**DEVELOPMENT OF PREDICTIVE MODEL FOR INTRA-CITY BUS TRAVEL TIME IN MAKURDI METROPOLIS IN NIGERIA**

**BY**

**EWOH, JAMES GODWIN**

**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING FACULTY OF ENGINEERING**

**AHMADU BELLO UNIVERISITY, ZARIA NIGERIA**

**DEVELOPMENT OF PREDICTIVE MODEL FOR INTRA-CITY BUS TRAVEL TIME IN MAKURDI METROPOLIS IN NIGERIA**

**BY**

**Ewoh, James GODWIN B.ENG Civil (UAM) 2015 P16EGCV8060**

**A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES, AHMADU BELLO UNIVERSITY,ZARIA,**

**IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FORTHEAWARD OF**

**MASTERS DEGREE IN CIVIL ENGINEERING**

**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING FACULTY OF ENGINEERING**

**AHMADU BELLO UNIVERSITY, ZARIA NIGERIA**

**FEBRUARY, 2020**

# DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this dissertation entitled **Development of Predictive Model for Intra-City Bus Travel Time in Makurdi Metropolisin Nigeria**has been performed by me in the Department of Civil Engineering, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.

The information derived from the literature has been duly acknowledged in the text and a list of references provided. No part of this dissertation was previously presented for another degree or diploma at this or any other institution.

NameSignature Date

# CERTIFICATION

This dissertation titled **Development of Predictive model for intra-city bus travel time in Makurdi Metropolisin Nigeria**by Ewoh James GODWIN meets the regulations governing the award of the degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering of the Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, and is approved for its contribution to knowledge and literary presentation.

Prof. A.T Olowosulu Chairman, Supervisory Committee Signature Date

Dr. A.A Murana Member, Supervisory Committee Signature Date

Dr. J.M Kaura Head of Department Signature Date

Prof. Sani, A. Abdullahi Dean, School of Postgraduate Studies Signature Date

# DEDICATION

With deep sense of humility, I dedicate this work to Almighty God, the one with whom all things are possible. The Alpha and Omega- the only one who determines the end from the beginning, the giver and protector of life, the Architect of the Universe and the Engineer of the Foundation of the world and to my beloved; Miss Henrietta Wueseter Usue and Miss Emmanuella Chivirter Usue.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I acknowledge mostly my major supervisor Prof. A.T. Olowosulu and my minor supervisor Dr. A. A. Murana whom out of their busy schedules guided me all through the process of the research and am highly grateful to you both.

Also my humble and hardworking Head of Department, Civil Engineering, Dr. J.M. Kaura, I met so many good people in life but men of your personality are quite few. You are an epitome of humility, one whose patience with all manner of people remains unmeasured and indeed, a philanthropist.

I also acknowledge the contributions of Prof. Joel Manasseh and Dr. Edeh Joseph for their great support and encouragement all through this work; without whom this would not have been a great success.

All the members of staff in Civil Engineering Department, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria; and to my entire course mates whom out of their encouragements, made this research a huge success, words alone cannot show my gratitude.

My unreserved appreciation goes to the Executive Governor of Benue State, Dr. Samuel Ortom for his financial and moral supports and tomy uncle, Mr. Boniface Usaka and his wife, not just for their support before and during the course of my academic pursuit but for their ideas, desire and wish to see my contributions in the society as an Engineer. May God renew your strength and bless you abundantly.

# ABSTRACT

The lack of information on bus travel time in Makurdi metropolis to enable trip makers plan for journeys is seen as a challenge in recent times. This study developed a multiple linear regression model for predicting bus travel time along bus routes within the metropolis and this was achieved throughmeasurement of the physical characteristics of the roadway, identification of those factors that constitute impediment to intra-city bus travel time, measurement of intra-city bus travel time on the routes and development of prediction models for intra-city bus travel time using statistical package for social science and the development of curve fittings for the built models with data collected from field survey. The physical characteristic of the routes under study was determined and the lane width values obtained on the field where 3.6m, 3.7m, and 3.5m for Wadata, Modern Market, and Air force base routes respectively and these values conform with the Federal Ministry of works Highway Design Manuel 2013 which states that 3.35-4.0m lane width be used for safety, efficiency and ease of operation and the manual also states that the minimum median width be 1.2m and usable shoulder width of 3m are desirable on all highways but narrow widths are acceptable on low volume highway.The factors that affect intra-city bus travel times were also determined with speed of travel, dwell times, 3-leg intersection and Cross intersections, volume of traffic which all ranged from 427-775 vehicles/hour which falls under the traffic category of heavy traffic as stated in the Federal Ministry of works Highway Design Manual, 2013 that traffic more than 1000vehicles/day belongs to Heavy traffic, and number of roundabout and the route lengths been predominant.

The traffic data was collected from the metropolis using moving vehicle technique method of estimating travel timeduring survey, there was delay and congestion during the peak periods as compared to the off-peak period.From the regression analysis conducted,the built modelscaptured route length which shows that the model is a good one for both peak and off-peak periods. A high value of coefficient of correlation for Peak and Off-Peak periods, R = 0.978 and R= 0.997 was obtained which indicates that there is a high and good correlation of about 97.8% and 99.7% between the dependent and independent variables and the models are very good reflection of the current traffic situation in the state capital. The coefficient of determination R2 which is a measure of goodness-of-fit, was found to be 0.956 and 0.994 respectively which indicates that 95.6% and 99.4% of the dependent variable (Travel time) at a confidence level of 95% and significant level of 0.05 is explained by both regression models. The findings also revealed that R2 value for the Off- peak period is relatively high which defines high accuracy of the model and the Peak period is associated with more complications but represent a more realistic travel situation.

The major findings, in the light of the peculiar set of present day conditions established that route length, T-junction and travel speed are important parameters used in the prediction of travel time because they contributed significantly to the built modelat 95% confidence level and 0.05 interval levels. The proposed model is conceptually and operationally simple and should be used for cities in Nigeria having such challenges as noticed in Makurdi metropolis. On-street parking should be regulated so as to reduce traffic congestion on the highways within the metropolis

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Cover Page i

Fly leaf ii

Title page iii

[DECLARATION iv](#_TOC_250006)

[CERTIFICATION v](#_TOC_250005)

[DEDICATION vi](#_TOC_250004)

[ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS vii](#_TOC_250003)

[ABSTRACT viii](#_TOC_250002)

TABLE OF CONTENT x

[LIST OF FIGURES xvii](#_TOC_250001)

[LIST OF TABLES xx](#_TOC_250000)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LIST OF PLATES  LIST OF APPENDICES  LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/SYMBOLS  **CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION1** | xxii | xxiii  xxv |
| 1.1 Background of the study1 |  |  |
| 1.2 Statement of the Problem5 |  |  |
| 1.3 Justification for the Study6 |  |  |
| 1.4 Aim and Objectives 6 |  |  |
| 1.4.1 Aim of study |  |  |

6

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1.4.2 Objectives of study |  |  | 6 |
| 1.6 Scope of the Study |  |  | 7 |
| **CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW** |  | **8** |  |
| 2.1Conceptual Framework |  |  | 8 |
| 2.1.1TravelTime |  |  | 8 |
| *2.1.1.1 Applications of Travel Time* |  |  | *8* |
| *2.1.1.2Methods of estimating Travel Time* |  |  | *9* |
| *2.1.1.2.1The Spot Speed Measurement Method* |  |  | *9* |
| *2.1.1.2.2 Spatial Travel Time Method* |  |  | *9* |
| * + - * 1. *Probe Vehicle*         2. *Regression Models* |  | *10* | *9* |
| *2.1.1.2.5 Artificial Neural Network* |  | *10* |  |
| 2.1.2 Bus Transit |  | 10 |  |
| *2.1.2.1 Bus Passenger Trip Times* |  | *11* |  |
| *2.2Travel Time Models* |  | *12* |  |
| *2.2.1Univariate Models* |  | *13* |  |
| *2.2.2 Multivariate Models* |  | *14* |  |
| *2.2.2.1 Regression Models* |  | *14* |  |
| *2.2.3 Artificial Neural Network* |  | *16* |  |
| *2.3 Review of Empirical Works* |  | *17* |  |
| **CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY** |  | **20** |  |
| 3.1Description of Study Area | 20 |  |  |
| 3.2Measurement of Physical characteristics of Roadway |  | 21 |  |
| 3.3Identification of the factors that affect intra-city Bus Travel Times |  | 22 |  |
| 3.4 Measurement of intra-city Bus Travel Times on the Selected Routes |  | 23 |  |
| *3.4.1 Collection of travel time data using Moving – Vehicle Technique* |  | 23 |  |
| *3.4.2 Traffic Volume Data and Travel Time Data* |  | *24* |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| * + 1. *Travel Speed*     2. *Time Headway* | *26* | *26* |
| 3.5 Model Formulation for Intra-City Bus Travel Time |  | 27 |
| 3.6 Development of Curve fittings for the built models |  | 30 |
| **CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** |  | **31** |
| 4.1 Physical Characteristics of Roadway |  | 31 |
| 4.2 Factors that Affect Intra-City Bus Travel Times |  | 32 |
| 4.3 Travel Time Analysis |  | 33 |
| 4.4 Multiple Linear Regression Model | 37 |  |
| 4.5 Curve Fittings for the built model |  | 54 |
| **CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS** |  | **55** |
| 5.1Conclusions |  | 55 |
| 5.2Recommendations REFERENCES | 58 | 57 |

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Page

3.1: Makurdi metropolis showing study routes 21 3.2: Travel direction on the routes24

* 1. :Variation ofTravel Time to travel speed for peak period on Wadata Route33
  2. : Variation ofTravel Time to travel speed for off- peak period on Wadata Route34 4.3: Variation ofTravel Time to travel speed for peak on Modern market Route34

4.4: Variation ofTravel Time to travel speed for off- peak on Modern market Route 35 4.5: Variation ofTravel Times to travel speed for peak period on Air force Base Route 36 4.6: Variation of Travel Time to travel speed for off- peak on Air force Base Route 36 4.7: Variation ofestimated bus travel time to observe bus travel time for peak period 54 4.8:Variation ofestimated bus travel time to observe bus travel time for off- peak 54

# LIST OF TABLES

Table Page

* 1. : Physical characteristics of road geometry in Makurdi 31
  2. :Geometric characteristics of minibus routes in Makurdi metropolis 32
  3. : Summary of statistical results of multiple linear regression model Wadata Peak 37
  4. : Model summary result for peak hour values Wadata Route 38
  5. : Summary of statistical results of the multiple linear regression model off- Peak39
  6. : Summary of statistical results of the multiple linear regression model off-Peak 40
  7. : Summary of statistical results of the multiple linear regression model Peak period Modern market 41
  8. : Summary of statistical results of the multiple linear regression model Peak 42
  9. : Summary of statistical results of the multiple linear regression model off-Peak periodModern market 43
  10. : Summary of statistical results of the multiple linear regression model off- Peak 44
  11. : Summary of statistical results of the multiple linear regression model Peak period AirForce base 45
  12. : Summary of statistical results of the multiple linear regression model Peak 46
  13. : Summary of statistical results of the multiple linear regression model off-Peak

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PeriodAir Force base | 47 |  |
| 4.14: Summary of statistical results of the multiple linear regression model off- | Peak | 48 |
| 4.15:Summary of statistical results of the multiple linear regression model Peak | 49 |  |
| 4.16: Model summary result for peak hour values | 50 |  |
| * 1. :Summary of statistical results of multiple linear regression model Off-peak 51   2. : Model summary result for Off-peak Hour 53 | |  |

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix Page

A1: Travel time data collected during peak hour Modern market route 64

A2: Travel time data collected during peak hour 64

A3: Travel time data collected during peak hour 65

A4: Travel time data collected during peak hour 65

A5: Travel time data collected during peak hour 66

A6: Travel time data collected during peak hour 66

A7: Travel time data collected during peak hour Wadata route 67

A8: Travel time data collected during peak hour 67

A9: Travel time data collected during peak hour 68

A10: Travel time data collected during peak hour 68

A11: Travel time data collected during peak hour 69

A12:Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour 69

A13: Travel time data collected during peak hour Air force base route 70

A14: Travel time data collected during peak hour 70

A15: Travel time data collected during peak hour 71

A16: Travel time data collected during peak hour 71

A17:Travel time data collected during off- peak hour Modern market route72

A18: Travel time data collected during off-peak hour 72

A19: Travel time data collected during off-peak hour 73

A20: Travel time data collected during off-peak hour 73

A21: Travel time data collected during off-peak hour 74

A22: Travel time data collected during off-peak hour 74

A23: Travel time data collected during off-peak hour Wadata route 75

A24: Travel time data collected during off-peak hour 75

A25: Travel time data collected during off-peak hour 76

A26: Travel time data collected during off-peak hour 76

A27: Travel time data collected during off-peak hour 77

A28: Travel time data collected during off-peak hour 77

A29: Travel time data collected during off-peak hour Air force base route 78

A30: Travel time data collected during off-peak hour 78

A31: Travel time data collected during off-peak hour 79

A32: Travel time data collected during off-peak hour 79

B1: Traffic data collected along Wadata market route peak hour 80

B2: Traffic data collected along Wadata market route off-peak hour 81

B3: Traffic data collected along Modern market route peak hour 82

B4: Traffic data collected along Modern market route off-peak hour 83

B5: Traffic data collected along Air force base route peak hour 84

B6: Traffic data collected along Air force base route off-peak hour 85

Appendices C 86

Appendices D 132

Appendices E 137

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/SYMBOLS**

AFB: Air Force Base

ANN: Artificial Neural Network APC: Automatic Passenger Counter

ARIMA: Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average APNR: Automatic Plate Number Recognition CBD:Central Business District

Df: Degrees of Freedom HGV: Heavy Goods vehicles LGV: Light Goods Vehicles MM: Modern Market

MLR: Multiple Linear Regressions NB: North Bank

Ne:Average number of vehicles travelling western while test vehicle is travelling eastern Nw: Average number of vehicles travelling western while test vehicle is travelling western Oe: Average number of vehicles that overtake test vehicle while it was travelling eastward Ow : Average number of vehicles that overtake test vehicle while it was travelling western Pe:Average number of vehicles that test car passes while travelling eastern

Pw:Average number of vehicles that test car passes while travelling western R: Coefficient of Correlation

R2: Coefficient of Determination

RMSE: Root Mean Square Error ROW: Right of way

SVM: Support Vector Machine SEE: Standard Error of Estimation

SPSS: Statistical Package for Social Sciences

T: Travel time in Minutes

Tw : Travel time in the westbound direction Te: Travel time in the eastbound direction UT: Urban Transport

UTC: Urban Traffic Control

Ve : Volume in the eastbound direction Vw: Volume in the westbound direction W: Wadata

WHO: World Health Organization

X1: Average dwell time in Seconds X2: Route Length in Meters

X3: Time- headway in Minutes X4: T- Junction

X5: Volume of traffic in Vehicle per Hour X6: Travel speed in Meter per Minutes X7: Number of stops

B0: Constant

B1 - .B7: Co-efficient which is the degree of contribution per unit change in theindependent variable

**CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION**

* 1. **Background to the study**

Transportation is a process that involves the movement of commuters, goods and services from a given point of origin to a specific destination (Okoko, 2006). Modes of transport include air, road, rail, water, cable, pipeline and space and travel time data can be gotten using automatic or manual method and elements are constantly being added to the world’s highway, rail, airport, and mass transit systems, and new technologies are being applied for operating and maintaining the system safely and economically (Garber &Hoel, 2009).

Travel time may be defined as the total elapsed time of travel, including stop and delay, necessary for a vehicle to travel from one point to another over a specified route under existing traffic condition (Fosgerau and Fukuda, 2010).

“Man’s ability to move himself and his materials from one point to another on the earth significantly influences his life and environment” (Ahmed, 2013). Tolley and Turton (1995) submitted both intra and intercity transportation system bridges the gap between people and resources in both space and time. Furthermore, Ogunsanya (2002) emphasized on the inevitability of transportation in the city and related basic necessities of life, and stressed that man’s basic need of food, clothing and shelter could be hardly achieved without transportation. One can consider transportation to be the life of all social-economic and political life of a nation. This means that without transportation life as it is today would be inconceivable. The World Bank review (2012,a) echoed the same point by stressing that

economically, transport is the blood of cities; most countries, including developing countries, cities are the major source of the national economic growth.

The World Bank publication (2012,b) further asserted that socially, transport is the means of accessibility of jobs, health, education and social services that are essential to the welfare of the people. There is strong social demand for data that allows us to foresee the future and prepare for it as well as possible. This expectation is becoming stronger as environmental concerns become more alarming.

One of the essential parameter used for measuring the level of liveability of cities is the effectiveness of their public transport systems which mostly focuses on bus services (Cullinane, 2002; Ceder, 2007; Gurmu, 2010; Ibarra-Rojas et al., 2015; Gudmundsson et al., 2015). Public transport services in most urban areas of developing countries like Makurdi metropolis of Benue State employ the use of mini buses. These buses are usually owned and operated by non-governmental organizations or private individuals who gain profit from the business of providing public transport services. Bus services for public transport has been in use from time immemorial especially in developed countries (Cullinane, 2002; Zheng, 2011). The capacity of mini buses ranges between 10-14 persons, unlike standard bus services in modern cities in Europe, USA, Canada, and other cities that use coaches whose capacity range between 20-60 persons.

As the social economic characteristics of the people in the society changes with time and the development of transport corridors are leading to a drastic change in the way of living of the people in urban as well as in rural regions. Conceivably, separation between home and work, and similarity in most working hours through the whole spectrum of jobs have

resulted in movement of people between home and work each day at approximately the same times in the day and considerable volumes of traffic along relatively narrow corridors. In effect, heavy concentration of trips both in terms of time and space are the typical problems of commuting traffic; congestion, delays, frustration, and so forth (Olowosulu, 1998). Highly reliable transit service, therefore is of common interest and benefit to passengers and operators, and could always attract auto users to public transit. Hence the need to develop a model that will help commuters predict the time of travel from one point to another so as to ease transportation movement within the locality.

Travel time studies are used to carry out the following highway engineering tasks: determination of the efficiency of a route with respect to its ability to carry traffic, identification of locations with relatively high delays and causes for such delays;performance of before-and-after studies to evaluate the effectiveness of traffic operation improvements,determination of travel times on specific links for use in trip assignment models, determination of relative efficiency of a route by developing sufficiency ratings or congestion indices, compilation of travel time data that may be used in trend studies to evaluate the changes in efficiency and level of service with time and performance of economic studies in the evaluation of traffic operation alternative that reduce travel time(Garber &Hoel, 2009).

Like many other prediction models, researchers have stated that accurate prediction of bus travel time is very difficult, especially in a system where public transport system is not given priority (Liu, 2010), only average values could be easily estimated since most factors affecting the estimation of travel time are stochastic in nature (Ramezani and Geroliminis, 2012). These factors include weather condition, time of the day, driver behaviour, traffic

volume, vehicle characteristics and many more (Liu and Sinha, 2007; Izadpanah, 2010). Technically, major factors that can influence bus travel time include; intersection delays due to queues and traffic operations, delay caused by turning vehicles, on-street parking of vehicles, crossing pedestrians and cyclists, etc. (Liu, Clark,Montgomery, and Watling, 1999; Zheng, 2011;). Others include travel distance, number of stops, dwell times, number of boarding and alighting passengers and weather condition (Gurmu and Fan, 2014). Though a difficult task to achieve, technologies used for data collection in developed countries for travel time prediction have improved accuracy of estimations. And the modern devices used for data collection include; probe vehicles, loop detectors, digital cameras, Automatic Plate Number Recognition (APNR) camera, Bluetooth scanners, speed sensors (Coifman, 2000; Zheng, 2011; Bharti, Sekhar, and Chandra, 2017).

Urban transport (UT) matters for at least three reasons:

Urban transport systems facilitate the movement of people and goods and provide access to economic and social opportunities:The literature confirmsthat investments in transport lead to increased productivity and growth (Berg, Deichmann, Liu, and Selod, 2015).

Urban transport connects the urban poor to job opportunities and other services, and can facilitate safe accessibility for women and disabled and elderly persons:With planning and investment in public transit, integratedservices and ticketing can improve affordability and convenience so that the poor can manage longer and often more complex journeys to their destinations (Suzuki, Cervero, and Luch,2013).

Urban transport can help mitigate the negative consequences of congestion, Pollution, safety risks, and poor security associated with unplanned city growth:Rapid motorization puts city transportation systems and environment under pressure. Wasted time and fuel in traffic, and motor vehicle air pollution, cost billions of dollars (Litman, 2015). Weak

regulation and law enforcement increases urban safety risks. Africa, for example, has the highest proportion of pedestrian and cyclist deaths at 43 percent of all road traffic casualties (World Health Organisation, 2015).

The importance of public transport stemmed from the fact that it provides mobility for those who cannot afford to buy a car and helps in creating and maintaining livable communities by retrieving highway congestion and assuring long term sustainability in terms of resource consumption and environment (Paul, 2001).The increasing urbanization and the corresponding growing demand for urban transportation in addition to other factors such as low motoring have made urban transportation service a basic necessity (Olowosulu, 1996).

Trips are not just made but rather, people travel from one origin to a destination to satisfy their needs for various activities such as work, shopping, recreation etc.Thus, the importance of travel time cannot be overemphasized (Chiejina,1982)

For Engineers, Regional and Town planners who conduct the traffic flow, travel time and delay studies are normal methods which are used to evaluate transportation facilities and plan improvement (Wolshon and Pande, 2016).Previous studies (Chapman, Gault, and Jekins, 1976; Bruzelius, 1979;Adebisi, 1986;) have investigated passengers’ waiting time and several mathematical models have been proposed for its computation. A multiple linear regression model will be developed to help predict travel time within Makurdi metropolis.

* 1. **Statement of the Research problem**

The problems facing Makurdi metropolis are not only many but are also very complex; one of the most apparent, being intra-town mobility. Intra-City transportation problems in Makurdi could include increase in delay and traffic congestion, poor road facilities, road

degradation, insufficient right of way. All these have existed for a long time and hence the need to develop a multiple linear regression model for predicting bus travel time along bus routes in the metropolis so as to minimize time waste by commuters and to better plan for a journey before embarking on any.

* 1. **Justification of Study**

Proper information on bus travel time in Makurdi metropolis will help eliminate delay and traffic congestion on our major highways within the metropolis and this study has developed multiple linear regression models for predicting bus travel time along the routes in the metropolis by specifically assessing bus travel time on routes without designated bus stops, assessed bus dwell time, travel speed and volume of traffic in a heterogeneous traffic stream in Makurdi and developed the curve fittings for the built models to help check the sensitivity of its use in practice and this in turn will help reduce delay, traffic congestion and minimize travel time.

* 1. **Aim and Objectives of study**
     1. **Aim of study**

The aim of this research is todevelop apredictive model for intra-city bus travel time of bus services in Makurdi metropolis.

* + 1. **Objectives of study**

The objectives of the study include to:

* + - 1. Measure the physical characteristics of the roadway on the selected routes in the metropolis
      2. Identify the factors affecting intra-city bus travel timeof unconventional bus transit in Makurdi metropolis.
      3. Measure intra-city bus travel times of unconventional bus transit of some selected routes in the metropolis
      4. Develop a predictive model for intra-city bus travel time of unconventional bus transit in the metropolis
      5. Develop the curve fittings for the built models.
  1. **Scope of Research**

This research work was limited to modelling intra-city bus travel times in Makurdi metropolis using the factors that influence bus travel timeof unconventional bus transits.The routes covered during the research were Wadata route (11.8km), Modern market route (13.8km) and the Air Force Base route (10.2km).

**CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW**

**2.1 Conceptual Framework 2.1.1Travel Time**

The importance of travel time in assessing road network performance by transport planners and engineers for efficient operation management and for developing travellers’ information system for commuters cannot be overemphasized. This is because most travellers are keen about trip planning and wish to optimize or minimize waiting time due to their high value of travel time (Zaki Ashour, Morkary, and Hesham 2013; Gudmundson, Hall, Marsden and Zietsman 2015).

According to Bonsal, Liu, and Young (2005), the act of modellingbehaviour of transport systems using realistic assumptions is very essential, “it is better to use values that are realistic-but-unsafe than values that are safe-but-unrealistic” andtravel time can be carried out on all the modes of transportation i.e. road, air, rail, water, etc. (Rogers and Enright, 2016).

* + - 1. **Applications of Travel Time**

Travel time studies are used to carry out the following highway engineering tasks.

* + - * 1. To determine the efficiency of a route with respect to its ability to carry traffic
        2. To identify locations with relatively high delays and causes for such delays
        3. Performance of before-and-after studies to evaluate the effectiveness of traffic operation improvements
        4. To determine travel times on specific links for use in trip assignment models
        5. Determination of relative efficiency of a route by developing sufficiency ratings or congestion indices
        6. Compilation of travel time data that may be used in trend studies to evaluate the changes in efficiency and level of service with time
        7. Performance of economic studies in the evaluation of traffic operation alternative that reduce travel time.
      1. **Methods of Estimating Travel Time**

The methods that can provide travel time estimations can be divided into five categories

* + - * 1. **The spot speed measurement methods**

These are based on the existence of inductance loop detectors (single or dual) for the provision of real time traffic information. Other techniques involve infrared and radar technologies. These systems only measure traffic stream speeds over a short road segment at fixed locations along a road. These spot speed measurements are used to compute spatial travel times over an entire trip using space mean speed estimates. New approaches that match vehicles based on their lengths have also been developed (Coifman and Cassidy 2002, Coifman and Ergueta, 2003).

* + - * 1. **Spatial travel time methods**

This method use fixed location equipment to identify and track a subset of vehicles in the traffic stream. By matching the unique vehicle identifications at different reader locations, spatial estimates of travel times are computed. Typical technology includes automated vehicle identification (AVI) and licensed plate video detection systems.

* + - * 1. **Probe vehicle technologies**

Track a sample of probe vehicles on a second-second basis as they travel through a link. These technologies include cellular geo-location, global positioning systems (GPS) and automated vehicle location (AVL) systems. The use of probe vehicles enables a sample of the travel times experienced by all vehicles travelling through the link to be obtained.

Previous research has examined how accurately probe vehicle travel times reflect the travel times of all the vehicles (Van, Hellinga, Yu, Rakha, 1993; Turner and Holdener, 1995).

* + - * 1. **Regression models**

These are the most commonly used methods to estimate travel times and are based on regression analysis. Due to the large number of factors influencing the traffic delay, there are no accurate mathematical models describing the relationship between the travel time and its influencing factors. Therefore, the estimation of travel time becomes a complex problem due to the large number of factors that could affect traffic dynamics. The main characteristic of urban arterials and streets is the unexpected growth decay of queues. Such a travel time estimation algorithm should react quickly and accurately in the development of unexpected traffic problems when using dynamic data.

* + - * 1. **Artificial Neural Network (ANN)**

This has been widely used in traffic engineering (Dougherty, 1995). They allow complex non-linear relationships between variables to be determined. Although the results of such techniques have been promising, they lack rigor and theoretical background.

* + 1. **Bus Transit**

In Nigeria, bus transit is the most important mode of intra- urban public transport, as people rely heavily on public transportation due to low level of household incomes.

The bus transit systems in operation in Nigeria are mostly privately run and they offer unscheduled services. They mostly operate the fixed route strategy where vehicles ply a designated route and stop at fixed stations or at any point along the route, on demand.

Overcrowding, long travel time, and ill- maintained vehicles, and delays are some travelers’ impression on travel by passenger bus. Another very common feature is that drivers of

these buses are faced with the decision on whether to wait at a particular terminal for full load or gamble on finding passengers by the roadside.

Thus, planners of transit properties are faced with dilemma on which operating policies to enforce. A rational basis to guide the adoption of good operational practices that ensure improved quality of transit service is needed.

* + - 1. **Bus Passenger Trip Times**

Bus passenger trip times have been broadly classified into in-vehicle and out of vehicle time. The latter is the portion of the time a passenger commits to a trip even though the time is not spent in the vehicle; while the in-vehicle time is the time he spends in the vehicle.

The in-vehicle time is made up of three major elements: non-stop running time, delay at patron stop and what may be termed as “general delay” (Olowosulu, 1996).

Delay at stops comprises time spent in actual loading and unloading patron and a “penalty” time. The time for loading and unloading depends on several factors including fare collection mechanisms, the amount of baggage carried by patrons, number of passengers on the bus and the number to board or alight, physical design, number of doorways (Chapman, Gault, and Jekins 1976; Hendrickson 1981). It has been observed that bus passengers alight more quickly than board particularly when one man operation is used (Fouracre,Maunder, Pathak and Rao 1981).

Penalty time is subdivided dead time and penalty for stopping. Penalty for stopping is due to deceleration to and acceleration from the stop. Dead time is the period which the bus is stationary before any embarking or disembarking. It depends on whether passengers are to board or alight or both (Chapman, Gault, and Jekins 1977; Fouracre et al. 1981).

A number of researchers have assumed dead time to be constant (e.g Adebisi 1986). However, in situation where passengers lack knowledge of bus schedule and often inquire about bus destination, bus passengers tend to waste more time because of patron inadequacies, bus dead time times seems passenger/driver dependent (Chiejina 1982).

General delay comprises stop delays and traffic and roadway delays. The latter is due to traffic conditions, weather conditions, etc, that induces the bus drivers to maintain speed of travel less than the desired speed. Stop delay occurs during the period which the vehicle is stationary (e.g at traffic signals). The general delay depends on the speed of other traffic on the link, road design, probability of delay, amount of time spent in each delay (Chapman et al. 1976; Fouracre et al. 1981).

Passengers’ out of vehicle time is made up of two components: the waiting time and walking time (access/egress time).

The waiting time experienced by a transit patron is one of the most important elements of the level of service provided by a public transport system. It consists of (i) the waiting time at the point of boarding and (ii) schedule delay. The latter represents the extra time a user commits to a trip because his actual arrival time at his destination differs from his preferred arrival time.

* 1. **Travel Time Models**

Chien et al. (2002) categorized travel time prediction models into three main types: Univariate, Multivariate and Artificial Neural Network (ANN).

Univariate models are models with resultsthat are based on historical traffic data. The multivariate model’s travel time forecast isexplained by a mathematical function with respect to a set of independent variables. Lastly, theANN is a “black box” system that is built with a non-specified mathematical structure.

* + 1. **Univariate Models**

Univariate models can be categorized into historical average models and time series models. Alink travel time prediction model for an urban traffic control (UTC) network was designed byAnderson, BellSayers, Busch, and Heymann (1994) using the Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) approach.The outcome of the travel time model could assist transit service providers with bus managementand provision of passenger information. Two different models were designed and evaluated bythe authors. The first model was based on information of the previous 11 vehicles passingthrough the intersections while the second model was based on the predicted and actual linktravel time of the preceding vehicle (Anderson et al. 1994). Overall, the second model includedmuch simpler procedures without losing any predictive accuracy. Nevertheless, in the modelcalibration, all vehicles including cars, buses and heavy duty vehicles were assumed todecelerate to a complete stop and accelerated to a certain running speed at a constant rate, whichdoes not reflect the real operations.

Van et al. (1997) utilized on-site loop detectors to collect traffic data and then applied alinear input-output ARIMA model to predict travel time on freeways in the Netherlands. In this research, the proposed algorithm was separated into two parts. The first part was intended todetermine if there was traffic congestion. If the freeway was not congested, the travel timethrough the freeway link would be determined from the link distance and the free flow speed of120km/hr. If the roadway was congested, the ARIMA model would then be used to predict thenew traffic volume leaving the link. Van et al. (1997) applied these new traffic volumes toa mathematical function and estimated the travel delay time. The final travel time was calculatedas the sum of traffic delay and the free flow traffic travel

time.Univariate models usually have a short time lag in the predicted real-time bus journey time(Patnaik, Chien, and Bladikas 2004). Moreover, the accuracy of the prediction results changes according to thevariation of the historical average results from previous trips (Smith and Demesky 1995)

* + 1. **Multivariate Models**

These are models having two or more independent variables such as regression models

* + - 1. **Regression Models**

Regression modelling is a simple and direct travel time estimation technique. This method hasbeen applied to estimate traffic travel time along arterials and freeways, and transit travel timeand delay.

Travel time prediction models on multilink streets in the Central Business District (CBD) of medium to large cities weredeveloped by Frechette and Khan (1997), using a Bayesian regression approach. Several videocameras were used to collect traffic data on streets. Four different types of models weregenerated with respect to various street networks. Travel times were estimated based on countsof turning movements at intersections, average number of signalized intersections per kilometre,percentage of heavy vehicles on road, and average transit flows on links (Frechette and Khan1997). When all four models were compared, the one-way street travel time model’s predictionwas found to have the smallest error value. Video camera installation for data collection wasnot, however, as dependable as loop detectors. The camera images could be affected by sunlightand fog, directly impacting the accuracy of the travel time prediction.

Abdelfattah and Khan (1998) developed a nonlinear regression model to estimate bus delays.The bus route was divided into different links in the model. The explanatory variablesconsidered to affect bus delays included link length, number of bus stops per link,

total trafficdensity on each link and bus efficiency ratio estimates (Abdelfattah and Khan 1998). Dwell timeand the number of passengers boarding buses, however, which were also relevant factors for busdelay prediction, were excluded from the model’s calibration process. In addition, bus delaytime was estimated in a link-based format. Therefore, the overall delay experienced by a bus inreaching its final destination would be the sum of delay estimates for individual links. Thus, theerror of the delay estimation would be propagated downstream of the bus routing path (Chen andChien 2001).

Final findings weredependent on the availability of probe vehicles or other similar high- quality data (Juri, Unnikrishnan, and Waller,2007). This approach would be costly if many probe vehicles were required to collect data alongfreeways in order to develop a highly reliable model (Juri et al. 2007).

Bus travel time also depends on traffic congestion conditions and ridershipalong the route. Because there are more alighting and boarding passengers during rush hours,parameters used for each variable during such time periods should be different from those usedduring the non-rush hours.Even though regression models are easy and simple to apply, they suffer from severallimitations, the biggest being that many variables in transportation are highly correlated (Jeongand, 2004). Moreover, regression models are not capable of estimating dynamic traveltime, and hence the bus arrival time estimates may not be responsive to poor weather conditionsor traffic incidents. Last but not least, regression models are site specific and have to berecalibrated for various environments (Liu and Ma, 2007). This increases the time and costsneeded to implement them.

Multiple linear regression models is a mathematical function that defines the relationship between independent (input) and the dependent (output) variables that explain the behaviour of a system (Rohatgi and Saleh, 2001; Soong, 2004).

Development of multiple linear regression model usually employs statistical tools such as; Statistical Product for Service Solution (SPSS), Microsoft Excel, etc. which are capable of defining the relationship between the independent and dependent variables in form of a function. The strength of the function is usually examined using it coefficient of determination (also known as R2 ) value which ranges from 0-1, with 0 defining a weak relationship and 1 showing a stronger relationship between variables (Rohatgi and Saleh, 2001). Another relevant model performance indicator is the measure of the sensitivity of input variables using their respective P-values. The P-value tests the null hypothesis that coefficient of a variable is equal to zero, meaning the variable has no effect on the model; such that a low P-value (˂0.05) indicates that the null hypothesis should be rejected, that is, the variable is likely to be meaningful to the model.

* + 1. **Artificial Neural Network**

The ANN can model complicated input and output relationships, without specifying the form ofan explicit function. Another advantage of ANN-based models is that they do not requireindependencies among input variables (Chen et al. 2007), like regression models. Currently,there are many methodologies to train ANNs. One of the common training methods is thebackpropagation training approach. This algorithm is responsive to dynamic, non-lagging, andover-prediction conditions (Smith and Demetsky 1994).

Chien et al. (2002) developed two ANNs (one trained on link-based data and another on stopbaseddata) to study which model had the better travel time estimation performance. The stopbasedmodel had a lower Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) than the link-based

model. It alsohad a higher capacity to accommodate stochastic conditions at stops further downstream than thelink-based model. Moreover, the stop-based ANN was suitable for scenarios where there weremultiple intersections between stops while the link-based algorithm was more suitable for manystops with few intersections. Based on the analysis, an enhanced ANN was developed with acombination of link-based and stop-based data (Chien et al. 2002).

Subsequently, Jeong and Rilett (2004) and Chen et al. (2007) used the backpropagation trainingmethod to generate ANNs. Both models were compared with other estimation models, includinghistorical average and linear regression models, in terms of prediction accuracy. Althoughresults obtained from the backpropagation training method were reliable, this training method had shortcomings including long computation time, very slow convergence rate, and arbitraryproblems resulting from the selection of learning and momentum ratios (Hung and Adeli 1994).In addition, there are several alternative types of neural networks for estimating travel time. Yuet al. (2006) used a support vector machine (SVM) approach to predict bus arrival time.

* 1. **Review of Empirical Works**

Chiejina (1982) modelled Intra-city bus travel time using primary data from Kaduna and he adopted strategies for modelling of travel time using distribution modelling technique and stepwise regression technique and statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) software was used in his analysis of data and his major findings were that in the light of peculiar set of present day conditions, buses total dead times at stops are random variables following a gamma distribution and boarding/ alighting times are linear functions of number of boarding/ alighting passengers.

Kwon et al. (2000) developed a linear regression model to estimate travel time on a freewayusing flow and occupancy data collected from loop detectors and historical travel timeinformation collected from probe vehicles. Owing to the limitations of loop detectors such astechnical problems or impacts by weather conditions, some data were lost, and the interpolationof data from adjacent stations was required. All detectors for the proposed model developmentwere required to be equally spaced. In real life, however, loop detectors on freeways are usuallyspaced irregularly. This caused the proposed model’s results to be unrealistic. The authorsemphasized that simple prediction models such as linear regression models were useful for shorttermforecasts, but long-term travel time prediction required historical data.

Jimoh,( 2003) worked on Journey time characteristics of an unconventional intra-urban bus transit service along Sabo- Samaru route, where he developed a model for predicting bus travel time using commercial buses in a typical Nigerian town. And he used the moving vehicle technique method of estimating travel time and he found out that his model could predict travel time with high value of 0.884 for the co-efficient of correlation (R) and the co-efficient of determination (R2), was found to be 0.782 but some parameters he considered were not validated and the accuracy of the model is questionable since the model could not capture the length of the route under study.

A multivariate linear regression model to estimate bus arrival time between two points along a route was developed by Patnaik,, Chien, and Bladikas, (2004). In order to include the dwell time in the bus delayestimation, the authors installed an Automatic Passenger Counter (APC) on buses to count thenumber of people getting on board and the time taken. The proposed regression model wasexplained by attributes of distances between points,

average dwell time, number of bus stopsalong the path and time periods (Patnaik et al. 2004). Owing to limited wireless telecommunication technology on buses, the APC data could only be downloaded after the bushad reached the garage or the bus terminal.

Adeke, (2019) modelled travel time of urban routes without designated bus stops in Makurdi town using artificial neural network and he considered journey speed, route of length, volume of motorcycles in the traffic stream, volume of trucks, average dwell time and he further analysed his data using SPSS software and he established an average bus travel time of 15 minutes approximately for all routes in Makurdi metropolis.

Olowosulu, (2002) worked on fixed stop and hail stop mode of service of fixed route intra- urban bus operation using dynamic system of operation and he developed a probability model for checking the behaviour of bus operations using two variables but the model failed to capture the length of travel as compared to other researchers

Bharti et al. (2017) considered traffic volume and car percentage composition in traffic stream as independent variables for travel time estimation modelling. The authors recommended the use of Artificial Intelligence method such as the Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) and the use of Stochastic Response Surface Methods (SRSM) since they yielded relatively accurate results.

Because there is no previous research work which attempted to predict bus travel time on routes without well established bus stops in a heterogeneous traffic stream associated with several uncertainties in estimating model input parameters, in this part of the world, this study is relevant and justified.


**CHAPTER THREE METHODOLOGY**

* 1. **Description of Study Area**

This study considered Makurdi metropolis, the capital city of Benue state Nigeria. Makurdi is located on Latitude 70 43’ 56”N and Longitude 80 32’ 21”E (Nigeria Google Map, 2018). Nigeria population census (NPC) 2006 revealed that, human population in Makurdi metropolis was estimated at 500,797 people (NPC, 2006).

Residents of Makurdi are predominantly civil servants, business men and women, and students. Traffic stream in Makurdi metropolis is characterized by mixed traffic or heterogeneous comprising private cars, motorcycles, tricycles, minibuses, Heavy Goods vehicles (HGV), Light Goods Vehicles (LGV) and pedestrians and Mini-buses are the major mode of public transport for mass movement conveying people along routes within the traffic network.

The design and construction of roadways in the town does not provide special road facilities such as bus lane for the mini-buses therefore, the buses travel and share lanes with other traffic components. The routes in Makurdi metropolis considered for this research are presented in figure 3.1 which includes; North bank located on Lat.70 44’ 46.8”Nand Longitude 80 32’ 49.4”E – Wadata located on Lat. 70 44’ 13.1”N and Longitude 80 31’ 48.0”E(NB-W), North bank – Modern market located on Lat. 70 43’ 44.5” N and Long. 80 31’ 23.3” E (NB-MM) and Modern market – Air Force base located on Lat. 70 43’ 25.9”Nand Longitude 80 35’ 10.8”E (MM-AFB)



**Figure 3.1: Makurdi metropolis showing study routes Source: Ministry of Lands and Survey (2019)**

* 1. **Measurement of Physical characteristics of Roadway**

The data used for this study is the primary data from Makurdi metropolis and during the research study, a traffic study under the category of inventory was carried out and this provided a list of existing information of the roadway characteristics such as route length, street, route width, number of 4-legged intersections, number of 3-legged intersection, number of lanes and types of traffic controls were recorded via physical observation and measurement were carried out using measuring tapes and meter counter wheel. The routes covered during the research were Wadata route (11.8km), Modern market (13.8km) route and the Air Force Base route (10.2km), all in Makurdi metropolis since these were the routes that town service buses operate on.

* 1. **Identification of the factors that affect intra-city Bus Travel Times**

Dynamic traffic study was carried out to determine those factors affecting travel, as it involves the collection of data under operational condition through physical survey and we were in the buses that were operating on them and this gave rise to our findings to these factors that influence bus travel time.

The time a bus spends at a stop while boarding or alighting passengers constitutes delay to patrons already on board and thereby increasing the travel time of buses while plying the routes within the metropolis and these parameters were gotten while the buses were in motion, as the vehicle stops to either alight or pick a passenger, the time spent were recorded and the averages taken and the number of times these buses stops before arriving its final destinations too were recorded using timing stop watch and record sheets and pen.

* 1. **Measurement of intra-city Bus Travel Times on the Selected Routes**

The bus travel times on the routes were collected from a sample of sixty (60) buses for the two major route each and forty (40) for the Air Force Base route for both peak and off-peak periods with a total sample size of buses to one hundred and sixty (160)and in developing multiple linear regression model, the minimum number of sample of twenty (20) is allowed and this was achieved using the Moving Vehicle Technique; traffic data were collected and recorded in appendix A.

* + 1. **Collection of travel time data using Moving – Vehicle Technique**

Data were collected from primary source via physical observation and field inventory of the routes under study, alongside dynamic study to help find lasting solutions to problems faced by commuters and the moving vehicle technique approach of estimating travel time was adopted to help reveal the real traffic situations within the metropolis.

Three trained enumerators were engaged in the collection of the data along the travel stream with each having a record sheet and pen for proper collection of data after a comprehensive training by the researcher.

First observer was responsible for counting the number of vehicles moving in opposite direction of the test vehicle (Ne and Nw). Second observer was responsible for counting the number of vehicles that overtakes the test vehicle while travelling in both direction, (Oe and Ow). Third observer was responsible for counting the number of vehicles that the test vehicle overtakes (Pe and Pw).

In this technique, the observers make a round trip on both sections of the road as seen in the figure below, where it was assumed that the road runs west to east. The observers start

collecting the relevant data at section X-X and drive the car eastward to section Y-Y, then turns the vehicle around and drives westward to section X-X again. This procedure was repeated using different town service buses within the metropolis and a minimum 30 sample data was collected for each route of study except for Air force base route 20 samples of data was collected.

WEST

X

Y

X

Y

EAST

**Figure 3.2:** Travel direction on the routes.

The time it takes to travel east X-X to Y-Y is denoted as Te, in minutes while the time it takes to travel west Y-Y to X-X was denoted as TW in minutes. The number of vehicles that overtake the test car while travelling west from Y-Y to X-X, which is travelling in the westbound direction, wasdenoted as Ow and the average number of vehicle that overtake test vehicle while travelling eastward is Oe

* + 1. **Traffic Volume Data and Travel Time Data**

Traffic data collection and projections of traffic volumes are basic requirement for planning of road development and management schemes. According to (Garber &Hoel, 2009)the volume of the study section can be obtained from the expression:

The volume (Ve) in the eastbound direction was obtained using data from Appendix B and calculated as seen in appendix Cusing Equation 3.1

𝑉𝑒

= (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒 − 𝑃𝑒 )60

𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

(3.1)

The volume (Vw) in the westbound direction can be obtained using equation 3.2:

𝑉𝑒

= (𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 − 𝑃𝑤 )60 (3.2)

𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

When taking data for travel time studies, the routes physical characteristics were measured and then vehicles were boarded and the times taken to cover the chosen section of the roads were recorded using the Moving Vehicle Technique method of obtaining travel time. This wasconducted for both traffic streams; town service buses were used in carrying out the research work since it is the most common mode of transportation that plies the routes in Makurdi metropolis.

The traffic data collected was for the working days of the week and the travel time can be calculated using the formulae below; the average travel time Tw in the westbound direction is obtained from Equation 3.3:

𝑇̅𝑤

= 𝑇𝑤

− (Ow − 𝑃𝑤 )60

𝑉𝑤

(3.3)

The average in travel time Te in the eastbound direction is obtained from equation 3.4:

𝑇̅𝑒 = 𝑇𝑒 − (Oe −𝑃𝑒 )60

𝑉

𝑒

(3.4)

where

Ne:Average number of vehicles travelling western while test vehicle is travelling eastern Nw: Average number of vehicles travelling western while test vehicle is travelling western Oe: Average number of vehicles that overtake test vehicle while it was travelling eastward Ow : Average number of vehicles that overtake test vehicle while it was travelling western Pe:Average number of vehicles that test car passes while travelling eastern

Pw:Average number of vehicles that test car passes while travelling western

T: Travel time in Minutes

Tw : Travel time in the westbound direction Te: Travel time in the eastbound direction Ve : Volume in the eastbound direction Vw: Volume in the westbound direction

* + 1. **Travel Speed**

This is the bus speed over the length of the route for the period of the bus travel time (which excludes time at bus stops over the section of travel). This is mathematically expressed

as:𝑇𝑟𝑎𝑣𝑒𝑙 𝑆𝑝𝑒𝑒𝑑 ( 𝑀 ) = 𝑅𝑜𝑢𝑡𝑒 𝐿𝑒𝑛𝑔𝑡 ℎ

(3.5)

𝑚𝑖𝑛 𝑇𝑟𝑎𝑣𝑒𝑙 𝑇𝑖𝑚𝑒

The travel speeds were measured in Meter per Minute as this can be seen in appendix D and the various buses moved at different speeds due to lack of coordination within the transport sector in the state capital.

* + 1. **Time Headway**

Headway is the time that elapses between the arrival of the leading vehicle and the following vehicle at the designated test point. This was measured by starting a stopwatch when the front bumper of the first vehicle crosses the selected point, and subsequently recording the time that the second vehicle’s front bumper crosses over the designated point. Headway is usually reported in units of seconds or minutes and in this study, the minute unit was used.

The behavior of vehicle arrival is different at different flow conditions. As the vehicles arrive at a point at time t1, t2…Then the time difference between two consecutive arrivals is defined as the headway.

Mathematically headway:

h1= t2- t1….. h2=t3-t2 (3.6)

* 1. **Model Formulation for Intra-City Bus Travel Time**

The multiple linear regression models for estimating short term bus travel time along the major routes within the metropolis was developed using statistical package. The process involved creation of a spreadsheet in Microsoft Excel for the raw data which comprised the independent and dependent variables. The Excel file was then imported into SPSS for statistical analysis tobuild the regression models. Results of the analysis revealed the data characteristic which was used to check for accuracy of the built model. The built model was first validated using goodness of fit test based on the measured and predicted bus travel times.

The multiple linear regression (MLR) model for the Intra-City bus travel time is of the form

T = B0 **+** B1X1 + B2X2 + B3X3 + B4X4 + B5X5 + B6X6 + B7X7 (3.7)

Where T is the dependent variable, X1, X2, X3 and X7 are the independent variables, B0, B1, B2, B3 and B7 are the unknown parameters. In effects, B1, B2, B3 , B7 are called the Partial

Regression Coefficients and Bo is the regression constant which represent that portion of the value of dependent not explained by the independent variable “travel time”. However, one great advantage of multiple linear regression models is that they can reveal which inputs are less or more important for predicting travel times

Where,

T = Travel time in Minutes

X1 = Average dwell time in Seconds X2 = Route Length in Meters

X3 = Time- headway in Minutes

X4 = T- Junction

X5 = Volume of traffic in Vehicle per Hour X6 = Travel speed in Meter per Minutes

X7 = Number of stops B0 = Constant

B1 . . . B7 = Co-efficient which is the degree of contribution per unit change in the independent variable.

Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) is leading statistical software used to solve a variety of research problems. It provides a range of techniques including ad-hoc analysis, hypothesis testing and reporting, making it easier to manage data, select and perform analyses, and share your results. It is a fast, powerful statistical package designed for researchers of all disciplines. Statistical package makes it easy to conduct data cleaning and management, distinctly styled graphs, descriptive analysis and advanced analysis.

The package is originally developed as a programming language for conducting statistical analysis, it has grown into a complex and powerful application which now uses both a graphical and a syntactical interface and provides dozens of functions for managing, analyzing, and presenting data. Its statistical capabilities alone range from simple percentages to complex analyses of variance, multiple regressions, and general linear models and these are some of the importance of the software: It is a fast, powerful and predictive statistical package designed for researchers of all disciplines, SPSS is a comprehensive set of statistical tools, integrated to run descriptive statistics, regression, advanced statistics and more, It enables users to create publication-ready charts, tables and decision trees in one tool, the statistical package makes it easy to analyze data as it uses a simple drag and drop interface to access a range of capabilities, and work across multiple

data sources, The versatility in analyzing different types of data across different fields from social research, Engineering research, health research to business research.

Output from statistical package subprogram regression includes the following:

1. Regression coefficients and their standard errors.
2. t-values or F-values for testing whether individual coefficients are significantly different from zero. The t-value is the ratio of a regression coefficient to its standard error. The F- values for testing the significance of individual regression coefficients are equal to the squares of the t-values (i.e. F= t2)

The overall significance of the regression model can be determined from the F-values for the analysis of variance; the F-value can be tested against the F-distribution with degrees of freedom equal to those attributable to the regression and those of the residual term.

1. Multiple correlation coefficients, R, measure the correlation between the dependent variable and the set of independent variables and take a value between zero and unity.
2. Coefficient of determination, R2, (square of multiple correlation coefficients) measures the proportion of variance in the dependent variable explained jointly by the independent variables included in the regression equation. It is also a measure of goodness- of –fit of the regression; it takes a value between zero and unity. Thus, a high value of R2 indicates a good fit, and a low value, a poor fit. Generally, the value of R2 increases with increasing number of independent variables.
3. Adjusted coefficient of determination, R2, accounts for the number of coefficients estimated (independent variables and constants) in relation to the number of observations.
4. Standard Error of Estimation, SEE, measures the standard deviation of the residuals, that is, the standard deviation about the regression line established
   1. **Development of Curve Fittings for the Built Models**

The observed values of for the built model was plotted against the estimated values using confidence level of 95% to help check the level of correlation and this was done using Excel

**CHAPTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

* 1. **Physical characteristics of Roadway**

The physical characteristics of the routes were identified and all the routes under study were faced with pavement failures both on the freeways and shoulders with lots of potholes which also constitute bus travel delays and congestions on the highways.Table 4.1 shows the dimensions of some sections of the roadway under study.

**Table 4.1: Physical characteristics of Road Geometry in Makurdi**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Major Locations** | **Lane**  **width(m )** | **Shoulder (m)** | **Median (m)** |
| North bank Market | 10.8 |  |  |
| Lafia junction | **7.**4 | 2.25 |  |
| SRS junction | 7.4 | 2.25 |  |
| Custom junction | 7.4 | 2.25 |  |
| Wurukum roundabout | 10.8 |  |  |
| Ageshi bus stop | 7.5 |  | 1.2 |
| Wadata route | 7.65 |  | 1.2 |
| High level route | 7.65 |  | 1.2 |
| Market route | 10.8 |  |  |
| Terguma street | 10.05 |  |  |
| Yogbo road | 7.3 | 2.85 |  |
| Awe street | 13.9 |  |  |
| Airport route | 7.4 | 3.3 |  |

**Source: Ewoh, 2019**

Table 4.2 shows the geometrical values of the roadway under study which is then compared with the Federal Ministry of works standards specification.

**Table 4.2: Geometric Characteristics of Minibus Routes in Makurdi metropolis**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name of route | Number of lanes in one direction | Average lane width  (m) | Route length (m) | Number of roundabout | Number of cross intersection | Number of T-  intersection |
| Wadata | 2 | 3.60 | 11.8 | 4 | 3 | 9 |
| Modern market | 2 | 3.70 | 13.8 | 3 | 3 | 8 |
| Air force base | 2 | 3.50 | 10.2 | 4 | 6 | 11 |

**Source: Ewoh, 2019**

The values shown in Table 4.1 and 4.2are the physical roadway measurements in Makurdi metropolis and the valuesconforms with the Federal Ministry of works Highway Design Manuel 2013 which states that 3.35 – 4.0m lane width be used for safety, efficiency and ease of operation and the manual also states that the minimum median width should be 1.2m.

Some sections of the roadways have no road shoulders and proper drainage systems or have poor drainage system with poor road network and poor road pavements which accounts for the congestion of some sections of the roads within the metropolis leading to wide range of travel time and causing discomfort to the commuters who depend on public transport.

The drivers on these highways also exhibit poor driving and parking habits due to the absence of parking facilities and this has led to inadequate road capacity in the metropolis.

* 1. **Factors that Affect Intra-CityBus Travel Times**

In the process of carrying out the field work on the selected routes during the research, it was discovered that the following were the factors affecting bus travel time of unconventional bus transit within the metropolis: Travel speed, route length, dwell times, headways, number of

roundabouts, number of 3-leg intersections, 4-leg intersections, number of lanes, nature of traffic control, volume of traffic, number of stops, and number of potholes.

* 1. **Travel Time Analysis**

Dataobtained from traffic survey as seen in Appendix B, indicates a rise in the number of travel time which was as a result of delays and congestions on the roads and the poor pavement conditions with lots of potholes and its corresponding factors such as volume of traffic, travel speed increasing with decrease in travel time for both peak and off-peak periods across the length of roads as this can be seen in Figure 4.1.



35

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

400

420

440

460

480

500

**Travel Speed (m/min)**

**Travel Time (min)**

**Figure 4.1:**Relationship between Travel Time and travel speed for peak period on Wadata

Route

Result presented in Figure 4.2 shows that travel time decreased with travel speed due to the reduction in the traffic on the highways as a result of low patronage during the off-peak periods along the Wadata route having a maximum travel time of 28.64min with a corresponding travel speed of 412m/min.

**Figure 4.2:**Relationship between Travel Time and travel speed for off- peak period on Wadata Route



35

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

400

450

500

550

600

**Travel Speed (m/min)**

**Travel Time (min)**

Results in Figure 4.3, indicates the relationship that exist between travel time and travel speed and in the figure, travel time decreased with travel speed due to the reduction in the volume of traffic on the highways as a result of low patronage during the peak periods along the Modern market route having a maximum travel time of 32.21min with a corresponding travel speed of 428.4m/min. From the graph, it can be seen that there was high traffic on the route indicated by the cluster of the points



35

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

400

450

500

550

600

650

**Travel Speed (m/min)**

**Travel Time (min)**

**Figure 4.3:**Relationship between Travel Time and travel speed for peak period on Modern market Route

Result presented in Figure 4.4, shows that travel time decreased with travel speed due to the reduction in the volume of traffic on the highways as a result of low patronage during the off-peak periods along the Modern market route having a maximum travel time of 32.14min with a corresponding travel speed of 429.4m/min and this shows an increased speed as compared to the peak period due to low patronage during the period of survey.



35

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

400

450

500

550

600

650

**Travel Speed (m/min)**

**Travel Time (min)**

**Figure 4.4:**Relationship between Travel Time and travel speed for off- peak period on Modern market Route

Based on result in Figure 4.5, travel time decreased with travel speed due to the reduction in the volume traffic on the highways as a result of low patronage during the peak periods along the Air Force base route having a maximum travel time of 26.25min with a corresponding travel speed of 388.6m/min

**Figure 4.5:**Relationship between Travel Time and travel speed for peak period on Air force



30

25

20

15

10

5

0

400

450

500

550

**Travel Speed (m/min)**

**Travel Time (min)**

Base Route

Figure 4.6, is a plot that shows the relationship between travel time and travel speed and the figureshows that travel time decreased with travel speed due to the reduction in the traffic on the highways as a result of low patronage during the peak periods along the Air Force base route having a maximum travel time of 25.36min with a corresponding travel speed of 402.2m/min



30

25

20

15

10

5

0

400

420

440

460

480

500

**Travel Speed (m/min)**

**Travel Time (min)**

**Figure 4.6:**Relationship between Travel Time and travel speed for off- peak period on Air force

Base Route

* 1. **The Multiple Linear Regression Model**

Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) model for estimating short term bus travel time on routes within Makurdi metropolis was developed using statistical package for social science computer program with reference to traffic data collected along the various routes.Hence the multiple regression models can be represented in the form indicated in Table 4.3

**Table 4.3:Summary of Statistical Results of the Multiple Linear Regression Model Wadata Peak period**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | Unstandardized  Coefficients | | Standardized  Coefficients | T | Sig. | 95% Confidence  Interval for B | |
|  | B | Std.  Error | Beta |  |  | Lower  Bound | Upper  Bound |
| 1 (Constant) | 54.090 | .402 |  | 134.653 | .000 | 53.261 | 54.919 |
| Ave. dwell time | .000 | .002 | .002 | .192 | .849 | -.003 | .004 |
| Time Headway | -.039 | .042 | -.012 | -.929 | .362 | -.125 | .041 |
| Vol. of Traffic | 4.024E-5 | .000 | -.001 | -.114 | .910 | -.001 | .001 |
| Travel speed | -.061 | .001 | -.997 | -86.195 | .000 | -.063 | -.060 |
| No. of stops | -.016 | .014 | -.015 | -1.218 | .235 | -.045 | .012 |

**Source: Ewoh, 2019**

Table 4.3 shows that the time headway, volume of traffic, travel speed and number of stops affects bus travel time negatively, in other words, the variables are inversely proportional to bus travel time. This implies that the higher the magnitude of these aforementioned variables, the lesser the bus travel time. On the other hand, the higher the magnitudes of average dwell time, the higher the bus travel time. This model indicates that, the estimated average bus travel time along the routes in Makurdi metropolis without considering the effect of other variables is approximately 54 minutes. The P-values for travel speed showed a stronger relationship (having value of 0.00) between the inputs and outputs variables in the model. The P-values for Average dwell time, Time-headway, volume of traffic and number of stops along the route showed a slight (˃0.05) variation which indicates it significant strength in determining short term bus travel time using this model of peak

period. Therefore, the developed mathematical model for predicting short term bus travel time for peak period is as shown in equation 4.1;

T = 54.090 + 0.00X1 -0.039X2 – 0.00004024X3 – 0.061X4 – 0.017X5 (4.1)

Where,

T = Travel time in Minutes

X1 = Average dwell time in Seconds X2 = Time- headway in Minutes

X3 = Volume of traffic in Vehicle per Hour X4 = Travel speed in Meter per Minutes

X5 = Number of stops B0 = Constant

B1 . . . B5 = Co-efficient which is the degree of contribution per unit change in the independent variable.

**Table 4.4: Model Summary Result for Peak Hour Values Wadata Route**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| 1 | .998a | .997 | .996 | .09278 |

* + 1. Predictors: (Constant), Number of stops, Time Headway, Average dwell time, Travel speed, Volume of traffic

From Table 4.4, the following analyses were made for Wadata peak period:

1. The coefficient of correlation (R) is 0.998; this means that there is a high and good correlation (i.e. 99.8%) between the dependent and independent variables.
2. The coefficient of determinations (R2) is 0.997. This means that 99.7% of the dependent variables is explained by the independent variable(s) and that only 0.3% is explained by other variables such as weather condition, average dwell time, time headway, volume of traffic, travel speed and number of stops and others not included in the model with fourof

the variable significantly contributing to the model developed. With the adjusted R square factor of 0.996, indicating that 99.6% of the variance can be predicted from the independent variables.

**Table 4.5:Summary of Statistical Results of the Multiple Linear Regression Model off- Peak period**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | Unstandardized  Coefficients | | Standardized  Coefficients | T | Sig. | 95% Confidence  Interval for B | |
|  | B | Std.  Error | Beta |  |  | Lower  Bound | Upper  Bound |
| 1 (Constant) | 50.081 | .862 |  | 58.072 | .000 | 48.301 | 51.861 |
| Ave. dwell time | .003 | -.009 | .009 | .345 | .733 | -.016 | .023 |
| Time Headway | -.014 | .013 | -.026 | -1.040 | .309 | -.041 | .041 |
| Vol. of Traffic | -.001 | .002 | -.009 | -.348 | .731 | -.004 | .003 |
| Travel speed | -.052 | .001 | -.988 | -39.249 | .000 | -.055 | -.049 |
| No. of stops | -.001 | .021 | -.001 | -.030 | .976 | -.045 | .043 |

**Source: Ewoh, 2019**

Table 4.5 shows that time headway, volume of traffic, travel speed and number of stops affects bus travel time negatively, in other words, the variables are inversely proportional to bus travel time. This implies that the higher the magnitude of these aforementioned variables, the lesser the bus travel time. On the other hand, the higher the magnitudes of average dwell time, the higher the bus travel time. This model indicates that, the estimated average bus travel time along the routes in Makurdi metropolis without considering the effect of other variables is approximately 50 minutes. The P-value for travel speed showed a stronger relationship (having value of 0.00) between the inputs and outputs variables in the model. The P-values for Average dwell time, Time-headway, volume of traffic and number of stops along the route showed a slight (˃0.05) variation which indicates it significant strength in determining short term bus travel time using this model of peak period.. Therefore, the developed mathematical model for predicting short term bus travel time for off-peak period is as shown in equation 4.2;

T = 50.081 + 0.03X1 -0.014X2 – 0.001X3 – 0.052X4 – 0.01X5 (4.2)

Where,

T = Travel time in Minutes

X1 = Average dwell time in Seconds X2 = Time- headway in Minutes

X3 = Volume of traffic in Vehicle per Hour X4 = Travel speed in Meter per Minutes

X5 = Number of stops B0 = Constant

B1 . . . B5 = Co-efficient which is the degree of contribution per unit change in the independent variable.

**Table 4.6:Summary of Statistical Results of the Multiple Linear Regression Model off- Peak period**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| 1 | .994. | .987 | .985 | .24979 |

From Table 4.6 above, the following analyses were made for Wadata off-peak period:

* 1. The coefficient of correlation (R) is 0.994; this means that there is a high and good correlation (i.e. 99.4%) between the dependent and independent variables.
  2. The coefficient of determinations (R2) is 0.987. This means that 98.7% of the dependent variables is explained by the independent variable(s) and that only 1.3% is explained by other variables such as weather condition, average dwell time, time headway, volume of traffic, travel speed and number of stops and others not included in the model with four of the variable significantly contributing to the model developed. With the adjusted R

square factor of 0.985, indicating that 98.5% of the variance can be predicted from the independent variables.

**Table 4.7:Summary of Statistical Results of the Multiple Linear Regression Model Peak period Modern market**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | Unstandardized  Coefficients | | Standardized  Coefficients | T | Sig. | 95% Confidence  Interval for B | |
|  | B | Std.  Error | Beta |  |  | Lower  Bound | Upper  Bound |
| (Constant) | 55.064 | 1.898 |  | 29.008 | .000 | 51.146 | 58.982 |
| Ave. dwell time | .008 | .014 | .028 | .561 | .580 | -.022 | .038 |
| Time Headway | -.091 | .262 | -.018 | -.349 | .730 | -.632 | .449 |
| Vol. of Traffic | -.004 | .003 | -.085 | -1.563 | .131 | -.010 | .001 |
| Travel speed | -.052 | .003 | -.935 | -17.793 | .000 | -.058 | -.046 |
| No. of stops | .071 | .071 | .050 | 1.004 | .325 | -.075 | .218 |

**Source: Ewoh, 2019**

Table 4.7 shows that the time headway, volume of traffic, and travel speed affects bus travel time negatively, in other words, the variables are inversely proportional to bus travel time. This implies that the higher the magnitude of these aforementioned variables, the lesser the bus travel time. On the other hand, the higher the magnitudes of average dwell time and number of stops, the higher the bus travel time. This model indicates that, the estimated average bus travel time along the routes in Makurdi metropolis without considering the effect of other variables is approximately 54 minutes. The P-value for travel speed showed a stronger relationship (having value of 0.00) between the inputs and outputs variables in the model. The P-values for Average dwell time, Time-headway, volume of traffic and number of stops along the route showed a slight (˃0.05) variation which indicates it significant strength in determining short term bus travel time using this model of peak period. Therefore, the developed mathematical model for predicting short term bus travel time for peak period is as shown in equation 4.3;

T = 54.090 + 0.00X1 -0.039X2 – 0.00004024X3 – 0.061X4 – 0.017X5 (4.3)

Where,

T = Travel time in Minutes

X1 = Average dwell time in Seconds X2 = Time- headway in Minutes

X3 = Volume of traffic in Vehicle per Hour X4 = Travel speed in Meter per Minutes

X5 = Number of stops B0 = Constant

B1 . . . B5 = Co-efficient which is the degree of contribution per unit change in the independent variable

**Table 4.8:Summary of Statistical Results of the Multiple Linear Regression Model Peak period**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| 1 | .972 | .945 | .933 | .59338 |

From Table 4.8 above, the following analyses were made for Modern market peak period:

1. The coefficient of correlation (R) is 0.972; this means that there is a high and good correlation (i.e. 97.2%) between the dependent and independent variables.
2. The coefficient of determinations (R2) is 0.945. This means that 94.5% of the dependent variables is explained by the independent variable(s) and that only 5.5% is explained by other variables such as weather condition,average dwell time, time headway, volume of traffic, travel speed and number of stops and others not included in the model with four of the variable significantly contributing to the model developed. With the adjusted R square factor of 0.933, indicating that 93.3% of the variance can be predicted from the independent variables.

**Table 4.9:Summary of Statistical Results of the Multiple Linear Regression Model off- Peak period Modern market**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | Unstandardized  Coefficients | | Standardized  Coefficients | T | Sig. | 95% Confidence  Interval for B | |
|  | B | Std.  Error | Beta |  |  | Lower  Bound | Upper  Bound |
| (Constant) | 51.978 | .853 |  | 60.955 | .000 | 50.218 | 53.738 |
| Ave. dwell time | .023 | .011 | .049 | 2.031 | .053 | .000 | .047 |
| Time Headway | .016 | .160 | .002 | .100 | .921 | -.314 | .046 |
| Vol. of Traffic | -.004 | .002 | -.074 | -2.034 | .053 | -.007 | .000 |
| Travel speed | -.048 | .002 | -.930 | -23.644 | .000 | -.052 | -.044 |
| No. of stops | .039 | .023 | .047 | 1.704 | .101 | -.008 | .087 |

**Source: Ewoh, 2019**

Table 4.9 shows that the volume of traffic and travel speed affects bus travel time negatively, in other words, the variables are inversely proportional to bus travel time. This implies that the higher the magnitude of these aforementioned variables, the lesser the bus travel time. On the other hand, the higher the magnitudes of average dwell time, Time headway, and number of stops, the higher the bus travel time. This model indicates that, the estimated average bus travel time along the routes in Makurdi metropolis without considering the effect of other variables is approximately 51 minutes. The P-values for all the variables along the route showed a slight (˃0.05) variation which indicates it significant strength in determining short term bus travel time using this model of peak period. Therefore, the developed mathematical model for predicting short term bus travel time for off-peak period is as shown in equation 4.4;

T = 51.978 + 0.23X1 +0.016X2 – 0.004X3 – 0.048X4 + 0.039X5 (4.4)

Where,

T = Travel time in Minutes

X1 = Average dwell time in Seconds X2 = Time- headway in Minutes

X3 = Volume of traffic in Vehicle per Hour X4 = Travel speed in Meter per Minutes

X5 = Number of stops B0 = Constant

B1 . . . B5 = Co-efficient which is the degree of contribution per unit change in the independent variable

**Table 4.10:Summary of Statistical Results of the Multiple Linear Regression Model off- Peak period**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| 1 | .994 | .987 | .985 | .27551 |

From Table 4.10, the following analyses were made for Modern market off-peak period:

1. The coefficient of correlation (R) is 0.994; this means that there is a high and good correlation (i.e. 99.4%) between the dependent and independent variables.
2. The coefficient of determinations (R2) is 0.987. This means that 98.7% of the dependent variables is explained by the independent variable(s) and that only 1.3% is explained by other variables such as weather condition, average dwell time, time headway, volume of traffic, travel speed and number of stops and others not included in the model with four of the variable significantly contributing to the model developed. With the adjusted R square factor of 0.985, indicating that 98.5% of the variance can be predicted from the independent variables.

**Table 4.11:Summary of Statistical Results of the Multiple Linear Regression Model Peak period Air force base**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | Unstandardized  Coefficients | | Standardized  Coefficients | T | Sig. | 95% Confidence  Interval for B | |
|  | B | Std.  Error | Beta |  |  | Lower  Bound | Upper  Bound |
| (Constant) | 45.553 | .502 |  | 90.780 | .000 | 44.477 | 46.630 |
| Ave. dwell time | .006 | .007 | .019 | .816 | .428 | -.009 | .021 |
| Time Headway | .026 | .074 | .008 | .348 | .733 | -.133 | .185 |
| Vol. of Traffic | .001 | .001 | .030 | 1.202 | .249 | -.001 | .003 |
| Travel speed | -.052 | .001 | -.007 | -40.878 | .000 | -.054 | -.049 |
| No. of stops | -.037 | .018 | -.044 | -2.030 | .062 | -.076 | .002 |

**Source: Ewoh, 2019**

Table 4.11 shows that the travel speed and number of stops affects bus travel time negatively, in other words, the variables are inversely proportional to bus travel time. This implies that the higher the magnitude of these aforementioned variables, the lesser the bus travel time. On the other hand, the higher the magnitudes of average dwell time, Time headway, and volume of traffic, the higher the bus travel time. This model indicates that, the estimated average bus travel time along the routes in Makurdi metropolis without considering the effect of other variables is approximately 45 minutes (constant). The P- value for travel speed showed a great significant with value of 0.00 along the route and the other variables showed a slight (˃0.05) variation which indicates it significant strength in determining short term bus travel time using this model of peak period. Therefore, the developed mathematical model for predicting short term bus travel time for peak period is as shown in equation 4.5;

T = 45.553 + 0.006X1 +0.026X2 +0.001X3 – 0.052X4 - 0.037X5 (4.5)

Where,

T = Travel time in Minutes

X1 = Average dwell time in Seconds

X2 = Time- headway in Minutes

X3 = Volume of traffic in Vehicle per Hour X4 = Travel speed in Meter per Minutes

X5 = Number of stops B0 = Constant

B1 . . . B5 = Co-efficient which is the degree of contribution per unit change in the independent variable

**Table 4.12:Summary of Statistical Results of the Multiple Linear Regression Model Peak period**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| 1 | .997 | .995 | .993 | .13520 |

From Table 4.12, the following analyses were made for Air Force Base peak period:

1. The coefficient of correlation (R) is 0.997; this means that there is a high and good correlation (i.e. 99.7%) between the dependent and independent variables.
2. The coefficient of determinations (R2) is 0.995. This means that 99.5% of the dependent variables is explained by the independent variable(s) and that only 0.5% is explained by other variables such as weather condition, average dwell time, time headway, volume of traffic, travel speed and number of stops and others not included in the model with four of the variable significantly contributing to the model developed. With the adjusted R square factor of 0.993, indicating that 99.3% of the variance can be predicted from the independent variables.

**Table 4.13:Summary of Statistical Results of the Multiple Linear Regression Model off- Peak period Air force base**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | Unstandardized  Coefficients | | Standardized  Coefficients | T | Sig. | 95% Confidence  Interval for B | |
|  | B | Std.  Error | Beta |  |  | Lower  Bound | Upper  Bound |
| (Constant) | 45.118 | .400 |  | 112.714 | .000 | 44.260 | 45.977 |
| Ave. dwell time | -.004 | .004 | -.016 | -1.015 | .327 | -.013 | .005 |
| Time Headway | -.037 | .030 | -.018 | -1.247 | .233 | -.102 | .027 |
| Vol. of Traffic | -.001 | .001 | -.026 | -.784 | .446 | -.002 | .001 |
| Travel speed | -.049 | .001 | -.970 | -33.605 | .000 | -.052 | -.046 |
| No. of stops | .015 | .014 | .016 | 1.047 | .313 | -.015 | -.045 |

**Source: Ewoh, 2019**

Table 4.13 shows that the average dwell time, time headway, volume of traffic and travel speed affects bus travel time negatively, in other words, the variables are inversely proportional to bus travel time. This implies that the higher the magnitude of these aforementioned variables, the lesser the bus travel time. On the other hand, the higher the magnitudes of the number of stops, the higher the bus travel time. This model indicates that, the estimated average bus travel time along the routes in Makurdi metropolis without considering the effect of other variables is approximately 45 minutes. The P-value for travel speed showed a great significant with value of 0.00 along the route and the other variables showed a slight (˃0.05) variation which indicates it significant strength in determining short term bus travel time using this model of peak period. Therefore, the developed mathematical model for predicting short term bus travel time for peak period is as shown in equation 4.6;

T = 45.118 - 0.004X1 -0.037X2 -0.001X3 – 0.049X4 + 0.014X5 (4.6)

Where,

T = Travel time in Minutes

X1 = Average dwell time in Seconds

X2 = Time- headway in Minutes

X3 = Volume of traffic in Vehicle per Hour X4 = Travel speed in Meter per Minutes

X5 = Number of stops B0 = Constant

B1 . . . B5 = Co-efficient which is the degree of contribution per unit change in the independent variable

**Table 4.14:Summary of Statistical Results of the Multiple Linear Regression Model off- Peak period**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| 1 | .999 | .998 | .997 | .07630 |

From Table 4.14, the following analyses were made for Air Force Base off-peak period:

1. The coefficient of correlation (R) is 0.999; this means that there is a high and good correlation (i.e. 99.9%) between the dependent and independent variables.
2. The coefficient of determinations (R2) is 0.998. This means that 99.8% of the dependent variables is explained by the independent variable(s) and that only 0.2% is explained by other variables such as weather condition, average dwell time, time headway, volume of traffic, travel speed and number of stops and others not included in the model with four of the variable significantly contributing to the model developed. With the adjusted R square factor of 0.997, indicating that 99.7% of the variance can be predicted from the independent variables.

**Table 4.15: CombinedSummary of Statistical Results of the Multiple Linear Regression Model Peak period**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | Unstandardized  Coefficients | | Standardized  Coefficients | T | Sig. | 95% Confidence  Interval for B | |
|  | B | Std.  Error | Beta |  |  | Lower  Bound | Upper  Bound |
| (Constant) | 30.969 | 1.277 |  | 24.252 | .000 | 28.424 | 33.515 |
| Ave. dwell time | .011 | .007 | .048 | 1.514 | .134 | -.003 | .025 |
| Route Length | .002 | .000 | 1.118 | 22.077 | .000 | .002 | .002 |
| Time headway | -.283 | .134 | -.055 | -2.106 | .039 | -.551 | -.015 |
| T-junction | -.139 | .072 | -.069 | -1.930 | .058 | -.283 | -.005 |
| Vol. of traffic | -.002 | .001 | -.070 | -1.914 | .060 | -.005 | -.000 |
| Travel speed | -.052 | .002 | -1.015 | -26.532 | .000 | -.055 | -.048 |
| No. of stops | -.047 | .038 | -.032 | -1.235 | .221 | -.124 | .029 |

**Source: Ewoh, 2019**

Table 4.15 shows that the average time headway, T-junction, volume of traffic, travel speed and number of stops affects bus travel time negatively, in other words, the variables are inversely proportional to bus travel time. This implies that the higher the magnitude of these aforementioned variables, the lesser the bus travel time. On the other hand, the higher the magnitudes of average dwell time and route length, the higher the bus travel time. This model indicates that, the estimated average bus travel time along the routes in Makurdi metropolis without considering the effect of other variables is approximately 30 minutes as against 15 minutes stated by Adeke, 2019. The P-values for route length and travel speed variables showed a stronger relationship (having values of 0.00) between the inputs and outputs variables in the model. The P-values for T-junction and volume of traffic along the route showed a slight (˃0.05) variation which indicates it significant strength in determining short term bus travel time using this model of peak period. Other independent variables such as average dwell time and number of stops, shows less importance in estimating bus travel time using this model and time headway shows another strong significance in estimating the dependent variable; travel time using the model. Some

variables such as number of roundabout along the route and the cross intersection were ignored by the statistical tool used for the analysis which indicated their irrelevance to a great extent in predicting bus travel time using the built model. Therefore, the developed mathematical model for predicting short term bus travel time for peak period is as shown in equation 4.7;

T = 30.969 + 0.011X1 +0.002X2 – 0.283X3 – 0.139X4 – 0.002X5 – 0.052X6 – 0.047X7 (4.7)

Where,

T = Travel time in Minutes

X1 = Average dwell time in Seconds X2 = Route Length in Meters

X3 = Time- headway in Minutes X4 = T- Junction

X5 = Volume of traffic in Vehicle per Hour X6 = Travel speed in Meter per Minutes

X7 = Number of stops B0 = Constant

B1 . . . B7 = Co-efficient which is the degree of contribution per unit change in the independent variable

**Table 4.16: Model Summary Result for Peak Hour Values**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| 1 | .978a | .956 | .951 | .52272 |

* + 1. Predictors: (Constant), Number of stops, T- Junction, Time Headway, Average dwell time, Travel speed, Volume of traffic, Route length

From Table 4.16 above, the following analyses were made for peak period:

1. The coefficient of correlation (R) is 0.978; this means that there is a high and good correlation (i.e. 97.8%) between the dependent and independent variables.
2. The coefficient of determinations (R2) is 0.956 as compared to Jimoh, 2003 who also gave a high value of coefficient of determination of 0.782 for sabo-samaru route in Zaria. This means that 95.6% of the dependent variables is explained by the independent variable(s) and that only 4.4% is explained by other variables such as weather condition, number of roundabouts, number of cross intersections and others not included in the model with four of the variable significantly contributing to the model developed. With the adjusted R square factor of 0.951, indicating that 95% of the variance can be predicted from the independent variables.

**Table 4.17:Combined Summary of Statistical Results of the Multiple Linear Regression Model Off-peak**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | Unstandardized  Coefficients | | Standardized  Coefficients | T | Sig. | 95% Confidence  Interval for B | |
|  | B | Std.  Error | Beta |  |  | Lower  Bound | Upper  Bound |
| (Constant) | 27.022 | .541 |  | 49.983 | .000 | 25.945 | 28.100 |
| Ave. dwell time | .010 | .006 | .019 | 1.819 | .073 | -.001 | .021 |
| Route Length | .002 | .000 | .986 | 49.584 | .000 | -.002 | .002 |
| Time headway | -.018 | .012 | -.015 | -1.570 | .121 | -.042 | .005 |
| T-junction | -.130 | .030 | -.053 | -4.318 | .000 | -.190 | -.070 |
| Vol. of traffic | -.001 | .001 | -.025 | -1.421 | .160 | -.003 | .000 |
| Travel speed | -.051 | .001 | -.812 | -54.996 | .000 | -.052 | -.049 |
| No. of stops | .013 | .013 | .011 | 1.045 | .300 | -.012 | .038 |

**Source: Ewoh, 2019**

Table 4.17shows that the average time headway, T-junction, volume of traffic and travel speed affects bus travel time negatively, in other words, the variables are inversely proportional to bus travel time. On the other hand, the higher the magnitudes of average dwell time, route length and number of stops along the route, the higher the bus travel time.

This model indicates that, the estimated average bus travel time along the routes in Makurdi metropolis without considering the effect of other variables is approximately 27 minutes against the established 15 minutes stated by Adeke, 2019. The P-values for route length, T- junction and travel speed variables showed a stronger relationship (having values of 0.00) between the inputs and outputs variables in the model. The P-values of average dwell time along the route showed a slight (˃0.05) variation which indicates it significant strength in determining short term bus travel time using this model of off peak period. Other independent variables such as time headway, volume of traffic and number of stops, shows less importance in estimating bus travel time using this model.Some variables such as number of roundabout along the route and the cross intersection were also ignored by the statistical tool used for the analysis which indicated their irrelevance to in predicting bus travel time using the built model. Therefore, the developed mathematical model for predicting short term bus travel time for Off-peak period is as shown in equation 4.8;

T = 27.022 + 0.010X1 +0.002X2 – 0.018X3 – 0.130X4 – 0.001X5 – 0.051X6 + 0.013X7(4.8)

Where,

T = Travel time in Minutes

X1 = Average dwell time in Seconds X2 = Route Length in Meters

X3 = Time- headway in Minutes X4 = T- Junction

X5 = Volume of traffic in Vehicle per Hour X6 = Travel speed in Meter per Minutes

X7 = Number of stops B0 = Constant

B1 . . . B7 = Co-efficient which is the degree of contribution per unit change in the independent variable

**Table 4.18: Model Summary Result for Off-peak Hour**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| 2 | .997a | .994 | .993 | .23335 |

* 1. Predictors: (Constant), Number of stops, T- Junction, Time Headway, Average dwell time, Travel speed, Volume of traffic, Route length

From Table 4.18, the following analyses were made for off-peak period:

1. The coefficient of correlation (R) is 0.997; this means that there is a high and good correlation (i.e. 99.7%) between the dependent and independent variables.
2. The coefficient of determinations (R2) is 0.994 as compared to the ANN approach of Adeke, 2019 which gave 0.9306. This means that 99.4% of the dependent variables is explained by the independent variable(s) and that only 0.6% is explained by other variables such as weather condition, number of roundabouts, number of cross intersections and others not included in the model with four of the variable significantly contributing to the model developed. With the adjusted R square factor of 0.993, indicating that 99% of the variance can be predicted from the independent variables.
   1. **Curve Fittings for the Built Models**

.



36

R² = 0.951

34

32

30

28

26

24

22

20

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

34

**Observed Bus Travel Time (min.)**

**Estimated Bus Travel Time (min.)**

**Figure 4.7:** Relationship of estimated bus travel time to observe bus travel time for peak period



35

R² = 0.993

30

25

20

15

15

20

25

30

35

**Observed Bus Travel Time (min.)**

**Esimated Bus Travel Time (min).**

**Figure 4.8:** Relationship of estimated bus travel time to observe bus travel time for off-peak period

**CHAPTER FIVE CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

* 1. **Conclusion**

Summarily, this study was carried out solely to identify those factors that constitute impediment to intra-city bus travel time and develop multiple regression models for bus travel time using statistical package and validating the developed bus travel time with data collected from field survey.

* + 1. The physical characteristic of the routes under study was determined and the lane width of the routes under study were Wadata is 3.6m, Modern market is 3.7m and Air Force Base; 3.5m and the values obtained conform with the Federal Ministry of works Highway Design Manuel 2013 which states that 3.35-4.0m lane width be used for safety, efficiency and ease of operation and the manual also states that the minimum median width be 1.2m and usable shoulder width of 3m are desirable on all highways but narrow widths are acceptable on low volume highway.
    2. The factors that affect intra-city bus travel times were determined to be speed of travel, dwell time, number of T-Junctions and Cross intersections, volume of traffic which all ranged from 427-775 vehicles/hour and this fall under the traffic category of heavy traffic as stated in the Federal Ministry of works Highway Design Manual, 2013 that traffic more than 1000vehicles/day belongs to Heavy traffic, and number of roundabout and the route lengths been predominant.
    3. There was delay and congestion during the peak periods as compared to the off- peak period along all the routes in the metropolis.
    4. From the regression analysis conducted, the models developed for each route of study could not capture the route length which invariably shows that the model is not a good one since route length is a key function of travel time but the combined route models captured the route length which shows that the model is a good one for both peak and off-peak periods. A high value of coefficient of correlation for Peak

and Off-Peak periods, R = 0.978 and R= 0.997 was obtained which indicates that there is a high and good correlation of about 97.8% and 99.7% between the dependent and independent variables and the models are very good reflection of the current traffic situation in the state capital. The coefficient of determination R2 which is a measure of goodness-of-fit, was found to be 0.956 and 0.994, which indicates that 95.6% and 99.4% of the dependent variable (Travel time) at a confidence level of 95% and significant level of 0.05 is explained by both regression models. Though the R2 value for the Off-peak period is relatively high which defines high accuracy of the model and the Peak period is associated with more complications but represent a more realistic travel situation.

* + 1. The research also established that route length, T-junction and travel speed are important parameters used in the prediction of intra-city bus travel time since they gave good significant values.
  1. **Recommendation**

1. The proposed model is conceptually and operationally simple and should be used for cities in Nigeria having such challenges
2. On-street parking should be regulated so as to reduce traffic congestion on the highways within the metropolis

**REFERENCES**

Abdelfattah A. & Khan, A., (1998) *“Models for Predicting Bus Delays.” Transportation Research Record:* No.1623, pp.8-15, Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C

Adebisi, O. (1986), A Mathematical Model for Headway Variance, Transportation Research Board, Vol. 20B, No. 11,pp. 59-70

Adeke, P. (2019), *Prediction of Bus Travel Time on Urban Routes Without Designated Bus Stops in Makurdi Town, Benue State, Nigeria*. AZOJETE, Vol.15(2):406-417

Ahmed, Y.A, (2013). Urban Traffic Dilemma And Potential Remedy: Examples From Ilorin City Nigeria. An International Multidisciplinary Journal, Ethiopia, Vol.7(1), Serial No.28

Anderson, J.M., Bell, M.G.H., Sayers, T.M., Busch, F. & Heymann, G.(1994) “*The Short Term Prediction of Link Travel Times in Signal Controlled Road Networks*.” IFAC Symposium onTransportation Systems: Theory and Application of Advanced Technology, Tianjin, China, pp.621-626

Bates, J. (1990), *Modifying Generalized Cost to Deal with uncertain Travel Times*, presented at 17th Colloquium Vervocisplanologisch, Speurwerk, The Hague, The Netherland.

Bharti, AK., Sekhar, CR. and Chandra, S. (2017). *Modelling Travel Time of Car with Varying Demand on an Urban Midblock Section*. Journal of Intelligent Transport Systems, 22(2):99-105

Berg, C. N., U. Deichmann, Y. Liu, and H. Selod.( 2015). “Transport Policies and Development.” Policy Research Working Paper WPS7366. World Bank, Washington, DC.

Bonsal, P., Liu, R. and Young, W. (2005). Modelling Safety- Related Driving Behavior Impact of Parameter Values. Elsevier, Transport Research Part A, 39:425-444.

Bruzelius, N. (1979), The value of Travel Time, Croom Helm London, 222pp.Ceder, A. (2007), *Public Transit Planning and Operation Theory*, *Modelling and Practice*, Elsevier, London

Chapman, R.A., Gault, H.E. and Jekins, I. A. (1977), “*The Operation of Urban Bus Routes (wider aspects of operation)* Traffic Engineering and Control, Vol. 18 (9). Pp 416-419

Chapman, R.A., Gault, H.E. and Jekins, I. A. (1976), “Factors Affecting the operation of Urban Bus Routes” Transport Operation Research Group Working paper 23, University of New castle Upon Tyne.

Chen, M. & Chien, S.(2001) “*Dynamic Freeway Travel Time Prediction Using Probe Vehicle Data: Link-based vs. Path Based*.” 80th Annual Transportation Research Board Meeting (CDROM), Washington D.C

Chen, M., Yaw, J., Chien, S. & X. Liu, X., (2007)“Using Automatic Passenger Counter Data in BusArrival Time Prediction.” *Journal of Advanced Transportation, Vol. 41- 43*

Chiejina, E.E. (1982), Analysis of Intra-Urban Bus Travel Times, M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.

Chien S., Ding, Y. & Wei C., (2002)“Dynamic Bus Arrival Prediction with Artificial Neural Network.”*Journal of Transportation Engineering, Vol.128*, No. 5, pp.429- 438

Coifman, B. (2000). Estimating Travel Time and Vehicle Trajectories on Freeways Using Dual Loop Detectors. Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 36(4): 351-364

Coifman, B., Cassidy, M. (2002). Vehicle identification and travel time measurement on congested freeways. *Transportation Research Part A*, 36(10), pp. 899-917.

Coifman, B., Ergueta, E. (2003). Improved vehicle identification and travel time measurement on congested freeways. *ASCE Journal of Transportation Engineering*, 129(5), pp. 475-483.

Cullinane, S. (2002). *The Relationship between Car Ownership and Public Transport Provision*: a case study of Hong Kong. Transport policy, 9: 29 – 39

Dougherty, M. (1995). A review of Neural Networks applied to Transport. *Transportation Research C*, 3(4), pp. 247-260.

Ewoh, J.G., (2019). Progress seminar work. Department of Civil Engineering, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.

Fosgerau M. and Fukuda D. (2010). *Characteristics of the Travel Time Measurement System using Vehicle-to-Infrastructure Communication* Esigelec Saint Etienne du Rouvray, France Framework for the Online Prediction of Freeway Travel Time.” 86th Annual Transportation

Fouracre, P. R, D. A. C. Maunder, Pathak M. G and C. H. Rao (1981). “Studies of Bus Operations in Delhi, India”. Department of Transport, TRRL report SR 710. Crowthone.

Frechette L. & A. Khan, A.,(1997) *“Bayesian Regression Based Urban Traffic Models.”*

Transportation Research Record, Vol.1644, pp.157-165

Garber,N.J., and Hoel L. A.: (2009)” Traffic & Highway Engineering” Fourth Edition.

University of Virginia

Makurdi Map, (2019), Makurdi metropolis showing Study routes

Gudmundsson, H., Hall, RP., Marsden, G.. and Zietsman, J.(2015). *Sustainable Transportation.Indicator, Frameworks and Performance Management.* Printer Texts in Business and Economics, London

Gurmu, ZK. (2010). *A Dynamic Prediction of Travel Time for Transit Vehicles in Brazil Using GPS Data.* MSc. Dissertation, Department of Civil Engineering and Management, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Gurmu, ZK. and Fan, W. (2014). *Artificial Neural Network Travel Time Prediction Model for Buses Using GPS Data,* Journal of Public Transport, 17(2): 45-65

Hafiz, F. S., (2017), Estimation of Travel Time and Delay on a Road (A Case Study of Samaru Kwangila, Zaria). An M. Sc Reseach, Department of Civil Engineering,

A.B.U. Zaria. Kaduna State

Hendrickson, C. T. (1981). “Travel Time and Volume Relationships in Scheduled, Fixed- Route Public Transportation”. Transportation Research Vol. 15A, pp 173- 182

Hung, S.L. & Adeli, H.(1994) “A Parallel Genetic/Neural Network Learning Algorithm for MIMDshared Memory Machines.” *IEEE Transactions on Neural Network, Vol. 5*,

Issue 6,

Ibara-Rojas, OJ., Delgado, F., Giesen, R. and Munoz, JC. (2015). Planning, Operation and Control of Bus Transport Systems: A Literature Review. Transport Research Part B, 77:38-75.

IBM SPSS Statistics 22 Brief Guide.

Izadpanah, P.(2010). Freeway Travel Time Prediction using Data from Mobile Probes. PhD Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering, University of waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.

Jeong R. & Rilett L. R.,(2004) “Bus Arrival Time Prediction Using Artificial Neural Network Model.” *The 7th International IEEE Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems Proceedings, Washington D.C*. pp.988-993

Jimoh, S. O., (2003), Journey Time Characteristics of An Unconventional Intra-Urban Bus Transit Service. An Unpublished M.Sc Research. Department of Civil Engineering,

A.B.U. Zaria. Kaduna State Journal of Public Transportation, Vol. 7

Juri, N., Unnikrishnan & Waller S. (2007) “*Integrated Traffic Simulation Statistical Analysis Framework for the Online Prediction of Freeway Travel Time.*” 86th Annual TransportationResearch Board Meeting (CD-ROM), Washington D.C

Kwon, J., Coifman, B., Bickel, P. (2000). “*Day to Day Travel Time Trends and Travel Time Prediction from Loop Detector Data*” Transportation Research Record, No.1717, pp.120-129.

Litman, T. (2015). Smart Congestion Relief: Comprehensive Evaluation of Traffic Congestion Costs andCongestion Reduction Strategies. Victoria Transport Policy Institute*.*

Liu, R. (2010). Traffic Simulation with DRACULA. In: Barcelo, J. ed. Fundamentals of Traffic Simulation, International Series in Operations Research and Management Science. Springer New York

Liu, R. and Sinha, S. (2007). *Modelling Urban Bus Services and Passenger Reliability*. In: The Third International Symposium on Transportation Network Reliability, 19-20 July, The Hague, Netherlands.

Liu, R., Clark, SD., Montgomery, FO. and Watling, DP. (1999). Microscopic Modelling of Traffic Management Measures for Guided Bus Operation, Selected Proceedings of 8th World Conference on Transport Research, 2:367-380.

Nancy, L.L., Karen, C. B., George, A. M., (2005). SPSS for intermediate statistics: Use and Interpretation. 2nd Edition. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers, Mahwah, New Jersey, London.

NPC. (2006). National Population Commission. Federal Republic of Nigeria Official Gazzette,94

Ogunsanya, A.A, (2002). Maker and Breaker of Cities. Fifty-Ninth Inaugural Lecture, University of Ilorin, Library and Publication Committee

Okoko. E. (2006). Quantitative techniques in urban analysis, Ibadan, Kraft Books Limited.

Olowosulu, A.T. (1996), Comparing Fixed Stop and Hail Stop mode of service of fixed route Intra-urban Bus Operation, M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering.

Patnaik, J., Chien, S. & Bladikas, A.(2004) “*Estimating of Bus Arrival Times Using APC Data.”* Journal of Public Transportation, Vol.7

Paul, J. (2001). Transport network development in developing countries, Sydney, McDonald Printing Press.

Ramezani, M. and Geroliminis, N. (2012). *Estimation of Arterial Route Travel Time Distribution with Markov Chains*. Transport Research Part B., 46:1576-1590.

Rogers, M. and Enright, B. (2016) Highway Engineering” 3rd Edition: Wiley Blackwell, United State.

Rohatgi, VK. And Saleh, AKE., (2001). *An Introduction to Probability and Statistics*. 2nd Edition, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York.

Smith, B. & Demetsky, M. (1995)“*Short Term Traffic Flow Prediction: Neural Network Approach.”* Transportation Research Record: No. 1453, pp. 98-104, Transportation Research Board,Washington, D.C

Soong, TT. (2004). “*Fundamentals of Probability and Statistics for Engineers*”. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd., England

Suzuki, H., R. Cervero, and K. Iuchi. (2013). Transforming Cities with Transit: Transit and Land-UseIntegration for Sustainable Urban Development. Urban Development Series. Washington,DC: World Bank.

Tolley, R.S. and Turton, B.J. (1995). Transport Systems, policy and Planning. A Geographical Approach Longman Group Ltd, Harlow England and John Willey and Sons, New York.

Turner, M.S., and Holdener, D.J.(1995). Probe vehicles sample sizes for real-time information: The Houston experience. In: *Proceedings* of Vehicle Navigation and Information Systems (VNIS) Conference, Seattle, Washington, pp. 3-9.

UN (United Nations).( 2015). *United Nations Sustainable Development Goals*. New York.

Van Arde, M., Hellinga, B., Yu, L., Rakha, H., (1993). Vehicle probes as real-time ATMS sources of dynamic O-D and travel time data: Large urban systems. In: *Proceedings* of the ATMS Conference held in St. Petersburg, Florida, pp. 207-230.

Van Arem, B., Van Der Vlista, Martie, J. M., Musteb, M. (Rik) &Smulders , Stef A. (1997) *“Travel Time Estimation in the GERDIEN project.”International Journal of Forecasting,Vol.13*,pp.73-85

World Health Organization (2015). *Global Status Report on Road Safety.* Geneva: UN WHO

World Bank Review (2012). Strengthening Urban Transport Institutions. Cities on the Move, World Bank Urban Transport Strategy Review.

Wolshon, B. and Pande, A. (2016). “Traffic Engineering Handbook” 7th Edition: Wiley.com.

Yu, B., Z. Yang, Z. & Yao B.(2006) “Bus Arrival Time Prediction Using Support Vector Machines.”*Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems, Vol.10*, No.4, pp.151-158

Zaki, M., Ashour, I., Morkary, M., and Hesham, B. (2013). Online Bus Arrival Time Prediction Using Hybrid Neural Network and Kalman Filter Techniques. International Journal of Modern Engineering Research, 3(4): 2035-2040

Zheng, F. (2011). Modelling Urban Travel Times. PhD Thesis, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Delft University, Netherlands.

**APPENDICES**

**APPENDIX A: TRAVEL TIME DATA COLLECTED USING MOVING VEHICLE TECHNIQUE**

**Table A1: Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour Modern Market Route**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 1 | 28 | 27 | 461 | 472 | 46 | 68 | 13 | 14 |
| 2 | 30 | 28 | 450 | 480 | 52 | 77 | 20 | 29 |
| 3 | 27 | 29 | 502 | 490 | 55 | 49 | 18 | 27 |
| 4 | 33 | 29 | 491 | 507 | 60 | 78 | 29 | 35 |
| 5 | 30 | 32 | 530 | 504 | 47 | 59 | 20 | 25 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A2: Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 6 | 31 | 29 | 509 | 574 | 21 | 16 | 47 | 29 |
| 7 | 29 | 27 | 515 | 523 | 70 | 55 | 33 | 18 |
| 8 | 30 | 29 | 560 | 496 | 47 | 50 | 18 | 20 |
| 9 | 30 | 31 | 548 | 599 | 37 | 52 | 15 | 21 |
| 10 | 29 | 33 | 521 | 572 | 46 | 50 | 15 | 18 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A3: Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 11 | 30 | 29 | 516 | 447 | 50 | 61 | 18 | 15 |
| 12 | 28 | 30 | 496 | 417 | 51 | 33 | 9 | 13 |
| 13 | 31 | 30 | 489 | 512 | 49 | 38 | 18 | 20 |
| 14 | 29 | 27 | 506 | 449 | 48 | 56 | 12 | 18 |
| 15 | 27 | 30 | 502 | 510 | 38 | 41 | 15 | 10 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A4: Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 16 | 29 | 28 | 504 | 493 | 47 | 49 | 12 | 13 |
| 17 | 28 | 30 | 449 | 512 | 53 | 62 | 18 | 30 |
| 18 | 30 | 29 | 550 | 529 | 62 | 70 | 20 | 15 |
| 19 | 30 | 28 | 612 | 549 | 49 | 45 | 12 | 18 |
| 20 | 29 | 33 | 590 | 602 | 48 | 70 | 18 | 12 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A5: Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 21 | 30 | 27 | 501 | 473 | 50 | 41 | 26 | 31 |
| 22 | 30 | 28 | 463 | 411 | 46 | 47 | 16 | 14 |
| 23 | 36 | 30 | 403 | 523 | 29 | 37 | 29 | 23 |
| 24 | 27 | 29 | 560 | 471 | 39 | 40 | 13 | 16 |
| 25 | 31 | 30 | 519 | 487 | 48 | 31 | 28 | 13 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A6: Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 26 | 36 | 34 | 573 | 596 | 41 | 50 | 19 | 18 |
| 27 | 28 | 30 | 479 | 516 | 39 | 58 | 22 | 17 |
| 28 | 30 | 32 | 523 | 587 | 60 | 43 | 18 | 14 |
| 29 | 28 | 29 | 541 | 600 | 50 | 47 | 15 | 24 |
| 30 | 31 | 27 | 556 | 503 | 56 | 40 | 18 | 20 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A7: Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour Wadata Route

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 1 | 26 | 36 | 449 | 509 | 32 | 49 | 23 | 18 |
| 2 | 30 | 28 | 501 | 419 | 36 | 38 | 15 | 21 |
| 3 | 27 | 30 | 444 | 507 | 50 | 43 | 25 | 18 |
| 4 | 28 | 27 | 459 | 511 | 41 | 34 | 17 | 20 |
| 5 | 28 | 30 | 555 | 490 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 23 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A8: Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 6 | 28 | 32 | 591 | 520 | 50 | 39 | 35 | 28 |
| 7 | 30 | 29 | 601 | 509 | 59 | 47 | 22 | 26 |
| 8 | 36 | 29 | 553 | 580 | 53 | 47 | 28 | 16 |
| 9 | 27 | 30 | 698 | 567 | 60 | 53 | 15 | 18 |
| 10 | 31 | 30 | 564 | 600 | 58 | 41 | 29 | 13 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A9: Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 11 | 27 | 30 | 417 | 510 | 38 | 47 | 12 | 16 |
| 12 | 29 | 28 | 427 | 418 | 41 | 50 | 20 | 18 |
| 13 | 30 | 28 | 420 | 503 | 33 | 43 | 15 | 13 |
| 14 | 28 | 30 | 450 | 511 | 37 | 41 | 25 | 16 |
| 15 | 28 | 30 | 512 | 417 | 47 | 39 | 22 | 14 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A10: Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 16 | 30 | 32 | 521 | 590 | 53 | 48 | 31 | 26 |
| 17 | 32 | 28 | 581 | 450 | 50 | 37 | 18 | 28 |
| 18 | 29 | 29 | 561 | 456 | 41 | 52 | 30 | 23 |
| 19 | 28 | 30 | 462 | 521 | 60 | 47 | 27 | 19 |
| 20 | 30 | 32 | 566 | 597 | 58 | 39 | 30 | 26 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A11: Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 21 | 26 | 28 | 437 | 501 | 43 | 50 | 15 | 20 |
| 22 | 30 | 28 | 453 | 417 | 38 | 40 | 20 | 18 |
| 23 | 27 | 30 | 503 | 433 | 35 | 48 | 10 | 13 |
| 24 | 30 | 29 | 456 | 521 | 47 | 39 | 16 | 18 |
| 25 | 28 | 31 | 405 | 513 | 37 | 39 | 22 | 16 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A12: Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 26 | 32 | 29 | 536 | 497 | 50 | 47 | 26 | 18 |
| 27 | 30 | 30 | 631 | 511 | 39 | 63 | 16 | 23 |
| 28 | 31 | 28 | 577 | 609 | 46 | 41 | 30 | 12 |
| 29 | 28 | 30 | 516 | 600 | 57 | 48 | 20 | 15 |
| 30 | 30 | 33 | 563 | 571 | 50 | 34 | 18 | 26 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A13: Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour Air force Base Route

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 1 | 26 | 24 | 523 | 499 | 29 | 32 | 16 | 20 |
| 2 | 25 | 27 | 518 | 600 | 32 | 36 | 30 | 27 |
| 3 | 20 | 28 | 512 | 594 | 21 | 39 | 17 | 19 |
| 4 | 25 | 29 | 541 | 604 | 32 | 40 | 22 | 16 |
| 5 | 27 | 23 | 450 | 506 | 44 | 46 | 30 | 28 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A14: Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 6 | 25 | 28 | 567 | 498 | 53 | 42 | 25 | 23 |
| 7 | 27 | 24 | 506 | 497 | 34 | 44 | 16 | 28 |
| 8 | 22 | 28 | 550 | 490 | 28 | 35 | 27 | 30 |
| 9 | 24 | 26 | 583 | 603 | 39 | 36 | 33 | 26 |
| 10 | 27 | 20 | 499 | 561 | 35 | 40 | 15 | 18 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A15: Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 11 | 20 | 23 | 571 | 499 | 40 | 34 | 20 | 12 |
| 12 | 25 | 22 | 511 | 480 | 32 | 43 | 24 | 31 |
| 13 | 26 | 24 | 497 | 521 | 42 | 36 | 26 | 23 |
| 14 | 26 | 23 | 501 | 462 | 38 | 29 | 18 | 25 |
| 15 | 27 | 21 | 544 | 510 | 41 | 38 | 26 | 18 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A16: Travel Time Data Collected during Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 16 | 26 | 28 | 467 | 570 | 33 | 35 | 23 | 30 |
| 17 | 26 | 24 | 564 | 486 | 49 | 32 | 18 | 22 |
| 18 | 20 | 25 | 436 | 501 | 50 | 41 | 28 | 30 |
| 19 | 24 | 22 | 516 | 480 | 46 | 42 | 18 | 21 |
| 20 | 23 | 25 | 498 | 520 | 39 | 30 | 28 | 19 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A17: Travel Time Data Collected during off- Peak Hour Modern Market Route

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 1 | 25 | 28 | 402 | 359 | 31 | 27 | 20 | 18 |
| 2 | 31 | 35 | 421 | 389 | 33 | 29 | 16 | 22 |
| 3 | 25 | 30 | 391 | 411 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 18 |
| 4 | 30 | 27 | 403 | 393 | 26 | 29 | 20 | 27 |
| 5 | 24 | 32 | 350 | 396 | 31 | 35 | 25 | 21 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A18: Travel Time Data Collected during off-Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 6 | 30 | 26 | 408 | 412 | 19 | 30 | 16 | 20 |
| 7 | 29 | 25 | 399 | 356 | 27 | 15 | 24 | 18 |
| 8 | 31 | 29 | 401 | 299 | 25 | 30 | 18 | 25 |
| 9 | 25 | 33 | 358 | 315 | 22 | 18 | 22 | 29 |
| 10 | 23 | 30 | 416 | 398 | 30 | 15 | 16 | 20 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A19: Travel Time Data Collected during off-Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 11 | 26 | 28 | 380 | 378 | 33 | 29 | 25 | 18 |
| 12 | 30 | 35 | 406 | 398 | 31 | 19 | 16 | 29 |
| 13 | 29 | 25 | 345 | 390 | 25 | 28 | 22 | 24 |
| 14 | 29 | 31 | 399 | 443 | 27 | 34 | 18 | 25 |
| 15 | 24 | 29 | 409 | 345 | 38 | 29 | 30 | 26 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A20: Travel Time Data Collected during off-Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 16 | 18 | 25 | 350 | 301 | 15 | 31 | 22 | 20 |
| 17 | 24 | 27 | 359 | 391 | 26 | 30 | 25 | 29 |
| 18 | 29 | 23 | 395 | 450 | 33 | 28 | 19 | 25 |
| 19 | 30 | 28 | 291 | 305 | 17 | 25 | 16 | 27 |
| 20 | 26 | 32 | 326 | 335 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 25 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A21: Travel Time Data Collected during off-Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 21 | 30 | 29 | 284 | 412 | 27 | 33 | 22 | 17 |
| 22 | 34 | 28 | 397 | 368 | 38 | 30 | 22 | 31 |
| 23 | 30 | 27 | 414 | 397 | 29 | 26 | 35 | 28 |
| 24 | 28 | 30 | 356 | 401 | 25 | 18 | 20 | 15 |
| 25 | 25 | 27 | 403 | 399 | 28 | 30 | 25 | 18 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A22: Travel Time Data Collected during off-Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 26 | 30 | 29 | 422 | 412 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 15 |
| 27 | 27 | 31 | 425 | 386 | 30 | 29 | 26 | 18 |
| 28 | 29 | 24 | 368 | 394 | 25 | 19 | 24 | 28 |
| 29 | 30 | 26 | 408 | 377 | 27 | 22 | 18 | 30 |
| 30 | 28 | 22 | 425 | 390 | 30 | 27 | 28 | 15 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A23: Travel Time Data Collected during off-Peak Hour Wadata Route

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 1 | 24 | 20 | 311 | 296 | 25 | 21 | 9 | 12 |
| 2 | 29 | 25 | 309 | 315 | 33 | 20 | 15 | 18 |
| 3 | 30 | 27 | 401 | 389 | 27 | 23 | 12 | 18 |
| 4 | 25 | 29 | 299 | 316 | 31 | 26 | 20 | 15 |
| 5 | 22 | 26 | 350 | 325 | 25 | 18 | 19 | 14 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A24: Travel Time Data Collected during off-Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 6 | 31 | 28 | 419 | 389 | 32 | 26 | 17 | 20 |
| 7 | 27 | 24 | 361 | 334 | 29 | 21 | 15 | 18 |
| 8 | 29 | 32 | 420 | 343 | 30 | 27 | 16 | 22 |
| 9 | 25 | 27 | 359 | 403 | 22 | 25 | 25 | 18 |
| 10 | 30 | 27 | 456 | 380 | 27 | 19 | 13 | 10 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A25: Travel Time Data Collected during off-Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 11 | 28 | 30 | 398 | 408 | 30 | 17 | 18 | 26 |
| 12 | 31 | 25 | 333 | 381 | 29 | 20 | 30 | 18 |
| 13 | 26 | 30 | 429 | 396 | 25 | 28 | 13 | 16 |
| 14 | 23 | 27 | 451 | 420 | 30 | 28 | 18 | 20 |
| 15 | 25 | 29 | 381 | 405 | 22 | 25 | 16 | 13 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A26: Travel Time Data Collected during off-Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 16 | 30 | 26 | 412 | 380 | 26 | 21 | 18 | 20 |
| 17 | 33 | 28 | 431 | 416 | 31 | 33 | 15 | 25 |
| 18 | 28 | 30 | 344 | 378 | 28 | 25 | 22 | 18 |
| 19 | 27 | 30 | 384 | 410 | 18 | 31 | 14 | 20 |
| 20 | 25 | 28 | 321 | 364 | 27 | 33 | 23 | 18 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A27: Travel Time Data Collected during off-Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 21 | 31 | 26 | 388 | 403 | 36 | 28 | 25 | 21 |
| 22 | 25 | 29 | 411 | 375 | 19 | 25 | 19 | 26 |
| 23 | 28 | 24 | 380 | 334 | 27 | 29 | 19 | 22 |
| 24 | 26 | 28 | 347 | 408 | 29 | 15 | 20 | 14 |
| 25 | 22 | 30 | 422 | 390 | 33 | 29 | 12 | 14 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A28: Travel Time Data Collected during off-Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 26 | 29 | 27 | 450 | 399 | 21 | 32 | 23 | 15 |
| 27 | 27 | 33 | 386 | 471 | 26 | 29 | 19 | 12 |
| 28 | 30 | 29 | 388 | 406 | 33 | 26 | 21 | 18 |
| 29 | 27 | 31 | 405 | 433 | 35 | 31 | 16 | 23 |
| 30 | 30 | 28 | 391 | 425 | 33 | 38 | 17 | 20 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A29: Travel Time Data Collected during off-Peak Hour Air force Base Route

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 1 | 20.4 | 29.6 | 334 | 356 | 23 | 30 | 22 | 19 |
| 2 | 22.3 | 25.3 | 341 | 316 | 29 | 20 | 21 | 23 |
| 3 | 22.9 | 27.6 | 309 | 356 | 22 | 19 | 20 | 22 |
| 4 | 20.3 | 23.0 | 414 | 383 | 20 | 24 | 19 | 21 |
| 5 | 22.1 | 25.8 | 399 | 372 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 25 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A30: Travel Time Data Collected during off-Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 1 | 23.5 | 19.8 | 382 | 391 | 23 | 20 | 21 | 19 |
| 2 | 21.0 | 23.6 | 401 | 386 | 23 | 19 | 22 | 17 |
| 3 | 19.2 | 24.3 | 395 | 406 | 19 | 24 | 21 | 20 |
| 4 | 22.7 | 20.6 | 359 | 384 | 18 | 20 | 15 | 18 |
| 5 | 19.8 | 21.4 | 394 | 367 | 18 | 23 | 20 | 20 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A31:Travel Time Data Collected during off-Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 1 | 20.1 | 23.6 | 403 | 381 | 20 | 20 | 23 | 22 |
| 2 | 22.7 | 19.7 | 394 | 369 | 22 | 25 | 21 | 21 |
| 3 | 21.6 | 20.9 | 410 | 376 | 23 | 20 | 20 | 22 |
| 4 | 23.6 | 21.3 | 311 | 353 | 23 | 19 | 19 | 23 |
| 5 | 22.4 | 20.7 | 419 | 378 | 25 | 28 | 22 | 24 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

Table A32: Travel Time Data Collected during off-Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. of Trips | Travel time (Min) | | No. of vehicles in opp. Direction | | No. of vehicles that overtook test car | | No. of vehicles overtaken by test car | |
| S/N | Te | Tw | Ne | Nw | Oe | Ow | Pe | Pw |
| 1 | 21.9 | 23.1 | 398 | 403 | 22 | 23 | 19 | 20 |
| 2 | 19.7 | 21.3 | 376 | 384 | 20 | 19 | 23 | 20 |
| 3 | 22.6 | 23.0 | 389 | 390 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 |
| 4 | 22.7 | 21.8 | 403 | 379 | 23 | 23 | 17 | 19 |
| 5 | 20.3 | 22.9 | 358 | 401 | 19 | 19 | 21 | 21 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

**Appendix B**

**Table BI: Traffic data collected along Wadata Market route Peak Hour:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Trips | Travel Time (Min.) | Ave. Dwell Time  (Sec.) | Route Length (m) | Time Headway (Min.) | No. of Roundabouts | No. of Intersections | | Volume of Traffic | Travel Speed (m/min.) | No. of Stops |
|  | T-  Junction | Cross- Intersection |
| 1 | 29.46 | 20.0 | 11800 | 1.5 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 482.9 | 400.5 | 8 |
| 2 | 26.67 | 18.0 | 11800 | 1.6 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 495.6 | 442.5 | 10 |
| 3 | 25.64 | 22.5 | 11800 | 2.8 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 526.9 | 460.2 | 12 |
| 4 | 25.45 | 19.0 | 11800 | 2.1 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 549.8 | 463.7 | 10 |
| 5 | 28.94 | 30.2 | 11800 | 2.1 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 541.6 | 407.7 | 9 |
| 6 | 28.62 | 30.0 | 11800 | 2.5 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 568.5 | 412.3 | 12 |
| 7 | 26.51 | 27.2 | 11800 | 2.3 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 593.9 | 445.1 | 10 |
| 8 | 29.43 | 32.0 | 11800 | 2.3 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 548.8 | 400.9 | 11 |
| 9 | 25.05 | 29.4 | 11800 | 1.3 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 707.9 | 471.1 | 9 |
| 10 | 27.65 | 30.0 | 11800 | 1.6 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 600.5 | 426.8 | 10 |
| 11 | 25.15 | 42.0 | 11800 | 2.1 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 517.9 | 469.2 | 11 |
| 12 | 25.15 | 36.0 | 11800 | 1.4 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 472.7 | 469.2 | 8 |
| 13 | 26.07 | 22.2 | 11800 | 1.5 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 502.2 | 452.6 | 10 |
| 14 | 26.81 | 26.0 | 11800 | 1.8 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 516.2 | 440.1 | 11 |
| 15 | 26.01 | 47.3 | 11800 | 2.4 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 506.4 | 453.7 | 11 |
| 16 | 28.63 | 28.4 | 11800 | 1.6 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 558.9 | 412.2 | 10 |
| 17 | 27.55 | 18.6 | 11800 | 2.4 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 536.0 | 428.3 | 12 |
| 18 | 26.89 | 31.0 | 11800 | 2.5 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 546.8 | 438.8 | 10 |
| 19 | 25.62 | 19.8 | 11800 | 2.2 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 540.0 | 460.6 | 13 |
| 20 | 28.92 | 28.0 | 11800 | 1.0 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 582.6 | 408.0 | 12 |
| 21 | 23.84 | 33.8 | 11800 | 1.7 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 553.4 | 494.9 | 10 |
| 22 | 26.35 | 38.4 | 11800 | 2.3 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 470.7 | 447.8 | 13 |
| 23 | 25.09 | 51.0 | 11800 | 2.6 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 524.2 | 470.3 | 11 |
| 24 | 26.55 | 29.0 | 11800 | 1.8 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 523.3 | 444.4 | 12 |
| 25 | 27.08 | 44.5 | 11800 | 2.7 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 486.1 | 435.8 | 12 |
| 26 | 27.53 | 46.2 | 11800 | 2.3 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 534.1 | 428.6 | 13 |
| 27 | 26.92 | 37.0 | 11800 | 2.4 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 602.5 | 438.3 | 9 |
| 28 | 27.34 | 59.6 | 11800 | 1.6 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 626.9 | 431.6 | 11 |
| 29 | 25.57 | 53.2 | 11800 | 2.6 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 613.4 | 461.5 | 10 |
| 30 | 29.39 | 38.0 | 11800 | 1.8 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 559.1 | 401.5 | 10 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

**Table B2: Traffic data collected along Wadata Market route Off-Peak Hour:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Trips | Travel Time (Min.) | Ave. Dwell Time  (Sec.) | Route Length (m) | Time Headway (Min.) | No. of Roundabouts | No. of Intersections | | Volume of Traffic | Travel Speed (m/min.) | No. of Stops |
|  | T-  Junction | Cross- Intersection |
| 1 | 20.25 | 19.0 | 11800 | 1.0 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 430.9 | 582.7 | 7 |
| 2 | 25.37 | 22.2 | 11800 | 1.3 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 357.8 | 465.1 | 5 |
| 3 | 27.09 | 18.6 | 11800 | 1.0 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 426.4 | 435.6 | 9 |
| 4 | 25.13 | 23.6 | 11800 | 1.6 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 353.9 | 469.6 | 7 |
| 5 | 23.30 | 33.3 | 11800 | 1.2 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 428.2 | 506.4 | 4 |
| 6 | 27.98 | 29.6 | 11800 | 1.0 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 420.0 | 421.7 | 11 |
| 7 | 24.27 | 20.7 | 11800 | 1.4 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 418.8 | 486.2 | 8 |
| 8 | 28.95 | 32.0 | 11800 | 1.8 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 384.6 | 407.6 | 5 |
| 9 | 25.70 | 18.5 | 11800 | 1.2 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 441.9 | 459.1 | 9 |
| 10 | 26.93 | 27.3 | 11800 | 1.5 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 452.1 | 438.2 | 11 |
| 11 | 28.84 | 30.5 | 11800 | 2.0 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 418.5 | 409.2 | 4 |
| 12 | 27.91 | 34.1 | 11800 | 1.8 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 383.0 | 422.8 | 8 |
| 13 | 26.44 | 38.1 | 11800 | 1.0 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 454.8 | 446.3 | 10 |
| 14 | 28.64 | 29.7 | 11800 | 1.3 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 445.5 | 412.0 | 9 |
| 15 | 23.00 | 35.4 | 11800 | 1.1 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 446.7 | 513.0 | 13 |
| 16 | 27.36 | 29.7 | 11800 | 2.1 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 429.1 | 431.3 | 7 |
| 17 | 28.82 | 36.0 | 11800 | 1.3 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 428.4 | 409.4 | 9 |
| 18 | 27.97 | 26.8 | 11800 | 1.7 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 378.6 | 421.9 | 11 |
| 19 | 27.43 | 23.6 | 11800 | 1.4 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 425.8 | 430.2 | 6 |
| 20 | 25.03 | 32.6 | 11800 | 2,2 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 398.5 | 471.4 | 10 |
| 21 | 27.24 | 34.2 | 11800 | 1.5 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 425.8 | 433.2 | 7 |
| 22 | 27.07 | 34.9 | 11800 | 1.8 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 436.2 | 435.9 | 9 |
| 23 | 24.70 | 23.9 | 11800 | 2.1 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 422.3 | 477.7 | 4 |
| 24 | 26.34 | 34.0 | 11800 | 1.5 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 425.0 | 447.9 | 8 |
| 25 | 24.01 | 32.4 | 11800 | 1.3 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 486.9 | 491.5 | 6 |
| 26 | 27.12 | 28.6 | 11800 | 1.2 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 462.9 | 435.1 | 9 |
| 27 | 28.30 | 36.3 | 11800 | 1.4 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 440.5 | 416.9 | 9 |
| 28 | 28.06 | 29.8 | 11800 | 1.7 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 413.9 | 420.5 | 6 |
| 29 | 27.29 | 32.4 | 11800 | 1.3 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 460.4 | 432.4 | 8 |
| 30 | 26.67 | 28.6 | 11800 | 1.0 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 439.7 | 442.5 | 6 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

**Table B3: Traffic data collected along Modern Market route Peak Hour:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Trips | Travel Time (Min.) | Ave. Dwell Time  (Sec.) | Route Length (m) | Time Headway (Min.) | No. of Roundabouts | No. of Intersections | | Volume of Traffic | Travel Speed (m/min.) | No. of Stops |
|  | T-  Junction | Cross- Intersection |
| 1 | 22.82 | 43.3 | 13800 | 1.3 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 556.4 | 604.7 | 10 |
| 2 | 24.39 | 38.0 | 13800 | 2.6 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 522.5 | 565.8 | 12 |
| 3 | 24.86 | 32.1 | 13800 | 1.2 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 563.0 | 555.1 | 10 |
| 4 | 26.72 | 41.8 | 13800 | 2.4 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 518.7 | 516.5 | 9 |
| 5 | 27.56 | 54.0 | 13800 | 1.6 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 529.8 | 500.7 | 7 |
| 6 | 32.21 | 50.3 | 13800 | 1.5 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 522.0 | 428.4 | 10 |
| 7 | 24.28 | 54.0 | 13800 | 2.5 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 595.7 | 568.4 | 13 |
| 8 | 27.87 | 44.7 | 13800 | 2.8 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 567.9 | 495.2 | 12 |
| 9 | 27.79 | 49.0 | 13800 | 2.2 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 590.2 | 496.6 | 12 |
| 10 | 27.61 | 38.3 | 13800 | 2.0 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 559.4 | 499.8 | 10 |
| 11 | 25.12 | 47.6 | 13800 | 2.2 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 529.3 | 549.4 | 9 |
| 12 | 25.22 | 39.0 | 13800 | 1.6 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 504.3 | 547.2 | 11 |
| 13 | 27.68 | 37.5 | 13800 | 1.8 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 516.4 | 498.6 | 10 |
| 14 | 23.97 | 64.7 | 13800 | 2.1 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 551.3 | 575.7 | 12 |
| 15 | 25.61 | 45.9 | 13800 | 1.3 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 561.1 | 538.9 | 14 |
| 16 | 24.71 | 46.7 | 13800 | 1.6 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 562.1 | 558.5 | 10 |
| 17 | 25.22 | 43.2 | 13800 | 2.6 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 531.8 | 547.2 | 12 |
| 18 | 24.66 | 38.0 | 13800 | 2.4 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 598.0 | 559.6 | 13 |
| 19 | 25.95 | 48.0 | 13800 | 2.3 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 633.6 | 531.8 | 10 |
| 20 | 26.48 | 53.7 | 13800 | 2.1 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 622.3 | 521.2 | 13 |
| 21 | 26.57 | 39.6 | 13800 | 2.1 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 530.6 | 519.4 | 12 |
| 22 | 25.19 | 43.9 | 13800 | 1.9 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 484.7 | 547.8 | 14 |
| 23 | 31.89 | 49.0 | 13800 | 1.3 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 427.4 | 432.7 | 12 |
| 24 | 25.39 | 30.0 | 13800 | 1.2 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 579.1 | 543.5 | 10 |
| 25 | 28.28 | 48.2 | 13800 | 2.1 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 513.5 | 545.9 | 13 |
| 26 | 31.99 | 42.5 | 13800 | 2.1 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 487.7 | 431.4 | 12 |
| 27 | 25.79 | 59.3 | 13800 | 2.3 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 544.7 | 535.1 | 11 |
| 28 | 27.30 | 48.0 | 13800 | 1.9 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 571.5 | 505.5 | 12 |
| 29 | 25.77 | 32.0 | 13800 | 2.4 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 631.1 | 535.5 | 10 |
| 30 | 25.96 | 44.7 | 13800 | 1.9 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 577.8 | 531.6 | 12 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

**Table B4: Traffic data collected along Modern Market route Off-Peak Hour:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Trips | Travel Time (Min.) | Ave. Dwell Time  (Sec.) | Route Length (m) | Time Headway (Min.) | No. of Roundabouts | No. of Intersections | | Volume of Traffic | Travel Speed (m/min.) | No. of Stops |
|  | T-  Junction | Cross- Intersection |
| 1 | 25.13 | 37.6 | 13800 | 1.6 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 442.1 | 549.2 | 5 |
| 2 | 31.08 | 32.8 | 13800 | 1.2 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 379.1 | 444.0 | 13 |
| 3 | 26.03 | 28.9 | 13800 | 1.5 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 449.5 | 530.2 | 10 |
| 4 | 27.93 | 38.0 | 13800 | 1.1 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 423.2 | 494.1 | 6 |
| 5 | 26.51 | 29.6 | 13800 | 1.3 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 410.4 | 520.6 | 6 |
| 6 | 27.13 | 38.5 | 13800 | 1.6 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 446.3 | 508.7 | 9 |
| 7 | 26.57 | 28.7 | 13800 | 1.9 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 419.5 | 519.4 | 10 |
| 8 | 28.95 | 33.6 | 13800 | 2.0 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 356.0 | 476.7 | 5 |
| 9 | 29.92 | 32.3 | 13800 | 1.4 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 342.4 | 461.2 | 8 |
| 10 | 25.93 | 29.4 | 13800 | 1.8 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 465.9 | 532.2 | 4 |
| 11 | 25.68 | 33.2 | 13800 | 1.5 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 431.7 | 537.4 | 7 |
| 12 | 32.14 | 39.6 | 13800 | 2.1 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 373.4 | 429.4 | 10 |
| 13 | 26.49 | 33.6 | 13800 | 1.8 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 412.2 | 520.9 | 7 |
| 14 | 28.75 | 28.5 | 13800 | 1.2 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 430.0 | 480.0 | 9 |
| 15 | 25.70 | 34.9 | 13800 | 2.3 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 433.0 | 536.9 | 9 |
| 16 | 21.36 | 41.3 | 13800 | 1.6 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 456.9 | 646.1 | 4 |
| 17 | 25.37 | 38.4 | 13800 | 1.4 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 442.4 | 543.9 | 8 |
| 18 | 25.02 | 33.4 | 13800 | 2.1 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 497.3 | 551.6 | 10 |
| 19 | 29.11 | 23.1 | 13800 | 1.9 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 307.5 | 474.1 | 5 |
| 20 | 28.56 | 35.9 | 13800 | 1.6 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 344.5 | 483.2 | 7 |
| 21 | 27.57 | 39.5 | 13800 | 1.4 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 364.6 | 500.5 | 4 |
| 22 | 29.79 | 32.2 | 13800 | 1.1 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 377.4 | 463.2 | 12 |
| 23 | 29.16 | 29.0 | 13800 | 2.1 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 370.6 | 473.2 | 14 |
| 24 | 28.41 | 26.9 | 13800 | 1.9 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 395.7 | 485.8 | 9 |
| 25 | 25.05 | 24.4 | 13800 | 1.6 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 471.4 | 550.9 | 6 |
| 26 | 28.68 | 30.9 | 13800 | 2.0 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 430.0 | 481.2 | 10 |
| 27 | 27.98 | 34.7 | 13800 | 1.5 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 427.3 | 493.2 | 8 |
| 28 | 27.10 | 32.0 | 13800 | 1.3 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 426.8 | 509.2 | 8 |
| 29 | 27.91 | 39.4 | 13800 | 1.6 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 421.1 | 494.5 | 4 |
| 30 | 24.19 | 35.0 | 13800 | 1.4 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 497.4 | 570.5 | 9 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

**Table B5: Traffic data collected along Air force Base route Peak Hour**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Trips | Travel Time (Min.) | Ave. Dwell Time  (Sec.) | Route Length (m) | Time Headway (Min.) | No. of Roundabouts | No. of Intersections | | Volume of Traffic | Travel Speed (m/min.) | No. of Stops |
|  | T-  Junction | Cross- Intersection |
| 1 | 23.81 | 23.3 | 10200 | 1.3 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 628.2 | 428.4 | 10 |
| 2 | 25.47 | 28.0 | 10200 | 2.6 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 650.2 | 400.5 | 8 |
| 3 | 22.94 | 22.1 | 10200 | 1.2 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 706.3 | 444.6 | 10 |
| 4 | 25.40 | 31.8 | 10200 | 2.4 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 645.0 | 401.6 | 9 |
| 5 | 23.37 | 33.0 | 10200 | 1.6 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 592.8 | 436.5 | 10 |
| 6 | 24.24 | 30.3 | 10200 | 1.5 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 631.7 | 420.8 | 10 |
| 7 | 23.83 | 34.0 | 10200 | 2.5 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 610.0 | 428.0 | 11 |
| 8 | 24.72 | 34.7 | 10200 | 2.8 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 615.6 | 412.6 | 7 |
| 9 | 24.33 | 39.0 | 10200 | 2.2 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 721.2 | 419.2 | 15 |
| 10 | 21.71 | 33.3 | 10200 | 2.0 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 703.4 | 469.8 | 12 |
| 11 | 19.87 | 37.4 | 10200 | 2.2 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 775.8 | 513.3 | 9 |
| 12 | 22.58 | 34.3 | 10200 | 1.6 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 645.3 | 451.7 | 11 |
| 13 | 23.62 | 32.3 | 10200 | 1.8 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 628.2 | 431.8 | 10 |
| 14 | 23.29 | 24.7 | 10200 | 2.1 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 604.3 | 437.9 | 12 |
| 15 | 22.46 | 35.4 | 10200 | 1.3 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 680.6 | 454.1 | 14 |
| 16 | 26.25 | 36.2 | 10200 | 1.6 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 584.4 | 388.6 | 10 |
| 17 | 23.07 | 43.2 | 10200 | 2.6 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 654.6 | 442.1 | 12 |
| 18 | 21.00 | 32.2 | 10200 | 2.4 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 646.7 | 485.7 | 13 |
| 19 | 20.83 | 35.0 | 10200 | 2.3 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 681.5 | 489.7 | 10 |
| 20 | 22.99 | 38.9 | 10200 | 2.1 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 650.0 | 443.7 | 11 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

**Table B6: Traffic data collected along Air force Base route Off-Peak Hour**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Trips | Travel Time (Min.) | Ave. Dwell Time  (Sec.) | Route Length (m) | Time Headway (Min.) | No. of Roundabouts | No. of Intersections | | Volume of Traffic | Travel Speed (m/min.) | No. of Stops |
|  | T-  Junction | Cross- Intersection |
| 1 | 24.14 | 20.0 | 10200 | 1.0 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 424.0 | 422.50 | 8 |
| 2 | 23.42 | 22.0 | 10200 | 3.2 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 417.1 | 435.50 | 6 |
| 3 | 25.36 | 22.5 | 10200 | 1.4 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 394.5 | 402.20 | 8 |
| 4 | 20.80 | 21.9 | 10200 | 1.4 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 555.0 | 490.40 | 10 |
| 5 | 24.33 | 30.8 | 10200 | 1.8 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 479.1 | 419.20 | 10 |
| 6 | 21.49 | 32.3 | 10200 | 1.5 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 537.7 | 474.60 | 6 |
| 7 | 22.14 | 28.0 | 10200 | 2.8 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 531.4 | 460.70 | 10 |
| 8 | 21.64 | 30.7 | 10200 | 2.2 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 553.8 | 471.30 | 8 |
| 9 | 21.36 | 28.0 | 10200 | 2.7 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 518.3 | 477.50 | 11 |
| 10 | 20.56 | 29.3 | 10200 | 2.8 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 554.9 | 496.10 | 10 |
| 11 | 22.14 | 33.2 | 10200 | 2.3 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 534.8 | 460.70 | 10 |
| 12 | 20.93 | 31.3 | 10200 | 2.6 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 543.4 | 487.30 | 9 |
| 13 | 21.18 | 30.9 | 10200 | 2.8 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 555.7 | 481.60 | 10 |
| 14 | 22.52 | 34.9 | 10200 | 2.3 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 443.3 | 452.90 | 7 |
| 15 | 21.18 | 25.4 | 10200 | 2.3 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 559.7 | 481.60 | 9 |
| 16 | 22.18 | 39.2 | 10200 | 2.6 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 537.6 | 459.90 | 7 |
| 17 | 20.75 | 34.2 | 10200 | 2.3 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 552.3 | 491.60 | 10 |
| 18 | 22.91 | 29.7 | 10200 | 2.9 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 511.6 | 445.20 | 10 |
| 19 | 21.83 | 33.8 | 10200 | 1.3 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 532.8 | 467.30 | 10 |
| 20 | 21.32 | 32.2 | 10200 | 2.6 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 561.1 | 478.40 | 9 |

**Source:** (Ewoh,2019)

**Appendix C**

**The Average Travel Time Tw In The Westbound And Te In The Eastbound Direction Is Estimated From:**

The volume (Ve) in the eastbound and westbound (Vw)direction can then be obtained from the expression for Modern Market Route (peak hour)

1 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (472+46−13)60 = 550.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

28+27

Average V=556.4

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (461+68−14)60 = 561.8

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

28+27

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =27-(68−14)60 = 21.23

w w 𝑉𝑤

561.8

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-(46−13)60 = 24.41

e e 𝑉𝑒

550 .9

2 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (480+52−20)60 = 529.7

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 30+28

Average V=522.5

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (450+77−29)60 = 515.2

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

30+28

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =28-(77−29)60 = 22.41

w w 𝑉𝑤

515.2

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-(52−20)60 = 26.37

e e 𝑉𝑒

529.9

3V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (490+55−18)60 = 564.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

27+29

Average V=563

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (502+49−27)60 = 561.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

27+29

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =29-(49−27)60 = 26.65

w w 𝑉𝑤

561.4

T =T – (𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-(55−18)60 = 23.07

e e 𝑉𝑒

564.6

4 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (507+60−29)60 = 520.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

33+29

V= 518.7

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (491+78−35)60 = 516.8

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

33+29

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =29-(78−35)60 = 24.01

w w 𝑉𝑤

516.8

T =T – (𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =33-(60−29)60 = 29.43

e e 𝑉𝑒

520.6

5 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (504+47−20)60 = 513.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+32

Average V=529.9

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (530+59−25)60 = 545.8

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

30+32

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =32-(59−25)60 = 28.26

w w 𝑉𝑤

545.8

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-(47−20)60 = 26.85

e e 𝑉𝑒

513 .9

6 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (574+21−47)60 = 548

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

31+29

Average V=522

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (509+16−29)60 = 496

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

31+29

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =29-(16−29)60 = 30.57

w w 𝑉𝑤

496

T =T – (𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =31-(21−47)60 = 33.85

e e 𝑉𝑒

548

7V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (523+70−33)60 = 600

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 29+27

Average V=595.7

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (515+55−18)60 = 591.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

29+27

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =29-(70−33)60 = 25.3

w w 𝑉𝑤

591.4

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-(55−18)60 = 23.3

e e 𝑉𝑒

600

8 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (496+47−18)60 = 533.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 30+29

Average V=567.9

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (518+50−20)60 = 557.3

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

30+29

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =29-(50−20)60 = 25.77

w w 𝑉𝑤

557.3

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-(47−18)60 = 26.74

e e 𝑉𝑒

533 .9

9 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (499+37−15)60 = 512.5

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 30+31

Average V=590.2

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (477+52−21)60 = 499.7

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

30+31

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =30-(37−15)60 = 27.424

w w 𝑉𝑤

512.5

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =31-(52−21)60 = 27.278

e e 𝑉𝑒

499.7

10 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (572+46−15)60 = 583.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 29+33

Average V=559.4

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (521+50−18)60 = 535.2

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

29+33

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =33-(50−18)60 = 229.413

w w 𝑉𝑤

535.2

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =29-(46−15)60 = 25.813

e e 𝑉𝑒

583.6

11 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (600+50−18)60 = 642.7

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+29

Average V=529.3

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (566+61−15)60 = 622.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

30+29

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =29-(61−15)60 = 24.565

w w 𝑉𝑤

622.4

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-(50−18)60 = 27.013

e e 𝑉𝑒

642.7

12 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (517+51−9)60 = 578.3

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

28+30

Average V=504.3

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (496+33−13)60 = 533.8

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

28+30

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =30-(33−13)60 = 27.752

w w 𝑉𝑤

533.8

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-(51−9)60 = 23.642

e e 𝑉𝑒

578.3

13. V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (512+49−18)60 = 534.1

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

31+30

Average V=516.4

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (489+38−20)60 = 498.7

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

31+30

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =30-(38−20)60 = 27.834

w w 𝑉𝑤

498.7

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =31-(49−18)60 = 27.517

e e 𝑉𝑒

534.1

14 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (594+48−12)60 = 675

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

29+27

Average V=551.3

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (586+56−18)60 = 668.6

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

29+27

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =27-(56−18)60 = 23.59

w w 𝑉𝑤

668.6

T =T – (𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =29-(48−12)60 = 25.8

e e 𝑉𝑒

675

15 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (540+38−15)60 = 592.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

27+30

Average V=561.1

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (600+41−10)60 = 666.3

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

27+30

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =30-(41−10)60 = 27.208

w w 𝑉𝑤

666.3

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-(38−15)60 = 24.671

e e 𝑉𝑒

592.6

16 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (493+47−12)60 = 555.8

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

29+28

Average V=562.1

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (504+49−13)60 = 568.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

29+28

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =28-(49−13)60 = 24.2

w w 𝑉𝑤

568.4

T =T – (𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =29-(47−12)60 = 25.222

e e 𝑉𝑒

555.8

17. V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (512+53−18)60 = 565.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 28+30

Average V=531.8

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (449+62−30)60 = 497.6

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

28+30

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =30-(62−30)60 = 26.141

w w 𝑉𝑤

497.6

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-(53−18)60 = 24.289

e e 𝑉𝑒

565 .9

18. V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (500+62−20)60 = 551.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+29

Average V=598.0

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (550+70−15)60 = 615.3

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

30+29

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =29-(70−15)60 = 23.64

w w 𝑉𝑤

615.3

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-(62−20)60 = 25.428

e e 𝑉𝑒

551.2

19. V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒 −𝑃𝑒 )60 = (549+49−12)60 = 606.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+28

Average V=633.6

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (612+45−18)60 = 661.0

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

30+28

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =28-(45−18)60 = 25.55

w w 𝑉𝑤

661

T =T – (𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-(49−12)60 = 26.338

e e 𝑉𝑒

606.2

20. V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (602+48−18)60 = 611.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

29+33

Average V=622.3

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (590+70−12)60 = 627.1

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

29+33

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =33-(70−12)60 = 27.451

w w 𝑉𝑤

627.1

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =29-(48−18)60 = 26.057

e e 𝑉𝑒

611.6

21 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (473+50−26)60 = 523.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 30+27

Average V=530.6

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (501+41−31)60 = 537.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

30+27

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =27-(41−31)60 = 25.88

w w 𝑉𝑤

537 .9

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-(50−26)60 = 27.25

e e 𝑉𝑒

523.2

22 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (411+46−16)60 = 456.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+28

Average V=484.7

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (463+47−14)60 = 513.1

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

30+28

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =28-(47−14)60 = 24.14

w w 𝑉𝑤

513.1

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-(46−16)60 = 26.05

e e 𝑉𝑒

456.2

23 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (523+29−29)60 = 475.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

36+30

Average V=427.4

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (403+37−23)60 = 379.1

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

36+30

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =30-(37−23)60 = 27.78

w w 𝑉𝑤

379.1

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =36-(29−29)60 = 36.0

e e 𝑉𝑒

475.6

24 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (471+39−16)60 = 532.5

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 27+29

Average V=579.1

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (560+40−16)60 = 625.7

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

27+29

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =29-(40−16)60 = 25.39

w w 𝑉𝑤

625.7

T =T – (𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-(39−13)60 = 24.07

e e 𝑉𝑒

532.5

25 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (487+48−28)60 = 498.7

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

31+30

Average V=513.5

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (519+31−13)60 = 528.2

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 61

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =30-2.04 =27.96

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =31-2.41 =28.28

e e 𝑉𝑒

26. V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (596+41−19)60 = 529.7

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 36+34

Average V=487.7

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (479+58−17)60 = 445.7

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 70

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =34-5.52=28.48

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =362-2.49=33.51

e e 𝑉𝑒

27 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (516+39−22)60 = 551.4

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

28+30

Average V=544.7

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (479+58−17)60 = 537.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =30-4.57=25.43

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-1.85=26.15

e e 𝑉𝑒

28 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (587+60−18)60 = 498.7608.7

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 30+32

Average V=571.5

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (523+43−14)60 = 534.2

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 62

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =32-3.26=28.74

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-4.14=25.86

e e 𝑉𝑒

29 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (600+50−15)60 = 668.4

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

28+29

Average V=631.1

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (541+47−24)60 = 593.7

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 57

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =29-2.32=26.68

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-3.14=24.86

e e 𝑉𝑒

30 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (503+56−18)60 = 559.7

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

31+27

Average V=577.8

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (556+40−20)60 = 595.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =27-2.01=24.99

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =31-4.07=26.93

e e 𝑉𝑒

The Average Travel Time Tw In The Westbound And Te In The Eastbound Direction Is Estimated From:

The volume (Ve) in the eastbound and westbound (Vw)direction can then be obtained from the expression for Wadata Market Route (peak hour)

1 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (509+32−23)60 = 501.3

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

26+36

Average V=482.9

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (449+49−18)60 = 464.5

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 62

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =36-4.00=32.0

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =26-1.08=26.92

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 29.46

2 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (419+36−15)60 = 455.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 30+28

Average V=495.6

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (501+38−21)60 = 535.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-1.90=26.1

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-2.77=27.23

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 26.67

3 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (507+50−25)60 = 560

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 27+30

Average V=526.9

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (444+43−18)60 = 493.7

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 57

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30-3.04=26.96

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-2.68=24.32

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 25.64

4 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (511+41−17)60 = 583.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 28+27

Average V=549.8

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (459+34−20)60 = 516

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 55

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =27-1.63=25.37

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-2.47=25.53

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 25.45

5 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (490+25−30)60 = 501.7

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

28+30

Average V=541.6

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (555+30−23)60 = 581.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30-0.72=29.28

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28+0.59=28.59

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 28.94

6 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (520+50−35)60 = 535

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 28+32

Average V=568.5

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (591+39−28)60 = 602

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 60

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =32-1.09=30.91

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-1.68=26.32

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 28.62

7 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (509+59−22)60 = 555.3

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+29

Average V=593.9

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (601+47−26)60 = 632.5

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 59

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =29-1.99=27.01

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-3.99=26.01

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 26.51

8 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (580+53−28)60 = 558.5

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 36+29

Average V=548.8

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (553+47−16)60 = 539.1

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 65

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =29-3.45=25.55

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =36-2.69=33.31

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 29.43

9 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (567+60−15)60 = 644.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

27+30

Average V=707.9

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (698+53−18)60 = 771.7

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 57

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30-2.72=27.28

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-4.19=22.81

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 25.05

10 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (600+58−29)60 = 618.7

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+31

Average V=600.5

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (564+41−13)60 = 582.3

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 61

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =31-2.89=27.11

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-2.81=28.19

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 27.65

11 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (510+38−12)60 = 564.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

27+30

Average V=517.9

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (417+47−16)60 = 471.6

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 57

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30-3.94=26.06

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-2.76=24.24

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 25.15

12 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (418+41−20)60 = 462.1

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

29+28

Average V=472.7

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (427+50−18)60 = 483.2

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 57

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-3.97=24.04

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =29-2.73=26.27

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 25.15

13 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (503+33−15)60 = 538.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+28

Average V=502.2

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (420+43−13)60 = 465.5

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-3.87=24.13

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-2.00=28.0

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 26.07

14 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (511+37−25)60 = 541.0

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

28+30

Average V=516.2

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (450+41−16)60 = 491.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30-3.05=26.67

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-1.33=26.67

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 26.81

15 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (417+47−22)60 = 457.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

28+30

Average V=506.4

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (512+39−14)60 = 555.5

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30-2.70=27.3

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-3.28=24.72

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 26.01

16 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (590+53−31)60 = 592.3

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 30+32

Average V=558.9

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (521+48−26)60 = 525.5

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 62

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =32-2.51=29.49

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-2.23=27.77

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 28.63

17 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (450+50−18)60 = 482

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 32+28

Average V=536.0

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (581+37−28)60 = 590

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 60

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-0.92=27.08

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =32-3.98=28.02

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 27.55

18 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (456+41−30)60 = 483.1

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 29+29

Average V=546.8

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (561+52−23)60 = 610.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =29-2.85=26.15

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =29-1.37=27.63

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 26.89

19 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (521+60−27)60 = 573.1

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

28+30

Average V=540

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (462+47−19)60 = 506.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30-3.31=26.69

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-3.46=24.54

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 25.62

20 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒 −𝑃𝑒 )60 = (597+58−30)60 = 604.8

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 30+32

Average V=582.6

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (566+39−26)60 = 560.3

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 62

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =32-1.39=30.61

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-2.78=27.22

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 28.92

21 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (501+43−15)60 = 587.8

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

26+28

Average V=553.4

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (437+50−20)60 = 518.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 54

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-3.47=24.53

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =26-2.86=23.14

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 23.84

22 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (417+38−20)60 = 450

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+28

Average V=470.7

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (453+40−18)60 = 491.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-2.9=25.1

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-2.40=27.6

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 26.35

23 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (433+35−10)60 = 482.1

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

27+30

Average V=524.2

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (503+48−13)60 = 566.3

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 57

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30-3.71=26.29

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-3.11=23.89

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 25.09

24 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (521+47−16)60 = 561.4

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 30+29

Average V=523.3

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (456+39−18)60 = 485.1

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 59

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =29-2.59=26.41

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-3.31=26.69

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 26.55

25 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒 −𝑃𝑒 )60 = (513+37−22)60 = 536.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

28+31

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (405+39−16)60 = 435.3

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 59

Average V=486.1

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =31-3.17=27.83

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-1.68=26.32

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 27.08

26 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒 −𝑃𝑒 )60 = (497+50−26)60 = 512.5

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

32+29

Average V=534.1

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (536+47−18)60 = 555.7

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 61

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =29-3.13=29.19

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =32-2.81=25.87

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 27.53

27 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒 −𝑃𝑒 )60 = (511+39−16)60 = 534

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒 30+30

Average V=602.5

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (631+63−23)60 = 671

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 60

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30-3.58=26.42

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-2.58=27.42

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 26.92

28 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (609+46−30)60 = 635.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

31+28

Average V=626.9

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (577+41−12)60 = 616.3

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 59

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-2.82=25.18

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =31-1.51=29.49

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 27.34

29 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (600+57−20)60 = 658.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

28+30

Average V=613.4

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (516+48−15)60 = 567.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30-3.49=26.51

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-3.37=24.63

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 25.57

30 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒 −𝑃𝑒 )60 = (571+50−18)60 = 574.3

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+33

Average V=559.1

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (563+34−26)60 = 543.8

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 63

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =33-0.88=32.12

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-3.34=26.66

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 29.39

The Average Travel Time Tw In The Westbound And Te In The Eastbound Direction Is Estimated From:

The volume (Ve) in the eastbound and westbound (Vw)direction can then be obtained from the expression for Air force base Route (peak hour)

1 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (499+29−16)60 = 614.4

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

26+24

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (523+32−20)60 = 642

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 50

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =24-1.22=22.878

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =26-1.269=24.731

e e 𝑉𝑒

T= 24.73

2 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (600+30−30)60 = 692.3

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

25+27

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (518+36−27)60 = 608.1

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 52

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =27-0.888=26.112

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =25-0.1733=24.83

e e 𝑉𝑒

3 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (594+21−17)60 = 747.5

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

20+28

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (512+39−19)60 = 665

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 48

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-1.805=26.195

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =20-0.321=19.679

e e 𝑉𝑒

4 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (604+32−40)60 = 662.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

25+29

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (541+40−16)60 = 627.8

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 54

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =29-2.294=26.78

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =25-0.906=24.094

e e 𝑉𝑒

5 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (506+44−30)60 = 625

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

27+23

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (450+46−28)60 = 561.6

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 50

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =23-1.923=21.077

w w 𝑉𝑤

T = T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-1.346=25.654

e e 𝑉𝑒

6 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (498+53−25)60 = 595.5

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

25+28

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (567+46−23)60 = 667.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 53

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-1.707=26.293

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =25-2.821=22.179

e e 𝑉𝑒

7 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (497+34−16)60 = 605.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

27+24

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (506+44−16)60 = 614.1

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 51

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =24-1.563=22.437

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-1.782=25.218

e e 𝑉𝑒

8 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (490+28−27)60 = 589.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

22+28

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (530+35−30)60 = 642

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 50

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-0.467=27.533

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =22-0.102=21.898

e e 𝑉𝑒

9 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (603+39−33)60 = 730.8

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

24+26

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (583+36−26)60 = 711.6

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 50

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =26-0.843=25.157

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =24-0.493=23.507

e e 𝑉𝑒

10 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (561+35−15)60 = 741.7

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

27+20

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (499+40−18)60 = 665.1

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 47

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =20-1.985=18.015

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-1.618=25.382

e e 𝑉𝑒

11 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (499+40−20)60 = 724.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

20+23

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (571+34−12)60 = 827.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 43

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =23-1.595=21.405

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =20-1.657=18.343

e e 𝑉𝑒

12 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (480+32−24)60 = 622.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

25+22

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (511+43−31)60 = 667.7

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 47

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =22-1.078=20.922

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =25-0.771=24.229

e e 𝑉𝑒

13 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (521+42−26)60 = 644.4

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

26+24

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (497+36−23)60 = 612

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 50

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =24-1.275=22.725

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =26-1.489=24.511

e e 𝑉𝑒

14 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (462+38−18)60 = 590.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

26+23

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (501+29−25)60 = 618.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 49

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =23-0.388=22.612

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =26-2.033=23.967

e e 𝑉𝑒

15 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (510+41−26)60 = 656.25

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

27+21

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (544+33−23)60 = 705

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 48

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =21-1.702=19.298

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-1.371=25.629

e e 𝑉𝑒

16 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (570+33−23)60 = 644.4

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

26+28

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (467+35−30)60 = 524.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 54

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-0.572=27.428

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =26-0.931=25.069

e e 𝑉𝑒

17 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (486+49−18)60 = 620.4

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

26+24

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (564+32−22)60 = 688.8

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 50

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =24-0.871=23.129

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =26-2.998=23.002

e e 𝑉𝑒

18 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (501+50−28)60 = 697.3

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

20+25

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (436+41−28)60 = 596

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 45

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =25-1.107=23.893

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =20-1.893=18.107

e e 𝑉𝑒

19 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (480+46−18)60 = 662.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

24+22

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (516+42−21)60 = 700.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 46

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =22-1.799=20.201

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =24-2.538=21.465

e e 𝑉𝑒

20 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (520+39−28)60 = 663.75

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

23+25

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (498+30−19)60 = 636.25

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 48

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =25-1.037=23.963

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =23-0.994=22.006

e e 𝑉𝑒

Off-Peak Average Travel Time Tw In The Westbound And Te In The Eastbound Direction Is Estimated From:

The volume (Ve) in the eastbound and westbound (Vw)direction can then be obtained from the expression for modern market Route (off-peak)

1 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (359+31−20)60 = 418.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

25+28

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (402+27−18)60 = 465.3

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 53

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-1.161=26.84

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =25-1.576=23.42

e e 𝑉𝑒

2 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (389+33−16)60 = 369.1

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

31+35

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (421+29−22)60 = 389.1

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 66

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =35-1.079=33.92

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =31-2.764=28.24

e e 𝑉𝑒

3 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (411+35−25)60 = 459.3

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

25+30

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (391+30−18)60 = 439.6

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 55

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30-1.638=28.36

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =25-1.306=23.69

e e 𝑉𝑒

4 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (393+26−20)60 = 420

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+27

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (403+29−27)60 = 426.3

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 57

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =27-0.2815=26.72

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-0.8571=29.14

e e 𝑉𝑒

5 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (396+31−25)60 = 430.7

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

24+32

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (350+35−21)60 = 390

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 56

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =32-2.154=229.85

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =24-0.8359=23.16

e e 𝑉𝑒

6 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (412+19−16)60 = 444.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+26

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (408+30−20)60 = 447.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 56

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =26-1.339=24.66

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-0.4049=29.59

e e 𝑉𝑒

7 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (356+27−24)60 = 398.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

29+25

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (399+15−18)60 = 440

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 54

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =25+0.4091=24.59

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =29-0.4512=28.55

e e 𝑉𝑒

8 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (299+25−18)60 = 306

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

31+29

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (401+30−25)60 = 406

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 60

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =29-0.7389=28.26

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =31-1.373=29.63

e e 𝑉𝑒

9 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (315+22−22)60 = 325.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

25+33

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (358+18−29)60 = 358.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =33+1.839=34.84

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =25-0=25.0

e e 𝑉𝑒

10 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (398+30−16)60 = 466.4

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

23+30

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (416+15−20)60 = 465.3

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 53

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30+0.6448=30.65

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =23-1.801=21.20

e e 𝑉𝑒

11 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (378+33−25)60 = 428.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

26+28

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (380+29−18)60 = 434.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 54

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-1.519=26.48

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =26-1.119=24.88

e e 𝑉𝑒

12 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (398+31−16)60 = 381.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+35

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (406+19−29)60 = 365.5

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 65

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =35+1.642=2=36.64

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-2.361=27.64

e e 𝑉𝑒

13 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (390+25−22)60 = 436.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

29+25

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (345+28−24)60 = 387.8

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 54

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =25-0.6189=24.38

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =29-0.4123=28.59

e e 𝑉𝑒

14 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (443+27−18)60 = 452

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

29+31

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (399+34−25)60 = 408

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 60

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =31-1.324=29.68

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =29-1.195=27.81

e e 𝑉𝑒

15 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (345+38−30)60 = 399.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

24+29

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (409+29−26)60 = 466.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 53

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =29-0.3859=28.61

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =24-1.201=22.79

e e 𝑉𝑒

16 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (301+15−22)60 = 410.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

18+25

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (350+15−22)60 = 503.7

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 43

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =25-1.310=23.69

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =18+1.024=19.024

e e 𝑉𝑒

17 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (391+26−25)60 = 461.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

24+27

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (359+30−29)60 = 423.5

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 51

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =27-0.1417=26.86

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =24-0.1301=23.87

e e 𝑉𝑒

18 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (450+33−19)60 = 535.4

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

29+23

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (395+28−25)60 = 459.2

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 52

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =23-0.3919=22.61

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =29-1.569=27.43

e e 𝑉𝑒

19 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (305+17−16)60 = 316

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+28

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (291+25−27)60 = 298.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28+0.4015=28.40

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-0.1899=29.81

e e 𝑉𝑒

20 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (335+29−30)60 = 345.5

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

26+32

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (326+31−25)60 = 343.5

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =32-1.048=30.95

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =26+0.1737=26.17

e e 𝑉𝑒

21 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (412+27−22)60 = 424.1

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+29

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (284+33−17)60 = 305.1

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 59

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =29-3.147=25.85

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-0.7074=29.29

e e 𝑉𝑒

22 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (368+38−22)60 = 371.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

34+28

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (397+30−31)60 = 383.2

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 62

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28+0.1566=28.16

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =34-2.583=31.42

e e 𝑉𝑒

23 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (397+29−35)60 = 360.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+27

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (414+26−28)60 = 380.3

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 65

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =27+0.3155=27.32

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30+0.9975=30.99

e e 𝑉𝑒

24 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (401+29−35)60 = 420

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

28+30

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (356+18−15)60 = 371.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30-0.4847=29.52

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-0.7143=27.29

e e 𝑉𝑒

25 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (399+28−25)60 = 463.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

25+27

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (403+30−18)60 = 478.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 52

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =27-1.5035=25.49

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =25-0.3880=24.61

e e 𝑉𝑒

26 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (412+27−25)60 = 421.0

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+29

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (422+25−15)60 = 439.3

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 59

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =29-1.366=27.63

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-0.2850=29.72

e e 𝑉𝑒

27 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (386+30−26)60 = 403.5

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

27+31

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (425+29−18)60 = 451.0

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =31-1.463=29.54

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-0.5948=26.41

e e 𝑉𝑒

28 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (394+25−24)60 = 447.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

29+24

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (368+19−28)60 = 406.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 53

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =24+1.329=25.33

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =29-0.1342=28.87

e e 𝑉𝑒

29 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (377+27−18)60 = 413.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+26

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (408+22−30)60 = 428.6

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 56

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =26+1.119=27.12

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-1.306=28.69

e e 𝑉𝑒

30 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (390+30−28)60 = 470.4

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

28+22

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (425+27−15)60 = 524.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 50

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =22-1.373=20.63

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-0.2551=27.75

e e 𝑉𝑒

The Volume (Ve) In The Eastbound And Westbound (Vw) Direction Can Then Be Obtained From The Expression For Wadata Market Route (off-peak)

1 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (296+25−9)60 = 425.5

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

24+20

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (311+21−12)60 = 436.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 44

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =20-1.237=18.76

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =24-2.256=21.74

e e 𝑉𝑒

2 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (315+33−15)60 = 370

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

29+25

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (309+20−18)60 = 345.6

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 54

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =25-0.3472=24.65

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =29-2.919=26.081

e e 𝑉𝑒

3 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (389+27−12)60 = 425.3

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+27

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (401+23−18)60 = 427.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 57

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =27-0.7019=26.298

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-2.116=27.88

e e 𝑉𝑒

4 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (316+31−20)60 = 363.3

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

25+29

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (299+26−15)60 = 344.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 54

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =29-1.916=27.08

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =25-1.817=23.18

e e 𝑉𝑒

5 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (325+25−19)60 = 413.8

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

22+26

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (350+18−14)60 = 442.5

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 48

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =26-0.5424=25.46

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =22-0.8699=21.13

e e 𝑉𝑒

6 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (386+32−17)60 = 407.8

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

31+28

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (419+26−20)60 = 432.2

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 59

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =28-0.8329=27.17

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =31-2.207=28.79

e e 𝑉𝑒

7 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (334+29−15)60 = 4409.4

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

27+24

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (361+21−18)60 = 428.2

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 51

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =24-0.4204=23.58

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-2.052=24.95

e e 𝑉𝑒

8 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (343+30−16)60 = 351.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

29+32

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (420+27−22)60 = 418

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 61

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =32-0.7177=31.28

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =29-2.392=26.61

e e 𝑉𝑒

9 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (403+22−25)60 = 461.5

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

25+27

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (359+25−18)60 = 422.3

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 52

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =27-0.9946=26.01

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =25+0.3900=25.39

e e 𝑉𝑒

10 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (380+27−13)60 = 414.7

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+27

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (456+19−10)60 = 489.5

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 57

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =27-1.103=25.897

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-2.026=27.97

e e 𝑉𝑒

11 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (408+30−18)60 = 434.5

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

28+30

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (398+17−26)60 = 402.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30+1.342=31.34

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-1.657=26.34

e e 𝑉𝑒

12 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (381+29−30)60 = 407.1

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

31+25

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (333+20−18)60 = 358.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 56

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =25-0.3344=24.67

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =31+0.1474=31.15

e e 𝑉𝑒

13 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (396+25−16)60 = 433.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

26+30

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (429+28−13)60 = 475.7

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 56

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30-1.892=28.11

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =26-1.245=24.76

e e 𝑉𝑒

14 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (420+30−18)60 = 432

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

33+27

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (451+28−20)60 = 459

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 60

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =27-1.046=25.95

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =33-1.667=31.33

e e 𝑉𝑒

15 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (405+22−16)60 = 456.7

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

25+29

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (381+25−13)60 = 436.7

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 54

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =29-1.649=27.35

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =25-6.349=18.65

e e 𝑉𝑒

16 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (380+26−18)60 = 415.7

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+26

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (412+21−20)60 = 442.5

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 56

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =26-0.1356=25.86

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-1.155=28.85

e e 𝑉𝑒

17 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (416+31−15)60 = 424.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

33+28

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (431+33−25)60 = 431.8

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 61

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-1.112=26.89

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =33-2.259=30.74

e e 𝑉𝑒

18 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (378+28−22)60 = 394.1

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

28+30

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (344+25−18)60 = 363.1

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =30-1.157=28.84

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-0.9135=27.09

e e 𝑉𝑒

19 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (410+18−14)60 = 435.8

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

27+30

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (384+31−20)60 = 415.8

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 57

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30-1.587=28.41

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-0.5507=26.45

e e 𝑉𝑒

20 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (364+27−23)60 = 416.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

25+28

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (321+33−18)60 = 380.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 53

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-2.366=25.63

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =25-0.5761=24.42

e e 𝑉𝑒

21 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (403+36−25)60 = 435.8

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

31+26

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (388+28−21)60 = 415.8

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 57

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =26-1.010=24.99

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =31-1.515=29.49

e e 𝑉𝑒

22 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (375+19−19)60 = 416.7

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

25+29

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (411+25−26)60 = 455.6

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 54

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =29+0.1317=29.13

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =25-0=25.0

e e 𝑉𝑒

23 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (334+27−16)60 = 398.1

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

28+24

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (380+29−22)60 = 446.5

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 52

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =24-0.9407=223.06

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =28-1.658=26.34

e e 𝑉𝑒

24 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (408+29−20)60 = 463.3

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

26+28

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (347+15−14)60 = 386.7

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 54

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-0.1552=27.85

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =26-1.166=24.83

e e 𝑉𝑒

25 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (390+33−12)60 = 474.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

22+30

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (422+29−18)60 = 499.6

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 52

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =30-1.321=28.679

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =22-2.657=19.34

e e 𝑉𝑒

26 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (399+21−23)60 = 425.4

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

29+27

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (450+32−15)60 = 500.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 56

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =27-2.038=24.96

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =29+0.2821=29.28

e e 𝑉𝑒

27 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (471+26−19)60 = 478

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

27+33

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (386+29−12)60 = 403

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 60

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =33-2.531=30.47

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-0.8787=26.12

e e 𝑉𝑒

28 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (406+33−21)60 = 425.1

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+29

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (388+26−18)60 = 402.7

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 59

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =29-1.192=27.81

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-1.694=28.31

e e 𝑉𝑒

29 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (455+35−16)60 = 490.4

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

27+31

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (408+31−23)60 = 430.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =31-1.115=29.89

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =27-2.325=24.68

e e 𝑉𝑒

30 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (425+33−17)60 = 490.4

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

30+28

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (391+38−20)60 = 423.1

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒 58

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =28-2.553=25.45

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =30-2.104=27.89

e e 𝑉𝑒

The Volume (Ve) In The Eastbound And Westbound (Vw) Direction Can Then Be Obtained From The Expression For Air force base Route (off-peak)

1 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (356+23−22)60 = 431

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

20.4+29.6

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (334+30−19)60 = 417

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

49.6

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =29.6-1.583=28.02

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =20.4-0.1392=20.26

e e 𝑉𝑒

2 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (316+29−21)60 = 408

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

22.3+25.3

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (341+20−23)60 = 426.1

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

47.6

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =25.3+0.4224=25.72

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =22.3-1.177=21.12

e e 𝑉𝑒

3 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (356+22−20)60 = 425.3

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

22.9+27.6

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (309+19−22)60 = 363.6

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

50.5

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =27.6+0.4951=28.10

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =22.9-0.2822=22.62

e e 𝑉𝑒

4 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (383+20−19)60 = 532.1

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

20.3+23.0

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (414+24−21)60 = 577.8

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

43.3

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =23.0-0.3115=22.69

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =20.3-1.386=18.91

e e 𝑉𝑒

5 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (372+20−23)60 = 462.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

22.1+25.8

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (399+22−25)60 = 496.0

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

47.9

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =25.8+0.3629=26.16

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =22.1+0.3629=22.49

e e 𝑉𝑒

6 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (391+23−21)60 = 544.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

23.5+19.8

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (382+20−19)60 = 530.7

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

43.3

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =19.8-0.1131=19.69

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =23.5-0.2204=23.28

e e 𝑉𝑒

7 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (386+23−22)60 = 520.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

21.0+23.6

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (401+19−17)60 = 542.2

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

44.6

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =23.6-0.2213=23.38

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =21.0-0.1153=20.89

e e 𝑉𝑒

8 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (406+19−21)60 = 557.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

19.2+24.3

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (395+24−20)60 = 550.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

43.5

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =24.3-0.4361=23.86

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =19.2+0.2154=19.42

e e 𝑉𝑒

9 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (384+18−15)60 = 536.3

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

22.7+20.6

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (359+20−18)60 = 500.2

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

43.3

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =20.6-0.2399=20.36

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =22.7-0.3356=22.36

e e 𝑉𝑒

10 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (367+18−20)60 = 531.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

19.8+21.4

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (394+23−20)60 = 423.1

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

41.2

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =21.4-0.3113=21.09

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =19.8+0.2257=20.03

e e 𝑉𝑒

11 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (381+20−23)60 = 518.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

20.1+23.6

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (403+20−22)60 = 550.6

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

43.7

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =23.6+0.2179=23.82

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =20.1+0.3469=20.45

e e 𝑉𝑒

12 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (369+22−21)60 = 523.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

22.7+19.7

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (394+25−21)60 = 563.2

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

42.4

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =19.7-0.4261=19.27

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =22.7-0.1146=22.59

e e 𝑉𝑒

13 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (376+23−20)60 = 535.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

21.6+20.89

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (410+20−22)60 = 576.1

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

42.49

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =20.89+0.2083=21.10

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =21.6-0.3363=21.26

e e 𝑉𝑒

14 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (353+23−19)60 = 476.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

23.6+21.34

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (311+19−23)60 = 409.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

44.94

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =21.34+0.5855=21.93

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =23.6-0.5036=23.10

e e 𝑉𝑒

15 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (378+25−22)60 = 530.4

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

22.4+20.7

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (419+28−24)60 = 588.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

43.1

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =20.7-0.4075=20.29

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =22.4-0.3394=22.06

e e 𝑉𝑒

16 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (403+22−19)60 = 540.9

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

21.93+23.1

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (398+23−20)60 = 540.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

45.03

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =23.1-0.3369=22.76

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =21.93-0.3328=21.60

e e 𝑉𝑒

17 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (384+20−23)60 = 556.6

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

19.73+21.34

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (376+19−20)60 = 547.9

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

41.07

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =21.34+0.1095=21.45

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =19.73+0.3234=20.05

e e 𝑉𝑒

18 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (390+22−23)60 = 512.2

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

22.56+23.01

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (389+22−23)60 = 423.1

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

45.57

T = T – ( Ow −Pw )60 =23.01+0.1174=23.13

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =22.56+0.1171=27.89

e e 𝑉𝑒

19 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (379+20−17)60 = 515.8

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

22.67+21.77

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (403+23−19)60 = 549.5

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

44.44

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =21.77-0.4368=21.33

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =22.67-0.3490=22.32

e e 𝑉𝑒

20 V = (𝑁𝑤 + 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 = (401+24−21)60 = 561.1

e 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇𝑒

20.30+22.90

V = ( 𝑁𝑒 + 𝑂𝑤 −𝑃𝑤 )60 = (358+19−21)60 = 494.4

w 𝑇𝑤 + 𝑇 𝑒

43.2

T = T – ( Ow −P w )60 =22.90+0.2427=22.66

w w 𝑉𝑤

T =T – ( 𝑂𝑒−𝑃𝑒 )60 =20.30-0.3208=19.98

e e 𝑉𝑒

Appendix D

**ESTIMATION OF TRAVEL SPEED USING TRAVEL TIME AND DISTANCE FOR WADATA PEAK AND OFF-PEAK**

D1**:** Travel Speed (M/min) = 𝑅𝑜𝑢𝑡𝑒𝐿𝑒𝑛𝑔𝑡 ℎ

𝑇𝑟𝑎𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑇𝑖𝑚𝑒

D2 =11800 =442.5 D2=11800 = 465.1

= 11800

29.46

= 400.5 D1 =11800 = 582.7

20.25

26.67 25.37

D3**=**11800 =460.2 D3 =11800 = 435.6

25.64 27.09

D4=11800 =463.7 D4 =11800 = 469.6

25.45 25.13

D5 =11800 = 407.7D5 =11800 = 506.4

28.94 23.30

D6 =11800 = 412.3 D6 =11800 = 421.7

28.62 27.98

D7=11800 = 445.1 D7 =11800 = 486.2

26.51 24.27

D8 =11800 = 400.9D8 =11800 = 407.6

29.43 28.95

D9 =11800 = 471.1 D9 =11800 = 459.1

25.05 25.70

D10 =11800 = 426.8 D10 =11800 = 438.2

27.65 26.93

D11 =11800 = 469.2 D11 =11800 = 409.2

25.15 28.84

D12 =11800 = 469.2 D12 =11800 = 422.8

26.07 27.91

D13 =11800 = 452.6 D13 =11800 = 446.3

26.07 26.44

D14 =11800 = 440.1 D14 =11800 = 412.0

26.81 28.64

D15 =11800 = 453.7D15 =11800 = 513.0

26.01 23.00

D16 =11800 = 412.2D16 =11800 = 431.3

28.63 27.36

D17 =11800 = 428.3 D17 =11800 = 409.4

27.55 28.82

D18 =11800 = 438.8 D18 =11800 = 421.9

26.89 27.97

D19 =11800 = 460.6D19 = 11800 = 430.2

25.62 27.43

D20 =11800 = 408.0 D20 =11800 = 471.4

28.92 25.03

D21 =11800 = 494.9 D21 =11800 = 433.2

23.84 27.24

D22 =11800 = 447.8 D22 =11800 = 435.9

26.35 27.07

D23 =11800 = 470.3 D23 =11800 = 477.7

25.09 24.70

D24 =11800 = 444.4 D24 =11800 = 447.9

26.55 26.34

D25 =11800 = 435.8 D25 =11800 = 491.5

27.08 24.01

D26 =11800 = 428.6 D26 =11800 = 435.1

27.53 27.12

D27 =11800 = 438.3 D27 =11800 = 416.9

26.92 28.30

D28 =11800 = 431.6 D28 =11800 = 413.9

26.92 28.06

D29 =11800 = 461.5 D29 =11800 = 432.4

25.57 27.29

D30 =11800 = 401.5 D30 =11800 = 442.5

29.39 26.67

ESTIMATION OF TRAVEL SPEED USING TRAVEL TIME AND DISTANCE FOR MODERN MARKET PEAK AND OFF-PEAK

Travel Speed (M/min) = 𝑅𝑜𝑢𝑡𝑒𝐿𝑒𝑛𝑔𝑡 ℎ

𝑇𝑟𝑎𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑇𝑖𝑚𝑒

E1=13800 = 604.7 E1 =13800 = 549.2

22.82

E2= 13800 = 565.8 E2 =13800 = 444.0

25.13

24.39 31.08

E3=13800 = 555.1 E3=13800 = 530.2

24.86 26.03

E4= 13800 = 516.5 E4=13800 = 482.1

26.72

E5= 13800 = 500.7E5 =13800 = 520.6

28.62

27.56 26.51

E6=13800 = 428.4 E6 =13800 = 508.7

32.21

E7= 13800 = 568.4E7=13800 = 519.4

27.13

24.28 26.57

E8= 13800 = 495.2E8 =13800 = 476.7

27.87 28.95

E9 = 13800 = 496.6E9 = 13800 = 461.2

27.79 29.92

E10 =13800 = 499.8 E10=13800 = 532.2

27.61 25.93

E11 =13800 = 549.4 E11=13800 = 537.4

25.12 25.68

E12 =13800 = 547.2 E12 =13800 = 429.4

25.22 32.14

E13 =13800 = 498.6 E13 =13800 = 520.9

27.68

E14=13800 = 575.7E14 =13800 = 480.0

26.49

23.97 28.75

E15=13800 = 538.9E15 =13800 = 536.9

25.61 25.70

E16=13800 = 558.5 E16=13800 = 646.1

24.71

E17=13800 = 547.2E17=13800 = 543.9

21.36

25.22 25.37

E18=13800 = 559.6E18=13800 = 551.6

24.66 25.02

E19 =13800 = 531.8 E19 =13800 = 474.1

25.95

E20 =13800 = 521.2E20=13800 = 483.2

29.11

26.48 28.56

E21 =13800 = 519.4E 21 =13800 = 500.5

26.57 27.57

E22 =13800 = 547.8E22 =13800 = 463.2

25.19 29.79

E23 =13800 = 432.7 E23 =13800 = 473.2

31.89 29.16

E24=13800 = 543.5 E24=13800 = 485.8

25.39 28.41

E25 =13800 = 545.9 E25=13800 = 550.9

28.28 25.05

E26=13800 = 431.4 E26=13800 = 481.2

31.99 28.68

E27=13800 = 535.1 E27=13800 = 493.2

25.79

E28 =13800 = 505.5E28=13800 = 509.2

27.98

27.30

E29 = 13800 = 494.5

27.91

27.10

E30 =13800 = 531.6E30 = 13800 = 570.5

25.96 24.19

ESTIMATION OF TRAVEL SPEED USING TRAVEL TIME AND DISTANCE FOR AIR FORCE BASE PEAK AND OFF-PEAK

Travel Speed (M/min) = 𝑅𝑜𝑢𝑡𝑒𝐿𝑒𝑛𝑔𝑡 ℎ

𝑇𝑟𝑎𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑇𝑖𝑚𝑒

F1= 10200 = 428.4 F1 = 10200 = 422.5

23.81 24.14

F2= 10200 = 400.5 F2 =10200 = 435.5

25.47 23.42

F3 =10200 = 444.6 F3 =10200 = 402.2

22.94 25.36

F4=10200 = 401.6 F4 =10200 = 490.4

25.40 20.80

F5 = 10200 = 436.5 F5 = 10200 = 419.2

23.37 24.33

F6 =10200 = 420.8 F6 = 10200 = 474.6

24.24 21.49

F7 =10200 = 428.0 F7 = 10200 = 460.7

23.83 22.14

F8 =10200 = 412.6 F8 = 10200 = 471.3

24.72 21.64

F9 =10200 = 419.2 F9 =10200 = 477.5

24.33 21.36

F10=10200 = 469.8 F10 =10200 = 496.1

21.71 20.56

F11 =10200 = 513.3 F11 =10200 = 460.7

19.87 22.14

F12=10200 = 451.7 F12 =10200 = 487.3

22.58 20.93

F13=10200 = 431.8 F13 = 10200 = 481.6

23.62 21.18

F14=10200 = 437.9 F14 =10200 = 452.9

23.29 22.52

F15=10200 = 454.1 F15=10200 = 481.6

22.46 21.18

F16=10200 = 388.6 F16=10200 = 459.9

26.25 22.18

F17=10200 = 442.1 F17 =10200 = 491.6

23.07 20.75

F18=10200 = 485.7 F18=10200 = 445.2

21.00 22.91

F19 =10200 = 489.7 F19 =10200 = 467.3

20.83 21.83

F20=10200 = 443.7 F20=10200 = 478.4

22.99 21.32

**Appendix E**

**MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION MODEL DEVELOPMENT USING SPSSAT 95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL, 0.05 INTERVAL LEVEL AND 78 DEGREES OF FREEDOM**

**Summary of Statistical Results of the Multiple Linear Regression Model Peak period**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Model** | **Unstandardized Coefficients** | | **Standardized Coefficients** | **T** | **Sig.** | **95%**  **Confidence Interval for B** | |
|  | **B** | **Std.**  **Error** | **Beta** |  |  | **Lower**  **Bound** | **Upper**  **Bound** |
| **1 (Constant)** | **30.969** | **1.277** |  | **24.252** | **.000** | **28.424** | **33.515** |
| **Ave. dwell time** | **.011** | **.007** | **.048** | **1.514** | **.134** | **-.003** | **.025** |
| **Route Length** | **.002** | **.000** | **1.118** | **22.077** | **.000** | **.002** | **.002** |
| **Time headway** | **-.283** | **.134** | **-.055** | **-2.106** | **.039** | **-.551** | **-.015** |
| **T-junction** | **-.139** | **.072** | **-.069** | **-1.930** | **.058** | **-.283** | **-.005** |
| **Vol. of traffic** | **-.002** | **.001** | **-.070** | **-1.914** | **.060** | **-.005** | **-.000** |
| **Travel speed** | **-.052** | **.002** | **-1.015** | **-**  **26.532** | **.000** | **-.055** | **-.048** |
| **No. of stops** | **-.047** | **.038** | **-.032** | **-1.235** | **.221** | **-.124** | **.029** |

* 1. Dependent Variable: Travel Time

T = 30.969 + 0.011X1 +0.002X2 – 0.283X3 – 0.139X4 – 0.002X5 – 0.052X6 – 0.047X7

**Model Summary Result for Peak Hour Values**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| 1 | .978a | .956 | .951 | .52272 |

* + 1. Predictors: (Constant), Number of stops, T- Junction, Time Headway, Average dwell time, Travel speed, Volume of traffic, Route length

**Summary of Statistical Results of the Multiple Linear Regression Model Off-peak**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Model** | **Unstandardized Coefficients** | | **Standardized Coefficients** | **T** | **Sig.** | **95% Confidence Interval for B** | |
|  | **B** | **Std.**  **Error** | **Beta** |  |  | **Lower**  **Bound** | **Upper**  **Bound** |
| **(Constant)** | **27.022** | **.541** |  | **49.983** | **.000** | **25.945** | **28.100** |
| **Ave. dwell time** | **.010** | **.006** | **.019** | **1.819** | **.073** | **-.001** | **.021** |
| **Route Length** | **.002** | **.000** | **.986** | **49.584** | **.000** | **-.002** | **.002** |
| **Time headway** | **-.018** | **.012** | **-.015** | **-1.570** | **.121** | **-.042** | **.005** |
| **T-junction** | **-.130** | **.030** | **-.053** | **-4.318** | **.000** | **-.190** | **-.070** |
| **Vol. of traffic** | **-.001** | **.001** | **-.025** | **-1.421** | **.160** | **-.003** | **.000** |
| **Travel speed** | **-.051** | **.001** | **-.812** | **-54.996** | **.000** | **-.052** | **-.049** |
| **No. of stops** | **.013** | **.013** | **.011** | **1.045** | **.300** | **-.012** | **.038** |

* + 1. Dependent Variable: Travel Time

T = 27.022 + 0.010X1 +0.002X2 – 0.018X3 – 0.130X4 – 0.001X5 – 0.051X6 + 0.013X7

**Model Summary Result for Off-peak Hour**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| 2 | .997a | .994 | .993 | .23335 |

* + - 1. Predictors: (Constant), Number of stops, T- Junction, Time Headway, Average dwell time, Travel speed, Volume of traffic, Route length