O2 O 9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid

O

254 C16H30O2 O 9-Hexadecenoic acid

OH

The major pollutants here (Table 4.37) were mainly alkanoic acids such as hexadecanoic acid, 9, 12-octadecanoic acids among others. This confirmed the low pH value obtained in the effluent sample from this industry in both seasons. The acidic nature of this effluent (low pH) would be deleterious to aquatic lives and even humans when sea food from such river are consumed (Chukwu, 2008).

# Table 4.38: Organic Pollutants by GC – MS in Effluent from Golden Vegetable

**Oil, Onitsha (P3)**

**M.W Formular Structure Name**

164 C2Cl4

Cl Cl



Cl Cl

Tetrachloroethylene

106 C8H10 P-xylene

106 C8H10 O-Xylene

462 C26H22O8

1,3,5-Tri-O-benzyl-alpha-

ribofuranose

O

O

O

O

O

O

HO

O

OH 3,5-di-tert-butylphenol

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 206 | C14H22O |
| 256 | C16H32O2 |
| 254 | C16H30O2 |

O n-Hexadecanoic acid

OH

O 9-Hexadecenoic acid

OH

The major organics found in the effluent sample from Golden Vegetable Oil, Onitsha at 30 meters from the point of discharge (P3) were mainly o-xylene, phenolic compounds and alkanoic acids.

These organics are easily biodegradable in the environment though could pose problems to the aquatic organisms.

The presence of alkanoic acids here also was evident in the low pH obtained in the effluent sample from this industry in both seasons (Tables 4.16 and 4.17) as previously discussed in physiochemical properties section.

# Table 4.39: Organic Pollutants by GC – MS in RIMCO Effluent (P1)

**M.W Formular Structure Name**

164 C2Cl4

Cl Cl



Cl Cl

Tetrachloroethylene

106 C8H10 P - xylene

86 C6H14 2,2-dymethyl-butane

192 C8H17Br 2-BromoOctane

Br

142 C10H22 3,5-Dimethyloctane

184 C13H28 3,8-dimethylundecane

256 C16H32O2 O n-Hexadecanoic acid

OH

294 C19H34O2 O Methylester

O

9,12-Octadecenoic acid

320 C21H36O2 O 7,10,13-Eicosatrienoic acid

OH

Table 4.39 shows the organic pollutants obtained in RIMCO effluents from the point of discharge of the waste water (P1). The major organics here were p-xylene, alkanes and alkanoic acids. These organic compounds are easily biodegradable in the environment but might still pose problem to the receiving water bodies and soil which might produce crops that are unhealthy.

# Table 4.40: Organic Pollutants by GC – MS in RIMCO Effluent (P2)

**M.W Formular Structure Name**

164 C2Cl4

Cl Cl



Cl Cl

Tetrachloroethylene

106 C8H10 P-xylene

128 C8H16O

2,2,4-Trimethyl-3- pentanone

86 C6H14 2,2-dimethylbutane

O

156 C11H24 3,7-dimethylnonane

282 C11H23I I 1-Iodoundecane

170 C12H26 3,7-Dimethyldecane

198 C14H30 4,6-Dimethyldodecane

212 C15H32 2,6,10-Trimethyldodecane

184 C13H28 2,3,8-Trimethyldecane

256 C16H32O2 O n-Hexadecanoic acid

OH

280 C20H40 7-Eicosene

Table 4.40 continued

198

O 9-Hexadecceanoic acid

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 254 | C16H30O2 |
| 160 | C10H21F |
| 168 | C12H24 |

OH

F 1-Fluorodecane

9-methyl-1-undecene

The organics found in RIMCO effluents at 15meters away from the point of discharge of the wastewater (P2) were alkyl benzenes, alkanoic acids and alkanes. These organic compounds are easily biodegradable in the environment but might pose some risk to the soil and aquatic organisms after much accumulation. The soil polluted by these organics might produce unhealthy crops leading to economic loss to the farmer.

# Table 4.41: Organic Pollutants by GC – MS in RIMCO Effluent (P3)

**M.W Formular Structure Name**

164 C2Cl4

Cl Cl



Cl Cl

Tetrachloroethylene

106 C8H10 P-xylene

247 C11H18FNS2

S F

S

N

3,3-Bis-tert- butylsulfanyl-2-fluoro- acrylonitrile

256 C16H32O2 O n-Hexadecanoic acid

OH

204 C11H24O3

HO

OH Nonamethylene glycol

O

254 C16H30O2 O 9-Hexadececanoic acid

OH

The organics found in RIMCO effluents at 30 meters from the point of discharge (P3) were p-xylene, alkanoic acids, diol and 3, 3-bis-test-butyl sulfanyl-2-fluoro- acrylonitrile. These organic components can be degraded easily in the environment, but care should be taken in the discharge of the effluents as they might still pose problems to the aquatic environment and soil microbes.

The presence of alkanoic acid at all the points of discharge of the effluents in RIMCO industry is evident in the result of the pH value obtained in the effluents from this industry (Tables 4.16 and 4.17) in both rainy and dry seasons.

From the Tables (4.26 ±4.41), the organic pollutants were mainly substituted benzene compounds such as toluene, p ±xylene, o ±xylene, ethyl benzene, 1, 2 ±xylene, 1, 4 ± xylene, 1 ±ethyl ±2 ±methyl benzene and 3, 5 ±di ±t ±butylphenol which is highly toxic in the environment. Others include hexadecanoic acid, tetrachloro ethylene, 4 ± phenyl but ±3 ±ene ±1 ±yne; dimethyl ethyl benzene among others.

In KP effluent (P2), 2 ±methyl naphthalene was identified while Di ±n ±octyl phthalate was found only in effluent from Life breweries, Onitsha (P2).

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) were not detected in all the effluent samples. They are persistent organic compounds and are combustion byproducts produced by unburned fuel, exhaust gases and vapour, lead compounds (from petrol additives) and hydrocarbon losses from fuel, lubrication and hydraulic systems.

Exposure to these organic pollutants can cause death and illnesses such as disruption of the endocrine, reproductive and immune systems; neurobehavioral disorders and cancers (Szabo and Loccisano, 2012). Moreover, Rogers and Kavlock, (1996), reported that organic pollutants are suspected human carcingens and disrupt the immune and endocrine systems.

# CHAPTER FIVE

* 1. **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

# Conclusion

Heavy metal levels in the effluent samples were higher in the dry season than in the rainy season probably as a result of dilution through precipitation or leaching away into the nearest stream or river through flood run off during the rainy season. Values obtained for heavy metals in this work were higher than the values recommended by the Federal Ministry of Environment for effluents to be discharged into the environment.

Nevertheless, two sample paired t-test showed that there was no significant difference (p>0.05) in the mean concentrations of Lead, Chromium, Arsenic, Cadmium and Zinc between the two seasons in all the effluent samples studied. Analysis of Variance also indicated that there were no significant difference (p>0.05) in the levels of Mercury, Iron, Lead, Chromium, Cadmium and Zinc when their levels were compared from one industry to the other.

Pollution Index (PI) calculations to show the extent of pollution by these heavy metals showed that these effluents were highly polluted by these toxic heavy metals in both seasons. Pollution was higher in the dry season in all the effluent samples (except RIMCO) than in the rainy season. Generally, pollution in the industries was highest in Savana effluent and least in RIMCO effluent in both seasons. Pollution in the food industries ranged in the order: Savana>Life>Obisco>Golden>KP>RIMCO respectively.

This finding could mean that RIMCO management partially treats its wastewater (as they claimed to do) before discharge into the environment.

On the otherhand, values obtained for the physicochemical parameters were either within or below the allowable industrial effluent limit, except for pH and hardness levels (which failed to meet the Federal Ministry of Environment specifications). The pH levels were lowest in RIMCO and Golden effluents in the dry season which showed that these effluents were highly acidic and will be toxic to the soil, water, aquatic lives and humans when discharged into the environment. Hardness levels were highest in the effluent samples from Golden vegetable oil in the dry season which could be detrimental to aquatic lives and even human beings if discharged untreated. pH and TSS were higher in the dry season than in the rainy season while TS and TDS were higher in the rainy season in all the effluents monitored. TSS contribute to high turbidity of water bodies into which these effluents are discharged and will prevent sunlight from reaching aquatic plants and animals, thus adversely effecting their growth and reproduction rates.

Two sample paired t-test showed that pH, TS, TDS and TSS were highly significant (p<0.05) between rainy and dry seasons. Again, the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) also indicated that there were significant difference (p<0.05) in the levels of pH, TS and TDS (except TSS) among the various effluents monitored. In as much as the values obtained for physicochemical parameters were either within or below the FMENV effluent limits, continued discharge of these wastes into the environment without proper treatment could result in severe accumulation of the toxicants, which could endanger crop yields, aquatic lives and human health. In that case, careless disposal of these waste waters by the food processing industries should be discouraged if a healthy environment is to be achieved in the nearest future.

To ascertain the level of pollution occasioned by the physicochemical parameters monitored in these effluents, pollution index was calculated. From the results obtained,

Golden effluent had the highest pollution index in the rainy season while KP effluent had the least value in the same season. In the dry season, it was Obisco effluent that had the highest pollution index with Savana effluent having the least index. Pollution index of the effluents in both seasons showed that the trend of pollution in the industries was Golden>RIMCO>Obisco>Life>KP>Savana respectively. This finding clearly showed that physicochemical characteristics of the effluents were not involved in the pollution observed in the food industries monitored since values obtained for the index were less than one (pi < 1.000).

Counts of the Most Probably Number (MPN) of organisms showed higher values in the rainy season than in the dry season in all the effluent samples monitored. This could be as a result of high humidity during this period that favoured the growth and reproduction of these microbes. High counts of coliform organisms were observed in the effluent samples from Obisco (22MPN/100ml and 20MPN/100ml) for both rainy and dry seasons at point 1 (PI) and 30MPN/100ml and 35MPN/100ml at 15 and 30 meters away from the point of discharge for the rainy and dry season counts respectively. Nevertheless, there was no evidence of coliform organisms in the effluent samples from RIMCO and Life breweries in both seasons.

In as much as these counts were higher in the rainy season than that of dry season, they were still below the limit allowed by the Federal Ministry of Environment in industrial effluent, though higher than the limit set by the World Health Organization in drinking water. Observation showed that coliform accumulation was higher at 15 and 30 meters away (P2 and P3) than at the point of discharge (P1), which could be as a result of flow velocity factor leading to boundary effect. Organisms isolated from the effluents were *Escherichia coli, Bacillus cereus* and *Enterobacter aerogens* whose presence could lead to risk of waterborne diseases if the effluents are discharged directly into receiving

water bodies without proper treatment. This could be the source of frequent cholera outbreak in certain parts of the country of recent, which have killed many lives especially children as a result of drinking polluted water or washing food items with contaminated water especially those food items that are eaten raw.

The major organic pollutants in Life breweries effluent samples were alkyl benzenes, alkane compounds, alkanoic acids, benzyl phthalates and phenolic compounds. These pollutants could come from the laboratory analar reagents used in the industry or from soil and air pollution of the surrounding petroleum industries. Some of these organics could react in the environment to form Poly Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) and become toxicants to receiving water bodies and soils. Benzonitrates, phenolic compounds and diols were the organics in Savana effluents. The benzonitrates might react in the environment forming PAHS that are not easily biodegradable. In KP effluents, the pollutants were substituted benzene compounds, alkanoic acids among others which are easily biodegradable though care should be taken in the discharge of these effluents. Obisco effluents had the following major pollutants: alkanoic acids, substituted phenol, diol and substituted benzene compounds. Though these compounds are biodegradable, but could still pose problems to the soil microbes and aquatic health since they might accumulate to toxic levels.

In Golden effluents, the organics were phenolic compounds, alkanoic acids and substituted benzene compounds which are easily biodegradable but the presence of alkanoic acids was evident in low pH obtained in the effluent sample from this particular industry in both seasons. This was also the case with RIMCO effluent that had mainly alkanoic acids as its organic pollutant. High pH of these effluents could lead to an increase in the acidity of receiving water bodies that might lead to the death of aquatic ecosystem.

Exposure to these organic pollutants can cause reduced reproductive success, birth defects, behavioural changes and death. This is because they are suspected carcinogens and disrupt the immune and endocrine systems.

These findings implied that consumption of polluted water by animals or human beings and even consumption of aquatic organisms that have accumulated these pollutants could be hazardous. Moreover, soil contaminated by these effluents might produce unhealthy crops as heavy metals can enter the food chain and thus be consumed by human beings. For instance, the mean concentrations of lead from the polluted effluents which was found to be above the permissible level could exert toxic effects on human beings if consumed from the water or irrigated agricultural products. These effluents, therefore need to be appropriately treated with respect to these toxic metallic elements before being discharged into the environment or surface water bodies.

# Recommendation

From the conclusions highlighted above, it can be said that industrial pollution in the state poses a serious threat to human beings and needs to be tackled from every angle simultaneously using industrial technology, legal measures and environmental awareness education. It will be disastrous to nature as well as to mankind if this thoughtless interference with the environment is continued.

It is recommended that:

1. Regulatory bodies should be put in place, to guide waste disposal in order to prevent health dangers for aquatic, plant, animal and human lives.
2. Regulation and standard must be identified, this can help in eradicating environmental pollution, and hence terminate the hazards caused so far by its effects.
3. More research is recommended as regards the receiving waterbodies of these effluents, the soil around the industries and also the crops growing within the vicinity of these industries to ascertain their level of pollution by these wastewaters being discharged carelessly into the environment.

Moreover, there is need for conservation, together with efficient wastewater

treatment processes so that the cycle EHWZHHQ µXVHG¶ ZDWHU DQ

shortened. The more water we use, the more wastewater we generate. And the more wastewater, the more its cost to clean it up; and the more it costs, the more we cannot pay the full cost. Equally, WKH PRUHp ayZthHe f ulGl cRostQo¶f wWater, the less money we invest in waste and sewage treatment. Also, the less we invest in waste and sewage treatment; the more pollution we generate.

There is also the need to give incentives or to recognize any food industry that adhere strictly to the industrial effluent limits. This will encourage the particular industry to further continue in the right direction, while at the same time, make others that are deviating/defaulting in their wastewater disposal operation to try and maintain the effluent limit allowed by the regulatory body. In effect, careless disposal of the wastes should be discouraged and if possible, there is need for all the manufacturing industries to install a treatment plant for all the industrial wastes so that they are properly treated before being discharged into the environment.

# References

Abdel-Shafy, H.L. and Abdel-Basir, S.E., (1991). Chemical Treatment of Industrial Wastewater. Environmental Management and Health, 2(3), 19 ±23.

Abdulla, K. and Chmielnicka, J., (1990). New Aspects on the Distribution and Metabolism of Essential Trace Elements after dietary Exposure to Toxic Metals. Biological Trace Element Research, 23, 25 ±53.

Abel, R.D., (1996). Water Pollution Biology. Taylor & Frances. New York, p. 5.

Abiona, O.O., Sanni, L.O. and Bamgbose, O.J., (2005). An Evaluation of Microbial Load, Heavy Metals & Cyanide Contents of Water Sources, Effluents & Peels from Cassava Processing Locations. International Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment. 3(1), 207 ±208.

Adebisi, S.A and Fayemiwo, K.A., (2010). Pollution of Ibadan Soil by Industrial Effluents. New York Science Journal 3(10), 37 ±41.

Ademoroti, E.M.A., (1996). Standard Method for Water and Effluent Analysis. March Prints and Consultancy. Foludex Press Ltd. Ibadan. p. 182.

Adeyemi, O., Oloyede, O.B.and Oladiji, A.T., (2007). Physicochemical and Microbial Characteristics of Leachate Contaminated Ground Water. Asian Journal of Biochemistry, 2(5), 343 ±348.

Adbulrachman, F.N. and Yahay, Y., (2006). Heavy Metal Composition of Shell Fish from River Argungu (Mantan Fodas Area). Argungu, Kebbi State. Proceedings of 28th Annual International Conference of Chem Soc of Nigeria, Lagos State. pp. 73 ±76.

Agbese, D., (1988). The Loosing Shadow, Newswatch July 18, p. 12.

Agedengbe, K., Akinmole, A.O. and Babatunde, A.O., (2003). Effluent Characteristics of Selected Industries in Western Nigeria and Implication in Agricultural Production. Exten., 4: 79 ±82.

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR)., (2007). Toxicological Profile for Zn and Co. US Department of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service, Atlanta, GA, 205 ±288.

Ajao, E.A., (1985). Acute Toxicity Test of a Textile Mill Wastewater Effluent and a Detergent Wash with a Hermit Crab *Clibinarinus anfrucanus (Aurvillius)*. NIOMR Tech. Paper No 21, p. 11.

Ajayi, S.O and Adeleye, S.A., (1977). Analysis of Ground and Surface Waters. Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Nigeria, 2, 71 ±85.

Ajiwe, V.I.E; and Okonkwo, V.N., (2007). Heavy Metals in Imported Foreign Fishes as Indicator of Marine Pollution. Anachem Journal, 11(2), 167 ±172.

Ajiwe, V.I.E., Igoshemu, J. and Njoku, A.N.K., (1986). Characteristics of Idah Well Waters and Iodine Content of Igala Waters. Bulletin of Chemical Society of Nigeria, 11, 81 ±90.

Akaninwor, J.O. and Gwin, O., (2006). Effect of Microbial Load on Indo Food (Indomie) Effluent Discharge on Physiochemical Property of New Calabar River in Choaba, Journal of Foods and Environments, 313, 195 ±204.

Alao, O., Arojojoy, O., Ognolaja, O., Famuyiwa, A., (2010). Impact Assessment of Brewery Effluent on Water Quality in Majawe, Ibadan, Southwestern Nigeria. Researcher, 2(5), 21 ±28.

Alloway, B.J., (1995). Heavy Metals in Soil. Chapman and Hall, United Kingdom Press pp. 1 ±3.

Amoo, L.A., Ajayi, O.O., Ipinmoroti, K.O., and Amuda O.S., (2004). Performance of Some Coagulants in the Treatment of Effluent from Soap/Detergent Industry. Proceeding of the 27th International Conference of Chemical Society of Nigeria, Benin. pp.415 ±420.

Anser, A, and Khan, F., (2005). Entrophication. An Ecological Vision. The Botanical Review, 71(4), 449 ±482.

APHA., (1980). Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste Water, American Public Health Association, 5th Edition, U.S.A pp. 230 ±241.

Arimoro, F.O., Iwegbue, C.M.A. and Enedudo, B.O., (2008). Effects of Cassava Effluent on Benthic Macroinvertebrate Assemblages in a Tropical Stream in Southern Nigeria. Acta Z001. Lituanica, 18, 147 ±156.

Asia, L.O., and Ademoroti, C.M.A., (2001). Performance of Some Coagulants/Flocculants in the Physio-chemical Treatment of Aluminium Extrusion Sludge. Proceeding of Chemical Society of Nigeria. pp. 48-62.

Asonye, C.C., Okolie, W.P., Okenwa, E.E. and Iwuanyanwu, U.G., (2007). Some Physiochemical Characteristics and Heavy Metal Profiles of Nigerian River, Streams and Waterways, African Journal of Biotechnology, 6(5), 617 ±625.

Association of Official Analytical Chemists, (AOAC)., (1980). Official Methods of Analysis. Horwitz, W (Ed). Washington D.C. USA. p. 17.

ASTM, American Society for Testing and Materials, (1978). Annual Book of ASTM Standard for Water, ASTM, Part 31, pp 310 ±318.

Bagge-Raun, D., Ng-y-Hjelm, M.J.N. Johanen and C. Gram, L., (2003). Microbiology of Processing Equipment in different Fish Industries. Analysis of the Microflora during Processing and following Cleaning. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 87(3), 239 ±250.

Banwor, K., (2006). Nutrient Load and Pollution Study of Some Selected Stations along Ogbupa River in Ibadan, Nigeria. M.Sc Dissertation, University of Ibadan, Ibadan p. 107.

Baroni, L., Cenci, L., Tettamanti, M. and Berat, M., (2007). Evaluating the Environmental Impact of Various Dietary Patterns Combined with Different Food Production Systems. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 61(2), 279 ±286.

Baros, L.S.S., Amaral, L.A., Lorenzon, C.S., Junior, J.L., and Machado Neto, J.G., (2007). Potential Microbiological Contamination of Effluents in Poultry and Swine Abattoirs. Journal of Epidemiology and Infection, 135(3), 225 ±288.

Becker, W. and Kumplaineni, J., (1991). Contents of Essential and Toxic Mineral Elements in Swedish Market ±Basket Diets in 1987. British Journal of Nutrition, 66, 151 ±160.

Begum, A., Amin, M.D., Kaneco, S. and Ohta, K., (2009). Selected Elemental Composition of the Muscle Tissue of Three Species of Fish, Talipia, Nilotic., Cirrhina Mrigala and Clarius Batrachui, from the Fresh Water Dhanmondi Lake in Bangladesh. Food Chemistry, 93, 439 ±443.

Benka ±Coker, M.O. and Ojior, O.O., (1995). Effects of Slaughter House Wastes on the Water Quality of Ikpoba River, Nigeria. Bioresource Technology, 52, 5 ±12.

Beychok, M.R., (1967). Aqueous Wastes from Petroleum & Petrochemical Plants (1st ed.). John Wiley and Sons. USA, 640 ±681.

Bhujangaiah, N.S., and Nayak, P.V., (2005). Study of Ground Water Quality in and Around Shimoga City, Kamataka Journal of Industrial and Chemical Researcg, 22(1), 42 ±47.

Bosshard, E. and Zimmeli, B., (1994). Bedarfsgegenstande aus Kupfer and Kupfer legierungen, ein Gesundheits Problem? Mitt. Gebiete Lebensm. Hygiene, 85, 287 ± 311.

Buswell, M.A., (1928). The Chemistry of Water and Sewage Treatment, Chemical Catalogue Co. New York. pp. 324 ±380.

Charles, K., William, J.S., Mwegoha. Riziki, S. and Shemboe., (2011). Heavy Metals Concentrations in Vegetable Grown in the Vicinity of the Closed Dump Site. International Journal of Environmental Sciences, 2, (2), 889 ±895.

Chang, L.W., (1990). The Neurotoxicology and Pathology of Organomercury, Organolead and Organotin. Biomedical and Environmental Science Researcg, 3, 125 ± 151.

Chukwu, O.J., (2008). Impact of Food Processing Effluents on the Receiving Streams Environmental Research Journal, 2(5), 222 ±225.

Chukwuogo, E.I., (1989). Effects of Industrial Activities in Warri Area on the Surface Water Quality. Book of Abstracts, 14th National Annual Conference of Chemical Society of Nigeria, p. 76.

Council of European Community (EC)., (1980). Directive Relating to Quality of Water Intended for Human Consumption, E.C. Pub., Geneva, pp. 45 ±60.

Darmond, D. and Denton, G.R.W., (1990). Heavy Metal Concentrations in the Banana. Prawn, *(Peneous merguiensis)* and Leader Prawn *(P. mondon)*, in the Townville Region of Astralia. Bulletin of Environments, Contaminants and Toxicology, 44, 479 ±486.

Earth Watch, United Nation Environmental Programme., (1995). Section 2, Chemical Pollution: A Global Overview, Published in Connection with the UNEP List of Selected Environmentally Harmful Chemical Substances, Process and Phenomena of Global Significance pp. 8 ±10.

Eboatu, A.N and Okonkwo, E.M., (1999). Environmental Pollution and Degradation. New Popular Science Series. Onis Excel Creations Ltd. Zaria, Nigeria pp. 5 ±7.

Eddy, O.N., (2005). Physiochemical Parameter of Water and Heavy Metal Content of Water from Qua Ibo River Estuary. M.Sc Thesis, University of Uyo, p. 142.

Edokplayi, C.A., (1993). The Effects of Sublethal Doses of a Farm Fertilizer in the Blood Plasma Glucose in *Tilapia Zilli (Pisces, Cichlidae)*. Tropical and Fresh Water Biology, 3, 444 ±450.

Egborge, A.B.M., (1991). Industrialization and Heavy Metals Pollution in Warri River. Inaugural Lecture Series 32, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria pp 1 ±26.

Egeronu, A.U. and Ibe, K:, (2004). Investigation on Nitrate Pollution in Ground Water of Owerri and Environs. Association for the Advancement of Modeling & Stimulation Techniques in Enterprises. Southern Nigeria, 65 (177), 92 ±110.

Eleanor, B.H., (1980). Toxic Metals and their Analysis. In Thomas L.C. (ed) Heyden International Tropics in Science London, pp. 66 ±117.

Elinder, C.G., and Jarup, L., (1996). Cadmium Exposure and Health Risks, Recent Findings, Ambio, 25(5), 370 ±373.

Emoyan, O.O., Ogban, F.E and Akaraa, E., (2006). Evaluation of Heavy Metals Loading of River Ijana in Ekpan-Warri, Nigeria. Journal of Applied Science Environmental Management, 121 ±127.

Eneh, E.N., (1994). Oil and the Environment in Nigeria; The Shape of An Environmental Policy. OPEC BULETIN, 25(28), 20 ±22.

Environmental Protection Agency., (1986). Solving the Hazardous Waste Problem; (3$¶V 5&5$Wa sh3inUgtoRn JD.UC.DEPPA , 8

Ethan, J.N., Richard, W.M and Michael, G.K., (2003). The Effect of An Industrial Effluent on an Urban Stream Benthic Community: Water Quality Vs. Habitat Quality Environmental Pollution, 123(1), 1 ±13.

Ezekwe, N.U., (2002). Study of Mercury Analysis ± Optimal Anion-Exchange Separation of Hg as Nitriate Complex and Concentration Prior to Determination by Modified Dithizone Procedure. Journal of Chemical Society of Nigeria, 27 (2), 134 ± 138.

Fakayode, S.O., (2005). Impact Assessment of Industrial Effluent on Water Quality of the Receiving Alaro River Ibadan Nigeria. A Jean Ragee, 10, 1 ±13.

FMEnv., (1991). Guidelines and Standards for Environmental Pollution Control in Nigeria. Federal Environmental Agency (FEPA), Lagos, p. 238.

Fleisher, J.M., Kay, D., Wyer, D. and Godfree, A.F., (1998). Estimates of the Severity of Illness Associated with Bathing in Marine Recreational Water Contaminated with Domestic Sewage. International Journal of Epidermology, 27, 722 ±726.

Freeze R.A., and Cherry, A.J., (1999). Ground Water, Prentice Hall Inc. Eaglewood. Cliffs. pp. 45 ±50.

Fufeyin, T.P., (1994). Heavy Metal Concentrations in the Water, Sediment and Fish Species of Ikpoba Reservoir in Benin City, Nigeria. Ph.D Thesis, University of Benin, Benin City. p. 167.

Galas ±Gorchev, H., (1991). Dietary Intake of Pesticide Residues: Cadmium, Mercury and Lead. Food Additives and Contaminants, 8, 793 ±806.

Gazza, F., (1990). Lead and Cadmium Sources, Metabolism, Dangers and Presence in Meat and Meat Products. Ann. della facolta di Med Vet., 10, 171 ±181.

Gbadebo, A.M., Taiwo, A.M and Eghele, U., (2010). Environmental Impacts of Drilling Mud and Cutting Wastes from the Igbokoda Onshore Oil Wells, Southern Nigeria. Indian Journal of Science and Technology, 3(5), 504 ±510.

Giannoulis, W., Marpa, V., Konstantinou, I., Albanisi, T and Dimoliatis, I., (2005). Microbiological Risks Assessment of Agios Georgions Source Supplies in North Western Greece based on Faecal Coliform Determination and Sanitary Inspection Survey. Chemosphere, 58, 1269 ±1276.

Goya, R.A., (1996). Toxic Effects of Metals: Mercury, Casarett and Doullis Toxicology. The Basic Science of Poisons, 5th Edition. New York: McGraw-Hill. p. 103.

Groten, J.P., Sinkeldam, E.J., Luten, J.B. and VanBladersen, P.J., (1990). Comparism of the Toxicity of Inorganic and Liver-Incorporated Cadmium: A 4-Week Feeding Study in Rats. Food and Chemical Toxcology, 28, 435 ±441.

Groten, J.P., VanBladersen, P.J., (1994). Cadmium Bioavailability & Health Risks in Food. Trends in Food Science and Technology, 5, 50 ±55.

Gumgum, B., Akba, O. and Erdogans, S., (1997). Lead in Urban Street Dust in Diyarbakir, Turkey, Fresmius. Environmental Bulletin, 6, 430-437.

Hillman, H.A., (1988). The Food Processing Industry. Journal of Cleaner Production, 47(1-2), 103 ±160.

Igbegbe, A.O., Belhaj, H.M., Hassan, T.M. and Gibali, A.S., (1992). Effect of

+LJKZD\¶V 7UDIILF RQ WKH /HYHO RI /HDG D

along the Roadsides. Journal of Food Safety, 13, 7 ±18.

Igboeli, A., (1995). Chemical & Biological Analysis of Effluents from Life Breweries Onitsha. B.SC. Thesis, Dept of Science Tech, UNIZIK, Awka, Unpublished.

ISO., (1990). Water Quality ±Determination of Nitrate. Part 1, 2, 6 ±Dimethyl Phenol Spectrometric Method, Intl, Std, ISO 7980 ± 1, Intenational Organization for Standardization, Geneva. pp. 7 ±42.

JECFA: 33., (1989). Evaluation of Certain Food Additives and Contaminants, WHO Techn, Report Series. 776.

Jimena, M.G., Roxana, O., Catiana, Z., Margarita, H., Sussana, M and Ines-Isla, M., (2008). Industrial Effluents and Surface Waters Genotoxicity and Mutagenicity Evaluation of A River of Tucuman, Argentina. Journal of Hazardous Material, 155(3), 403 ±406.

Jones, R.R., (1989). The Continuing Hazard of Lead in Drinking Water. Lancet, 16, 666 ±670.

Jordao, C.P., Pereira, M.G., and Pereira, J.L., (2004). Metal Contamination of River Waters and Sediments from Effluents of Kaolin Processing in Brazil. Journal of Air, Water and Soil Pollution, 140 (1 ±4), 119 ±138.

Kantachote, D., Torpee, S and Umsakul, K., (2005). The Potential Use of Anoxygenic Plototrophic Bacteria for Treating Latex Rubber Sheet Wastewater. Journal of Biotechnology, 8, 315 ±323.

Kaviraj, A., and Guhathakurata, H.G., (2004). Heavy Metal Deposition in some Brackish Ponds of Sundrban (India). Asian Fisheries Science Society, 17, pp. 29 ±38.

Klabunde, K.J., (1980). Chemistry of Free Atoms and Particles. Academic Press London. pp. 131 ±135.

Koka, S., Huber S.M., Borni, K.M., Lang, C., Folher, M. and Lang, F., (2007). Lead Decrease Parasitemia and Enhances Survival of Plasmodium Berghei-infected mice. Biochemical and Biophysics Research Communique, 363, 484 ±489.

Kolo, B., Dibal, J.M. and Ndakaw, I.I., (2009). Elemental Analysis of Tap and Borehole Waters in Maidugiri, Semi Arid Region, Nigeria. European Journal of Applied Sciences, 1(2), 26 ±29.

Koro, B.G., and Bukar, L.I., (2005). Analysis of Dugwell & Borehole Water Samples of Maiduguri Town. Borno State Nigeria. Proceeding of Chemical Society of Nigeria, 2(1), 68 ±72.

Kroyer, G.T., (1995). Impact of Food Processing on the Environment ±an Overview. Lebensmitted ±wissenchaft und-Technologie, 28(6), 547 ±552.

Lawal, F., and Singh, K., (1981). Wastewater Effluents from Six Tanneries of Kano, and Sokoto States. Bulletin of Chemical Society of Nigeria, 6, 69 ±77.

Lee, J., Chon, H., Kim, J., and Moon, H., (1998). Enrichment of Potentially Toxic Elements in Areas Underlain by Black Shales and Slates in Korea. Environmental Geochemistry and Health, 20, 133 ±147.

Lee, J.D., (2007). Concise Chemistry, 5th Edition. John Willey and Sons Inc. USA, pp. 809 ±850.

Leopold, B.L., (1977). Encyclopedia of Science and Technology, Vol. 13, MacGraw- Hill Inc. New York. pp. 491 ±560.

Maduabuchi, J.M.U., Nzegwu, C.N., Adigbo E.O., Aloke, RU., Ezomike CN., Okocha CE., Obi E. and Orisakwe O.E., (2006). Lead & Cadmium Exposures from Canned & Non-canned Beverages in Nigeria. A Public Health Concern. Science and Total Environment, 366, 621 ±626.

Mara, D., McGarry, M., and Faachem, E., (1998). Water Wastes and Health in Hot Climates. A Wiley Inter-Science Publishers, USA, p 8.

Marce, R., Robinson, R.K., and Sadler, M.J., (1993). Encyclopedia of Food Science, Food Technology and Nutrient Vol (3). Academic Press. London. pp 47 ±53.

Marcorcchio, J.E., Botte, S.E., and Frije, R.H., (2007). Heavy Metals, Major Metals, Trace Elements. In: Handbook of Water Analysis. C.M. No Effect. Ed. 2nd Edn. CRC Press London. pp. 275 ±311.

McFeters G.A., (1974). Comparative Survival of Indicator Bacteria and Enteric Pathogens in Well Water, Applied Microbiology New Delhi, India, 241 ±272.

Mertz, W.C., (1987). Trace Elements in Human and Animal Nutrition. Vol (10) 5th Edition. Academic Press, London, pp. 19 ±25.

Mombeshora, C., Ajayi, S.O. and Osibanjo, O., (1981). Pollution Studies on Nigerian Rivers: Toxic Heavy Metal Status of Surface Waters in Ibadan City. Environment International, 5, 49 ±53.

Montagomery, C.N., (1995). Environmental Geology 15th ed: McGraw Hill. Boston.

pp. 390 ±410.

Moore, J.W. and Ramamorathy, S.J., (1984). Heavy Metals in Natural Waters: Applied Monitoring and Impact Assessment, Springer ±Verlag, New York. pp 28 ±246.

Myong, C.J., (2001). Heavy Metal Contamination of Soil & Waters and Imcheon Au- Ag mine. Korea Department of Earth Resources and Environmental Geotechniques Engineering. Applied Geochemistry, 16, 1369 ±1375.

NALCO Water Treatment Handbook., (1979). 5th Edition, Degramomt Publishers, Paris pp. 140 ±141.

Nascimes, C.A., Gaudici, R. and Guadani, R., (2000). Neutral Network Based Approach for Optimization of Industrial Chemical Processes. Engineering, 24, 2013.

Nduka, J.K.C., Orisakwe O.E. and Maduagwuna, C.A., (2008). Lead Levels in Paint Flakes from Buildings in Eastern Nigeria. Toxicology & Industrial Health Gallery Proof, p 20.

Nieto, R.E., (2000). Toxicology Characteristics of Industries Liquid Effluents ±Tool for Actions of Control for the Water Pollution. Inter American Congress of Environmental and Sanitory Engineering, 27, 280 ±300.

Njoku, K.L., Akinola, M.O and Oboh, B.O., (2009). Phytoremediation of Crude Oil Contaminated Soil: The Effect of Growth of Glycine Max on the Physio-chemistry and Crude Oil Contents of Soil, Nature and Science, 7(10), 79 ±87.

Njoku, P.C. and Oyeka, A.O., (2007). Evaluation of Heavy Metal Pollutants from Soils, Municipal Solid Wastes (MSN) Deposits in Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria. Journal of Chemical Society of Nigeria, 32(1), 57 ±60.

Niewhick, S., (1998). Total Viable Count and Concentration of *Enterobacteria* in Bottom Sediments Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, 75, 295 ±306.

NNPC Refinery Laboratory Analysis., (1978). Handbook for Water Analysis, NNPC, Nigeria. pp 1 ±17.

Nriagu, J.O., Afache, M., Arowolo, T., Anaa, Sirdhas M., Obi, E., Ebeneb J. and Orisakwe, O.E., (2007). Lead Poisoning Associated with Malaria in Children of Urban Areas of Nigeria. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health, 2,(3), 48

±60.

Nwaje, G.E and Iwegbue, M.A., (2007). Trace Metal Concentration of Soils in the Vicinity of Uwelu Motor Spare Parts Market, Benin City, Nigeria. Journal of Chemical Society of Nigeria, 32(22), 282 ±286.

2¶PHO(1 9835). E nvironmental Chemistry 2nd Ed. Thomson Press India; New Delhi.

pp. 209 ±221.

Obasohan, E.F., Oronsay, J.A.O., Obano, E.E., (2006). Heavy Metal Concentrations in *Malapterucus alectrcus and Drysichthys nigrodigitrtus* from Ogba River in Benin City, Nigeria. African Journal of Biotechnology, 5(10), 974 ±982.

Obodo, G.A., (2004). The Bioacummulation of Heavy Metals in Fish from Anambra River, Journal of Chemical Society of Nigeria; 29(1), 60 ±61.

Odoemena, S.A., (1999). Effects of Industrialization on Water Quality. A Case Study of Effluents from Three Industries in Aba, and the Pollution of Aba River. Environmental Analar, 2, 120 ±126.

Odoemena, S.A., Ihuozo, U., and Ozuo, J.U., (1999). Baseline Levels of Some Toxic Heavy Metals in Selected Nigeria Rivers. 2nd Edition: Conference of Tropical Environment. Efum (TEFO). Calabar, Nigeria.

Ogunfowokan, A.O and Fakankun, O.A., (1998). Physico-chemical Characterisation of Effluents from Beverage Processing Plants in Ibadan, Nigeria. International Journal of Environmental Studies, 54(2), 145 ±152.

Ogunfowokan, A.O., Okoh, E.K., Adenuga, A.A and Asubiojo, O.I., (2005). An Assessment of the Impact of Point Source Pollution from A University Sewage Treatment Oxidation Pond on A Receiving Stream-A Preliminary Study. Journal of Applied Sciences, 5(1), 36 ±43.

Oguzie, F.A., and Okhagbuzo, G.A., (2010). Concentration of Heavy Metals in Effluent discharges Down Stream Ikpoba River in Benin City, Nigeria. African Journal of Biotechnology, 9(3), 319 ±325.

Oguzie, F.A., (1999). Contribution of Industrial Effluents to the Heavy Metal Loads of the Lower Ikpoba River in Benin City, Nigeria. African Journal of Applied Zoology, 2, 60 ±63.

Ojelabi, E.A., and Fasunwan, B.S., (2001). Geophysical and Chemical Analysis of Ground Water Sample. African Journal of Environmental Studies, 2(1), 77 ±79.

Okafor, M., (1985). Aquatic & Waste Microbiology Fourth Dimension Publishers p. 54.

Okonkwo, N.E., Igwe, C.J., and Ogbonna, H.I., (2006). Detoxification of Heavy Metals (Hg, Cd and Fe) from Aqueous Solution using Waste Boiler Fly Ash. Proceedings of the 28th Annual International Conference Lagos Nigeria. Chemical Society of Nigeria.

pp. 64 ±68.

Okoro, O.O., (1981). Environmental Management in Nigeria. United Nations Development News, 7 (1), 7 ±8.

Okotere, R.O., (1982). Industry and the Environment, In Proc, on Environmental Awareness Seminar for National Policy Makers., Ibadan, Nigeria pp. 50 ±54.

Okoye, B.C.O., (1986). Heavy Metals in Lagos Lagoon, Book of Abstracts, 14th National Annual Conference of Chemical Society of Nigeria. pp. 70 ±71.

Okoye, P.A.C., Enemuoh, R.E. and Oguejiofor, J.C., (2002). Traces of Heavy Metal in Marine Crabs. Journal of Chemical Society of Nigeria, 27(1), 70 ±76.

Okon, D.A., Fair, M.G. and Geyer, C.J., (1971). Elements of Water Supply & Wastewater Disposal. 2nd Edition. John Wiley and Sons, USA, pp 480 ±495.

Onyedineke, N.E., Odukoya, A.O and Ofoegbu, P.U., (2010). Aute Toxicity Tests of Cassava and Rubber Effluents on the *Ostracoda Straindesia Prava Kile*, 1935 *(Crustacea, Ostracoda)*. Research Journal of Environmental Science, 4, 166 ±172.

Osho, A., Mabekoje, O.O and Bello, O.O., (2010). Preliminary Evaluation of Wastewater Effluents from Two Food Companies in Nigeria. African Journal of Microbiology Research, 4(13), 1395 ±1399.

Oskarsson, A., (1989). Health Hazard of High Concentrations of Copper in Drinking Water. Var foeda, 41, 257 ±259.

Oskarsson, A., (1992). Health Aspects of Methyl-Mercury in Fish, Var Foeda, 44, 148

±154.

Peter, C.H. and Micheal, E.H., (2005). Marine Biology, 5th ed, McGraw ±Hill Publishing Company. New York. pp. 400 ±401.

Phiri, O., Mumba, P., Moyo, B.H. and Kodowa, U.W., (2005). Assessment of the Impact of Industrial Effluents on Water Quality of Receiving Rivers in Urban Areas in Malawi. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, 2(3), 237 ± 244.

Rajaram, T. and Ashutost, D., (2008). Water Pollution by Industrial Effluents in India: Discharge Scenario and Case for Participatory Ecosystem Specific Local Regulation. Journal of Environment, 40(1)., 56 ±69.

Ram, S., Pravin, U.S. and Deepali, S.P., (2011). Study on Physicochemical Parameters of Wastewater Effluents from Taloja Industrial Area of Mumbai, India. International Journal of Ecosystem, 1(1), 1 ±9.

Rao, N.S., Rao, V.G and Gupta, C.P., (1998). Groundwater Pollution Due to Discharge of Industrial Effluents in Venkatapuram Area, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradash, India. Environmental Geology, 33(4), 289 ±294.

Raymond, I.F., (1992). Problems of Water. Evans Books and Sons, U.K. pp 123 ±126.

Reeves, P.G. and Vanderpool, R.A., (1997). Cadmium Burden of Men and Women. WHO Report Regular Consumption of Confectionery Sunflower and Kernels Containing a Natural Abundance of Cadmium. Environ. Health Perspective, 105(10), 1098 ±1104.

Richtwete, N.N., (1986). Fur Blei, Cadmium und Quecksi, Iberin un auf Lebensmittein. Baesund. hBl 29.

Rogers, J.M. and Kavlock, R.J., (1996). Developmental Toxicology., In C.D, Klaassen

HG &DVDUHWW DQth eGd. M'cGRraXw-OHWill,¶NVew Y7orRk, [p. L88F. RORJ\

Sabae, S.Z., (2004). Monitoring of Microbial Pollution in the River Nile and the Impact of Some Human Activities on Its Waters. Proceeding of International Conference on Bio-Science, 3, 200 ±214.

Sada, P.O and Odemerho, F.O., (1988). Environmental Issues and Management in Nigerian Development. Woye and Sons Press Ltd, Ilorin. pp. 1 ±59.

Schudram, J.F. and Shaw, G., (2000). Congenital Malformation and Birth Weight in Areas with Potential Environmental Contamination. Archeology and Environmental Health, 47, 147 ±154.

Schumacher, M.J., Domingo, L., Elobet, J.M. and Corbella, J., (1993). Chromium, Copper, Zinc Concentrations in Edible Vegetables Grown in Tarragona Province, Spain. Bulletin of Environmental Contaminant and Toxicology, 50, 514 ±521.

Schumann, K., (1993). Zur toxitologischen Beuiteilung der Schwermetallgehaite (Cd, Hg, Pb) in Sauglings und Kleinkind-nahrung. Z Ernahrungswiss, 29, 54 ±73.

Scientific Committee on Food., (2002). Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons ± Occurrences in Foods, Dietary Exposure and Health Effects. European Commission.

Shahidul, 1., Seleha, K. and Masaru, T., (2010). Waste Loading in Shrimp and Fish Processing Effluents: Potential Source of Hazards to the Coastal & Nearshore Environment.

Silva, A.V., Mazzolen, I. and Colagrande, O., (1993). Lead Contents of Wine as Possible Source of Contamination. Ind.dele. Bevande, 22, 211 ±216.

Sindhu, P.S., (2002). Environmental Chemistry, 1st ed, New Age International Publisher Ltd., New Delhi, pp. 75 ±100.

Singare, P.U., Lokhande, R.S. and Jagtap, A.G., (2011). Water Pollution by Discharge Effluents from Gove Industrial Area of Maharashtra, India, of Heavy Metals and their Toxic Effects, International Journal of Global Environmental Issues. 11(1), 28 ±36.

Sundaresan, B.B., (1977). Seminar on Industrial Waste, Calcutta, Organized by NEERI and Calcutta, Metropolitan Dev. Authority. Calcutta. pp. 38 ±51.

Szabo, D.T. and Loccisano, A.E., (2012). Persistent Organic Pollutants and Human Health Risk Assessment. Dioxins and Persistent Organic Pollutants. 3rd (ed). John Wiley and Sons. USA, pp. 461 ±467.

Tehobanoglous, G., Burton, F.L., and Stensel., H.D., (2003). Wastewater Engineering (Treatment Disposal Reuse)/ Metcalf & Eddy, Inc. (4th ed). McGraw-Hill Book New York pp. 49 ±86.

Timothy, P., Latta, D.M., Snow, E.T. and Costa, M., (1989). Toxicology and Carciniogenicity of Nickel Componds. Critical Review in Toxicology, 19, 341 ±388.

Twort, A.C., Law, F.N., and Crowley, F.N., (1986). Water Supply, Arnold International Student Edition London. pp. 200 ±220, 230 ±239.

U.K Department of Health and Social Security., (1971). Circulation 71/159.

Uba, B.N. and Agbogho, O., (2001). Rain Water Quality from different Roof Cathment in Port Harcourt District. Institute of Public Analyst of Nigeria. News, 2, 11 ±14.

Underwood, L.S., (2002). Long Term Effects of Childhood Exposure to Lead at Low Dose, An Eleven Years Follow-up Report. New England. Journal of Medicine, 322, 83

±88.

UNEP International Environment., (2002). Environmentally Sound Technology for Wastewater and Storm Water Management. An International Source Book, IWA Publishing, United Kingdom, pp. 64 ±70.

Valko, M., Morris, H. and Cronin, M.T., (2005). Metals, Toxicity and Oxidative Stress. Current Medicinal Chemistry, 12(10), 1161 ±1208.

Van Dokkum, W., Devos, H., Muys, Th. and Wasstra, J.A., (1989). Minerals and Trace Elements in Total Diets in the Netherlands. British Journal of Nutrition, 61, 7 ±15.

Vasudevon, D.M. and Streekumeri, S., (2000). Biochemical Aspects of Environmental Pollution. Textbook of Biochemistry for Medical Students 2nd ed. Jay Pee Brothers Medical Publishers Ltd. New Delhi, India pp. 467 ±485.

WHO., (1989). Health Guidelines for Use of Wastewater in Agriculture and Aquaculture. Technical Report Series 778. Geneva, Switzerland pp, 65 ±69.

WHO., (1993). Guideline for Drinking Water Quality, Vol 1. Recommendations, WHO Geneva, p. 6.

World Health Organization (WHO)., (1993). Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, Revision of the 1984 Guidelines. Final Task Group Meeting. Geneva, pp. 21 ±25.

World Health Organization., (1971). International Standard for Drinking Water. WHO Geneva. p 14.

Yang, G.B.O., (1996). Managing Secondary Treatment System. FAIDI J, 12, 52.

You-Joe, A., Kampbell, P.F. and Breidenbach, G.P., (2003). *Esherichia coli* and Total coliform in Water Sediments in Lake Manner. Journal of Environmental Pollution, 120 (3), 771 ±778.

1