

##### DEVELOPMENT OF A STATE ESTIMATION BASED IMPROVED DETECTION AND LOCALIZATION OF NON-TECHNICAL LOSSES USING SMART METER MEASUREMENTS

**By**

##### AbdulkareemZAKARIYYAH

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AHMADU BELLO UNIVERSITY, ZARIA NIGERIA**

DEVELOPMENT OF A STATE ESTIMATION BASED IMPROVED DETECTION AND LOCALIZATION OF NON-TECHNICAL LOSSES USING SMART METER MEASUREMENTS

i

By

Abdulkareem ZAKARIYYAH, B.Eng Electrical (ABU) 2014 P14EGEE8028

[abdulkareemrahma@gmail.com](mailto:abdulkareemrahma@gmail.com)

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES, AHMADU BELLO UNIVERSITY, ZARIA

IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF A MASTER OF SCIENCE DEGREE (MSc.) IN POWER SYSTEM ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING,

AHMADU BELLO UNIVERSITY, ZARIA NIGERIA

DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this Dissertation titled“Development of State Estimation based Improved Detection and Localization of Non-Technical Losses Using Smart Meter Measurements”has been carried out by me in the Department of Electrical Engineering. The information derived from the literature has been duly acknowledged in the text and a list of references provided. No part of this dissertation was previously presented for another degree or diploma at this or any other Institution.

Abdulkareem ZAKARIYYAH Signature Date

##### CERTIFICATION

This dissertation entitled “**DEVELOPMENT OF STATE ESTIMATION BASED IMPROVED DETECTION AND LOCALIZATION OF NON TECHNICAL LOSSES USING SMART**

**METER MEASUREMENTS**” by Abdulkareem ZAKARIYYAH meets the regulations governing the award of the degree ofMaster of Science in Power System Engineering of the Ahmadu Bello University, and it is approved for its contribution to knowledge and literary presentation.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Prof. B. Jimoh |  |  |
| (Chairman, Supervisory Committee) | Signature | Date |

Dr. Y. Jibril

(Member, Supervisory Committee) Signature Date

Dr. Y. Jibril

(Head of Department) Signature Date

Prof. S. Z. Abubakar

(Dean, School of Postgraduate Studies) Signature Date

##### DEDICATION

This research work is dedicated to Almighty God.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All the praises and thanks be to Allah (S.W.T) for completing this research work to obtain my Master degree from Ahmadu Bello University.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisors, Prof. B. Jimoh and Dr. Y. Jibril, for their tireless effort, valuable guidance and mentorship towards the success of this work. The completion of this work could not have been possible without their constant participation and assistance. May Allah (S.W.T) reward them for their effort and grant them Al-Jannah Firdausi.

I would like to show special appreciation to Mr Luis Marques and Mr Abdurrahman O. for supporting me with accurate data, valuable guidance, reliable knowledge, undiluted assistance during the my course work and my thesis. Thanks to the Management of Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria-Nigeria for providing enabling platform to make the program a successful one.

I acknowledge with thanks all the lecturers of the Department of Electrical Engineering, Ahmadu Bello University. I am also thankful to my colleagues Aminu Muhammad A., Ibrahim A., Ajayi O. Gideon Rimamfate, Caleb IshayaWitta, for their support and contribution toward the success of this work. I am also very thankful to my course mate, Daniel, Nafisat, Zainab, Hassan, Tajudeen, Moses, Okpanachi, and Isiah. May Allah (S.W.T) reward them and make them successful in their endeavors.

Above all, I am indebted to my dear mum and my beloved wife for their prayers, financial and emotional support. Thank you very much. To my siblings Alh.Abdurrasheed, Late Dr. Bashir, Sharafadeen, Tohir, Engr. Ahmad, Mal. Abdullahi, Khadijat, Maryam, for being a source of encouragement and inspiration to me.

AbdulkareemZakariyyah

##### April 2019.

##### ABSTRACT

This research work presents the development of branch current based state estimation for Non- Technical Losses (NTLs) Detection and Localization. The use of weighted least square (WLS) state estimation for the evaluation of branch current of a network during theft is considered. In order to confirm the presence of theft in a network, current measurement value obtained from Distribution Transformer Controller (DTC) installed at substation was compared with that of all customers’ smart meters readings, a difference above an estimated threshold signifies the presence of theft. For the case of locating the point of theft, the concept of weighted least square state estimation was used for the evaluation of the actual branch current of each branch of the network despite theft, the estimated branch current is compared with the calculated branch current based on meter reading, and the difference is exploited in order to locate the point of location. The developed method was implemented on a 415V Low Voltage network used in this literature. The results obtained were validated by comparing it with the work of Marques et al., 2016. All modelling and analysis were carried out using OPENDSS and MATLAB R2015a. From the results obtained, when the total theft in the network is 30%, 40% or 50% the maximum variation of the estimated branch current are 0.62%, 0.83%, 1.02% respectively, these are taken to be the threshold for decision of theft in the network. It was also observed that the True Positive Rate (TPR) and The False Positive Rate (FPR) irrespective of the percentage of theft in the networkshow an improvement of 27.5% and 11.11% respectively. The method was further compared with other works where the used of machine learning was exploited. This method shows 7.5% improvement in terms of TPR than the use of Artificial Intelligent method.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION ii

[CERTIFICATION iii](#_TOC_250064)

[DEDICATION iv](#_TOC_250063)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT v

[ABSTRACT vi](#_TOC_250062)

TABLE OF CONTENT vii

[LIST OF TABLES x](#_TOC_250061)

[LIST OF FIGURES xi](#_TOC_250060)

[LIST OF APPENDICES xii](#_TOC_250059)

[LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xiii](#_TOC_250058)

CHAPTER ONE 1

Background to the Study 1

* 1. [Motivation 2](#_TOC_250057)
  2. [Significance of Research 3](#_TOC_250056)
  3. [Statement of Problem 3](#_TOC_250055)
  4. [Aim and Objectives 3](#_TOC_250054)
  5. [Methodology 4](#_TOC_250053)

CHAPTER TWO 5

LITERATURE REVIEW 5

* 1. [Introduction 5](#_TOC_250052)
  2. [Review of Fundamental Concept 5](#_TOC_250051)
     1. [Electric power system 5](#_TOC_250050)
     2. [Technical characteristic of low voltage distribution network 5](#_TOC_250049)
     3. [Power flow in low voltage networks 7](#_TOC_250048)
     4. Distribution power system losses 10

2.2.4.2 Non-Technical losses 11

* + 1. [Analysis of non-technical losses 11](#_TOC_250047)
    2. [Description of methods for detection and location of NTLs 14](#_TOC_250046)
       1. The Artificial Intelligent Methods (AIM) 15
       2. The Smart Metering Based Methods 16
  1. [Smart Grid 17](#_TOC_250045)
     1. [Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) 18](#_TOC_250044)
     2. [Smart Meters (SMs) 19](#_TOC_250043)
     3. [Distribution Transformer Controller (DTC) 21](#_TOC_250042)
     4. [Data Concentrator 22](#_TOC_250041)
  2. [State Estimation (SE) 23](#_TOC_250040)
     1. [Challenges of state estimation in distribution systems 23](#_TOC_250039)
     2. [State estimation in low voltage network 25](#_TOC_250038)
     3. [WLS Estimator 25](#_TOC_250037)
        1. WLS State Estimation Algorithm 27
  3. [Monte Carlo Simulation 28](#_TOC_250036)
     1. [Characteristics of Monte Carlo 28](#_TOC_250035)
     2. [Steps Involve in the Monte Carlo Simulation 29](#_TOC_250034)
     3. [Monte Carlo simulation procedure in Branch Current State Estimation (BCSE) Method 29](#_TOC_250033)
  4. [Description of the Reference Algorithm and the Proposed Algorithm 30](#_TOC_250032)
  5. [Case Study 32](#_TOC_250031)
     1. [Description of Case Study 32](#_TOC_250030)
  6. [Review of Similar Works 34](#_TOC_250029)

CHAPTER THREE 39

MATERIALS AND METHODS 39

* 1. [Introduction 39](#_TOC_250028)
  2. [Materials 39](#_TOC_250027)
     1. [Softwares 39](#_TOC_250026)
        1. [Matlab 2015a software 39](#_TOC_250025)
        2. OPENDSS software 39
     2. [The test case feeder. 40](#_TOC_250024)
  3. [Methodology 40](#_TOC_250023)
     1. [Detection Algorithm 40](#_TOC_250022)
     2. [Development of power flow algorithm based on thevenin’s and norton’s equivalent circuit approach in distribution network. 41](#_TOC_250021)
  4. [Development of State Estimation Algorithm 42](#_TOC_250020)
     1. [Formulation for branch current based state estimation 42](#_TOC_250019)
        1. Basic WLS Formulas 42
        2. Measurement equations and jacobian matrices 43

3.4.1.3. The Jacobian Matrix. (H(x)) 45

3.4.1.5 State Estimator Accuracy 48

* + 1. [Calculation of Branch Current 48](#_TOC_250018)
  1. [Developed Method for the NTLs Location 49](#_TOC_250017)
  2. [Scenario Considered 51](#_TOC_250016)
  3. [Modification of the Test case Feeder. 52](#_TOC_250015)
  4. [Performance Evaluation 52](#_TOC_250014)

CHAPTER FOUR 54

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 54

* 1. [Introduction 54](#_TOC_250013)
  2. [Assumption Made 54](#_TOC_250012)
  3. [Calculation of the errors for the Detection and Localization of NTLs 54](#_TOC_250011)
     1. [Calculation of the Per Phase Error (PPE). 54](#_TOC_250010)
     2. [Result of the localization error for location of NTLs 55](#_TOC_250009)
  4. [Simulation and Result Analysis for Detection methodology 59](#_TOC_250008)
     1. [Simulation and result analysis for localization methodology 60](#_TOC_250007)
  5. [Validation of the Improved Method 64](#_TOC_250006)

CHAPTER FIVE 66

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 66

* 1. [Summary 66](#_TOC_250005)
  2. [Conclusion 66](#_TOC_250004)
  3. [Significant Contribution 67](#_TOC_250003)
  4. [Limitations 67](#_TOC_250002)

[Recommendations 67](#_TOC_250001)

[REFERENCES 68](#_TOC_250000)

##### LIST OF TABLES

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| TABLE 1.1 Non-Technical Losses in European Countries | 2 |  |
| TABLE 2.1Micro-Generators and their respective installed power30 |  |
| TABLE 4.1 Branches affected by theft- A |  | 57 |
| TABLE 4.2 Branches affected by theft-B |  | 57 |
| TABLE 4.3 Branches affected by theft-C |  | 58 |
| TABLE 4.4 Branches affected by theft-D |  | 58 |
| TABLE 4.5 Branches affected by theft-E |  | 59 |

##### LIST OF FIGURES

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Figure 2.1 Schematic diagram of an LV radial network | 7 |
| Figure 2.2 Example of KCL Application | 6 |
| Figure 2.3 Complete LV Line model | 8 |
| Figure 2.4 Line Model after kron’s reduction | 10 |
| Figure 2.5 Example of load distribution on LV Network | 12 |
| Figure 2.6 Example of Load Distribution with theft on LV Network | 14 |
| Figure 2.7 A particular type of Neural Network- Kohonen Network | 15 |
| Figure 2.8 Communication Infrastructure and Meter Data Source | 16 |

Figure 2.9 Advanced Metering Infrastructure 18

Figure 2.10 Functional Block Diagram of Smart Meter 19

Figure 2.11 Pictorial Diagram of a DTC Equipment 20

Figure 2.12 Functional Block Diagram of a Smart Meter 21

Figure 2.13 Block diagram of data Concentrator 22

Figure 2.14 Flowchart for Detection of NTLs 30

Figure 2.15 Test Feeder 30

Figure 3.1 Node Numbering on radial feeder 42

Figure 3.2 Developed Flowchart for state Estimation Algorithm 44

Figure 3.3 Developed Flowchart for Localization Algorithm 46

Figure 4.1 Per Phase Error evolution during the day 51

Figure 4.2 Relative Error in estimated branch current when NTLs is 30% of total load 52

Figure 4.3 Relative Error in estimated branch current when NTLs is 40% of total load 53

Figure 4.4 Relative Error in estimated branch currentwhen NTLs is 50% of total load 54

Figure 4.5 Average Estimated branch Current relative error 55

Figure 4.6 Result of Detection Considering 50% Energy theft 56

Figure 4.7 Result of Localization Varying the number of Locations N, with NTLs 59

Figure 4.8 Comparison of the Performance between the base method and the developed method 60

##### LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: Test Case Feeder parameters and Consumer Contracted Power. 67

APPENDIX B1: OPENDSS Code for Load Flow 69

APPENDIX B2: OPENDSS Code for Load Flow Considering Theft 72

APPENDIC C1: Matlab Code for Branch Current Matrix Formation 73

APPENDIX C2: Matlab Code for WLS State Estimation an Associated Sub-functions 74

##### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACRONYMS MEANING

AIArtificial Intelligent

AMIAdvanced Metering Infrastructure ANNArtificial Neural Network

ANOVA Analysis of Variance

BCBVBranch Current to Bus Voltage

BCM Branch Connection Matrix

BIBCBus Injected to Branch Current CC

CCB Calculation of Current in Branch CPU Central Processing Unit

DER Distributed Energy Resources

DGs Distributed Generators

DLFDistribution Load Flow

DN Distribution Network

DSDistribution System

DTCDistribution Transformer Controller ETDLSEnergy Theft Detection and Localization System

ECB Estimated Current in Branches

EPRI Electric Power Research Institute IEEEInstitute of Electrical and Electronics Engineering KCLKirchhoff’s Current Law

KWKilo Watt

KVArKilo Voltage Ampere Reactive

LAV Least Absolute Value

LVLow Voltage

MDMsMeter Data Management System MATLABMatrix Laboratory

NEV Neutral to Earth Voltage

P Power

p.u.Per Unit PPEPer Phase Error

PENDSSOpen Distribution System Simulator QReactive power

RAM Random Access Memory

SE State Estimation

SMsSmart Meters SGSmart Grid

SHGM Schweppes Hubber Generalized M

SVMSupport Vector Machine U.SUnited State

RResistance

WLSWeighted Least Square XReactance

Z Impedance

##### Background to the Study

**CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION**

Non-Technical Losses (electrical energy theft) has been a major concern in traditional power systems worldwide. In the United States (U.S.) alone energy theft was reported to cost utility companies around $6Billion/year (McDaniel & McLaughlin, 2009)this Figure appears relatively low when compared to the losses faced by utilities in developing countries such as Nigeria, Bangladesh, India and Pakistan (Eskom Annual Report 2009).Implementation of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) as one of the key technologies in smart grids promises to mitigate the risk of energy theft through its monitoring capabilities and the fine grained usage measurements. However, application of digital smart meters and addition of a cyber-layer to the metering system introduce numerous new vectors for energy theft.While traditional mechanical meters can only be compromised through physical tampering, in AMI the metering data can be tampered with, both locally and remotely before being sent to the smart meters or inside the smart meters or over the communication links. Penetration tests have already revealed several vulnerabilities in smart meters (Wright, 2009). In 2009, an organized energy theft attempt against AMI was reported by U.S. Federal Bureau of Investigation, which potentially could cost a utility company up to $400Million annually (Krebs B. 2012).Therefore, an Energy Theft Detection and Localization System (ETDLS) that can effectively and efficiently detect and localize energy theft attacks against AMI is urgently required.

Technical losses are inherent losses in power system network due to the inefficiency of power systems devices or iron core losses which occur during the transmission and distribution of electric power. While nontechnical losses on the other hand, are caused by actions external to the power system. These may include electricity theft, partial or non-payment of energy used by the customers.

In 1970s, Schweppe first proposed the idea of state estimation in power systems. Power system state estimation constitutes the core of the on-line system monitoring, analysis and control functions. State estimation acts like a filter between the raw measurements received from the system and all the application functions that require the most reliable data base for the current system operation state, and it typically includes bad data processing, state estimation solutions, parameter and topology error processing. Energy theft comprises of meter by pass, data attacks among other. In this work, the ideaof weighted least square state estimation will be explored to detect theft in power network.

Percentage of Non-Technical Losses (NTLs) and their monetary equivalent in some of the European countries is shown in Table 1.1

Table 1.1: Non-Technical Losses in European Countries (Marques *et al.*, 2016)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Country | NTLs (M€/year) | Total Losses (%) |
| Germany  Italy Spain | 504  408  426 | 4.7  6.3  7.8 |

##### Motivation

The power sector economic fatality caused by NTL cannot be over emphasized, the benefits of researches done on the reduction of technical losses will only be completely harnessed if the menace caused by NTL is completely or reasonably reduced. In Nigeria, According to a World Bank report seventy five percent (75%) of the total cost of energy injected in the various distribution feeder to serve customers are loss due to the combine effects of both technical and nontechnical loss of which only less than twenty percent are as a result of technical loss (Antmann, 2009). This implies that large portion of the energy loss are attributed to NTL which are mostly due to deliberate energy theft by customers, improper billing of customers, high level of indiscipline and unawareness of the customers to pay bills of electricity consumed and high level display of unprofessionalism on the side of the Electricity marketers. Despite the advent

ofSmart Meters(SMs) which are introduced purposely to reduce and eliminate the menace of estimated billing and reduce energy theft, unfortunately rate of energy theft is increasing.

##### Significance of Research

The significance of this research is the development of branch current of LV Power network under theft. The accurate estimation of branch current makes it more easier to locate the point of theft which increases the true positive rate. To the best of my knowledge previous researchers have not considered the use of this method for improving the Localization of energy theft as at this time of compilling this thesis.

##### Statement of Problem

When there is an energy theft on a network supplying consumers’ load, there tend to be imbalance between the energy sold by utility companies and the energy purchase by customers which lead to economic fatality of utility companies and high energy charge to the customers. Therefore to create a profitable market for the utility company, it is quite important to locate the points of theft in order to finethe consumers and recover the monetory value of the energy loss. So many attempts have been made using the Artificial Intelligent method but accurate prediction of customers’ consumptions have always been very difficult which affect the accuracy of the result obtained(Marques *et al.*, 2016). In this work the localization of theft is done considering the network operating condition through the data obtained from smart meters. Thus, this research present Detection and Localization of energy theft using branch current based weighted least square state estimation.

##### Aim and Objectives

The aim of this research is developmentof a state estimation based improved detection and localization of Non-Technical Losses (NTLs) Using Smart Meter (SM) Measurements

The objectives of the research are as follows:

* + 1. To replicate thedetection of NTLs algorithm from the work of(Marques *et al.*, 2016)
    2. To Develop a Weighted Least Square State Estimator for the estimation of state variables andmodification of the localization of NTLs algorithms
    3. Performance comparison of the result obtained in ii above with the work of (Marques *et al.*, 2016)in terms of True Positive Rate (TPR) and False Positive Rate (FPR).

##### Methodology

The following steps described the methodology used to carry out this research work:

1. Replication of the Detection of NTLs algorithm from the work of marques et al., (2016)
2. Data acquisition (SMs’ current, DTC currents and power factors)
3. Acquisition of Network Topology
4. Calculation of the Per Phase Error (PPE)
5. Comparison of the SMs reading and DTC Reading.
6. Development of Weighted Least Square State estimator for the estimation of the network’s states
   1. Development of the network model equations
   2. Collection of measurement and initial states.
7. Development of Localization algorithm
   1. Acquisition of network model and topology (type of network configuration)
   2. Acquisition of network parameters
   3. Branch current Calculation and Estimation
   4. Searching for the suspicious branches starting from the last level of the network.
8. Validation of the developed model using the work of marques *et al.,* (2016).

##### Introduction

**CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW**

This section presents the review of the fundamental concepts and that of similar works which are relevant to this research.Under fundamental concepts, discussion covered Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI), Smart Grid, SMs, DTC and Weighted Least Square (WLS) state estimation principle and model equations. On the other hand, the review of similar researches established the extent of research in the subject area which led to a different approach used to achieve the desired significant contributions.

##### Review of Fundamental Concept

In this section, concepts that are fundamental to Electric power system, Distribution System, Distribution System Losses etc. are presented:

##### Electric power system

Electric Power system comprises of three main system namely Generation system, Transmission system and Distribution system. The Transmission and Distribution are classified based on Voltage levels. In Nigeria, the transmission network is characterized by 330kV and 132kV and the distribution network is characterized by a lower voltage of 33KV, 11KV and 0.415KV as the case may be(Adesina & Fakolujo, 2015).

Distributionnetwork represent the last part of the classification which is also sub divided into primary distribution voltages (33kV and 11 kV in Nigeria) and secondary distribution voltages (415V and 240V). Electricity consumers are connected to the grid at the distribution voltage levels this makes it the most critical part of the network.

##### Technical characteristic of low voltage distribution network

Low Voltage (LV)networkstypicallyhavearadial configuration, i.e., anarborescent configuration,meaningthateachconsumeronlyhasoneelectricalpathtobesupplied.Example of a radial network is presented in Figure 2.1.




Figure 2.1: Schematic diagram of an LV radial network (Jindal*et al*., 2016) TheapplicationofKirchhoffCurrentsLaw(KCL), which demonstrates that the algebraicsumofallcurrentsenteringandexitinganodemustequalzero(Schilders& Ter Maten, 2005)allowsthe directcalculation of the currents in branches.Therefore, this meansthatthe currents in the upstream branches will be the sum of all currents consumed in the downstream bus bars.

TheapplicationoftheKCLtothesimplifiednetworkschematicrepresentedinFigure2.2 is given as follows:




Figure 2.2: Two bus load distribution on LV network (Marques *et al*., 2016)

*I*1  *ILOAD*1  *I*2 (2.1)

*I*2  *ILOAD*2 **(**2.2)

Application of KCL is used for the calculation of branch currents based on the measurement obtained from the smart meters throughout this work.

##### Power flow in low voltage networks

Application ofpowerflowstudiesisrequiredinordertosimulatetherealnetworks’ behavior, sincetheinformationprovidedbysmart metersmaybeusedtoevaluatetheoperation conditionsofthenetworks.Therefore, depending on the type of networks, namely the neutral configuration, the adopted power flow method may have different characteristics. Mostofthetraditionalpowerflowapproachesmergetheneutralwireintothephases using the Kron’s reduction(Alam *et al.*, 2012) such approximation may not be desirable when neutral wire and grounding effects need to be assessed(Alam *et al.*, 2012; Ciric *et al.*, 2003).Thus,whentheknowledgeofNeutral to Earth Voltage (NEV)in nodesisrequiredacompletemodelofthenetworkshouldbeused.Otherwise, the power flows studies may be performed by the Kron’s reduction.


The power flows simulations using a complete model of the network require a full knowledge of the locations where the neutral is grounded and both impedances of phases and neutral wires. Figure 2.3shows the line model when the neutral is considered explicitly modelled and considering the earth as a perfect conductor.

Figure 2.3 Complete LV line model(Kersting, 2012)

The matrix approach to calculate the electrical variables of the model presented in the Figure

* 1. is as follows: (Marques *et al.,* as cited in Kersting, 2012)

*V*  *V* ' 

*Z Z Z Z*  *I* 

*ag*  *ag* 





*aa ab ac an*

*Vbg V* '

*a*

*Z Z Z Z I*

####    

*bg*   

*ba bb bc bn*   *b* 

(2.3)

*Vcg* 

 





*V* ' 

*Z Z Z Z*

 *I* 

   *cg* 

 *ca cb cc cn*   *c* 

*Vng*  *V* '

 *Z Z Z Z I*

####   

*ng* 

*na nb nc nn*   *n* 

In ordertosimplifythenotationused,theequation(2.3)mayberewrittenbygrouping the similar matrix as shown in the equation (2.4).(Marques *et al.,* as cited in Kersting, 2012)

[*Vabc* ] [*V* '*abc* ]

 *Zij*  *Zin* 

*Iabc* 

[*V*

#### ]   [*V* ' ]   

*Z* . *I*

  (2.4)

 *ng*  

*ng* 

 *Znj* 

*nn*   *n* 

####  

where:

*Vabc* is the phase voltages’ vector in the emission busbar referred to the ground;

*V* '*abc*  is the phase voltages’ vector in the emission busbar referred to the ground;

*Vng*  is the neutral voltages’ vector in the emission busbar referred to the ground;

*V* '*ng*  is the neutral voltages’ vector in the emission busbar referred to the ground;

*Iabc* is the phase currents’ vector referred to the ground;

*In* is the neutral current;

*Zij*  is the matrix impedance between the conductors i and j;

*Zin*  is the matrix impedance between the conductors i and n;

*Znj*  is the matrix impedance between the conductors j and n;

*Znn* is the self-matrix impedance the conductors n;

At the opposite side, the kron reduction by considering a multi-grounded system and the Neutral to Earth Voltage (NEV) at the ground’s potential, merges the neutral effects to the phase

wires.Thisis achievedbyconsideringthatatbothnodesoftheline,theneutralisgrounded, and thereforetheNEVatbothnodesiszero.Applying theKirchhoff’sVoltageLaw(KVL)tothe circuitandtakingintoaccountthattheneutralisgrounded,thus,thevoltagesvector [Vng] and [V’ng] are equal to zero. (Marques *et al.,* as cited in Kersting, 2012):

*V*   *V* '   *z* .*I* *z* .*I* (2.5)

*abc abc ij abc in n*

0  0 *zij* .*Iabc* *znn* .*In*  (2.6)

Solving the Equation (2.5)in relation to *In* , the result is expressed in Equation (2.7):

*In*   *znn* 1 . *znj*  .*Iabc*  (2.7) Replacing Equation (2.7) into Equation (2.5):

*abc abc ij in nn nj abc*

*V*   *V* '

 *z*

 *z*

.*z*

1 .*z*

.*I* 

*V*   *V* '

*abc abc abc abc*

 *z*

.*I*

(2.8)

Where

*zabc*   *zij*  *zin* .*znn* 1 .*znj*  (2.9)

The resultant matrix impedance is expressed in equation (2.10). As can be observed, the initialmatrixwithdimensions4x4hasbeenreducedintoa3x3matrix.Thisissimilarto solvingapowerflowignoringtheneutralwire,withthedifferencethatlines’impedances have been modified to represent the neutral effects. (Marques *et al.,* as cited in Kersting, 2012)

 *zaa zab zac* 

*z*   *z z z*  (2.10)

*abc*  *ba bb bc* 

 *z z z* 

 *ca cb cc* 

With the kron’s reduction the final model of the line is shown in Figure 2.4




Figure 2.4:Line Model after Kron’s Reduction(Kersting, 2012) **2.2.4Distribution power system losses** Generally,powerlossesrefertotheamountsofelectricity

injectedintothetransmissionanddistributiongridsthatare notpaidforbyusers. (Navani *et al.*, 2012),(Adesina & Fakolujo, 2015). Total power losses have two components: Technical and non-Technical power losses.

* + - 1. *Technical losses*

Technical power lossesare naturallyoccurringand consistmainly of power dissipated in the system components suchastransmissionanddistributionlines,transformers, power control equipment and measurement systems. Technical losses are possible to compute and control, provided the power system network in question consists of known quantities of loads(Navani *et al.*, 2012). Technicalpowerslossesoccurduringtransmissionand distributionprocessesandalsoinvolvestationtransformers and line related losses. These losses include resistive losses of the primary feeders,distribution transformer losses (resistive losses in windings and the core losses),resistive losses in the secondarynetwork(inNigeria,typically33kV&11kV networks), resistive losses in service drops to customers and lossesinkWhMeter(mostespeciallyattheinductiveload customers).Technicalpowerlossesareclassifiedascopper

losses(𝐼2R),Dielectriclossesandinduction&radiation losses.However, the occurringtechnical power losses known inpowersystemshavedifferentcausesandtheseincludeharmonicdistortion,improper

earthingofelectrical equipmentatvariousinjectionsubstations,unbalancedloadingofdistributiontransformerandsubstan dard equipment such as Aluminum conductor, cable etc (Navani *et al.*, 2012),(Adesina & Fakolujo, 2015) and(Kim *et al.*, 2013).

* + - 1. *Non-Technical losses*

Non-technical losses are losses caused by actions external to the power system. These may includeelectricity theft, partial or non-payment of energy used by thecustomers, use of substandard current transformer for industrial metering and industrial usage of electricity on low power factor amounting to undercharging and hence underbilling by the utility company.Accurate reading of meters, accuratecustomers billing, collection ofbilledamountsand properaccountabilityarefunctionsthatrequirespecific managementtactics.Non-technical losses are more difficult to measure because these losses are often unaccounted for bythe system operators and thus have no record information. (Navani *et al.*, 2012),(Adesina & Fakolujo, 2015).

##### Analysis of non-technical losses

Non-Technical Losses by contrast, relate mainly to power theft in one form or another. They are related to the customer management process and can include a number of means of consciously defrauding the utility concerned. By default, the electrical energy generated should be equal to the energy registered as consumed. However,inreality,thesituationisdifferentbecauselossesoccurasan integral result of energy transmission and distribution.Figure 2.5is an illustration of the load distribution among customers in an LV network under ideal condition, Power injected from the generator bus is equal to Power consumed by loads plus Technical losses.



vt

I1

V1

I2

V2

I3

V3

Vn

AC

LINE 1

LINE 2

LINE 3

I1L

I2L

I3L

InL

Figure 2.5: Example of load distribution on LV Network.

From Figure 2.5

*Pinj*  *Vt I*1

(2.11)

*Pconsumed*  *V*1*I*1*L* *V*2 *I*2*L* *V*3*I*3*L*  ...*Vn InL* (2.12) In a compact form,

*n*

*Vt*  *VK*

*k* 1

,(2.13)

*n*

*I*1   *IiL* (2.14)

*i*1

Therefore,

*Pinj*

*n*

 *Vt*  *IiL*

*i*1

(2.15)

*Pconsumed*

*n n*

 *VK*  *IiL*

(2.16)

*k* 1 *i* 1

Expressing equation 2.15 and 2.16 in terms of energy, we get

*Einj*

 *Pinjt* (2.17)

*Econsumed*  *Pconsumed t* (2.18)

Also, the value of branch current along any branch of the network can be found by knowing the magnitude of the voltages at both terminals of the branch for example in Figure 2.5 the branch current I1 is expressed in terms of nodes voltages as:

*I*  *Vt* *V*1 (2.19)

1 *Z*

*t*1

This concept will be used in the estimation of branch current through this work. Where

Vt, V1, V2, V3, Vn are the node voltages at the Generator bus, bus 1, bus 2, bus 3 respectively; I1 I2 I3are the line current for Line 1, Line 2, Line 3, respectively;

I1L, I2L, I3L, are the load current of load 1, load 2, load 3, respectively;

*pinj* and *pconsumed*

are power injected and power consumed respectively;

*Einj* is the energy supplied at the generator bus;

*Econsumed* is the energy consumed at the load buses;and

*t* is the total time of power consumption and is expressed as;

*z*

*t*  *tm* (2.20)

*m*1

Where

𝑡𝑚 is the time of power consumption of a device.

Consider another scenario illustrated in Figure 2.6 where there is tap on the line at node 1 and 3 (IT1 and IT3 respectively) these extra-consumptions at these nodes are not recorded by the utility meters and are termed as non-technical losses.

IT3



vt I1 V I2 V2

1

AC

I3 V3 Vn

# LINE 1

LINE 2

# LINE 3

I1L

IT1

I2L I3L

InL

In this case,

Figure 2.6: Example of Load Distribution with Theft on LV Network

*Pinj*  *Pconsumed* (recorded) + T.Losses

Where

*Pconsumed* is the power consumed as recorded by SMs.

Therefore,

*Pinj*  *Pconsumed*  *PNTL*  *PTL* (2.21)

Making

*PNTL* the subject of equation (2.21)

*PNTL*  *Pinj*  *Pconsumed*  *PTL* (2.22)

In terms of Energy,

*ENTL*  *Einj*  *Eonsumed*  *ETL* (2.23)

##### Description of methods for detection and location of NTLs

Several methods have been exploited for the detection and the location of NTLs, these methods can be divided in two categories:Artificial Intelligence Methods (AIM), which have been the most widelyinvestigated method thatarebasedoncustomers’loadprofilesanalysisandpatternrecognition usingdataminingtechniques;thesecondapproach,SMsBasedMethod,takes advantage of theexistingSMsinLVnetworks to perform the detectionand location of NTLs

The different methods may be evaluated and compared considering several characteristics:

* + - 1. The quantitate and the type of costumers’ data required;
      2. The computational effort;
      3. Thetypeofnetworksinwhichtheyareusedandthemodellingofthenetwork required;
      4. The impact on consumers;
      5. The type of NTLs identified;
      6. *The Artificial Intelligent Methods (AIM)*

Artificial Intelligent methods extract relevant features from the data of the consumers such as the load profile and the ratio between the average load and the maximum load of the consumer (Ramos *et al.,* 2009). Based on these features, these methods implement a training algorithm that provides the ability to classify consumers’ behavior.

Forexample,inMonedero *et al.*, (2006)ispresentedaschemebasedonArtificialNeuralNetworks(ANNs), whichisusedwhentheexactrelationshipbetweentheinputsandtheoutputsisunknown. Specifically, this scheme uses Kohonen networks that allows the design of an objective way of clustering data as presented in Figure 2.7.



Competative layer of a Kohonen Network

(two dimensional)

0 1 0

0 0 0

0

0

0

Figure 2.7: A particular type of neural network- kohonen network (Marques *et al.*2016) The selection of fraudulent consumers is performed by searching the similarities between theconsumptionpatternoftherecordsandtheconsumptionpatternsofthecustomers’ database.

* + - 1. *The Smart Metering Based Methods*

The smart metering based method is the second category of methods that has been investigated for the detection and location of NTLs under the presence of an AMI in LV network. A work presented by Huang *et al*., (2013)proposesaStateEstimation (SE)of the Medium Voltage (MV) network to detect the presence of NTLs or inconsistent data measurementsatthesecondarysideofthedistributiontransformers.TheSEisperformed usingelectricalmeasuresprovidedbySMspresentineachLVnetwork,suchasvoltagesand the power consumption, and the voltage (magnitude and angle) at the MV substation. Thedatarequiredbythismethodologyandthecommunicationsinfrastructure used are shown in Figure 2.8.




Figure 2.8: Communication Infrastructure and Meter Data Source (Papa *et al.,* 2009)

The detection oftheNTLs in an LV Network is known through the calculations of thedifferencebetweentheaggregatedconsumptionprovidedbytheSE ateach secondarysubstationwiththesumoftheSMs’measuredconsumptions.Bycontrast,inRengaraju *et al.*, (2014), Depuru *et al.*, (2011)and Van Der Bergh *et al.*, (2011)the advanced methodologies compare the total consumption registered by the clients’ meters with the energy supplied through the secondary substation. With the difference of the technical losses, the total consumption must be equivalent to the energy supplied. In a situation where the balance does not occur, it is considered the presence of NTLs in the network. These approaches require a full modelofthenetworkstocalculatetheTLsinthenetwork.Incasethetechnical characteristics of the network, such as the topology and the branches’ physical are unknown, thecalculationoftheTLsisafactorofuncertaintyasTLscanonlybeestimated.The computational effort required may be high in the first approach. The SE is performed through aniterativeprocessthatrequirestheavailabilityofelectricalmeasuresfromdifferentlocations of the network and a full knowledge of the network. In this proposed work a method is improved for the calculation of the network’s branch current, the value obtained is compared with the summation of all the currents recorded by all the smart meters connected to the branch and any significant discrepancy indicate leakage or theft.

##### Smart Grid

Smartgridisacyber-physicalsystemwhichincludes communicationsystemwiththepowerflowstructure,togain intelligenceandautomatedcontrol (National Energy Technology Laboratory).As a result, it dealswith not only the power flow but also information flow.The communication support schemes and real-time measurementtechniques of smart grid enhance resiliency and forecasting aswell asoffer protection against internal and external threats(Momoh, 2012).Smart grid is a synergistic combinationoftheexisting technologiesandtheemergingtechnologies.Smart grid uses Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) for collecting and processing information from smart meters.In

AMI technology, a database known as Meter Data Management system (MDMS) is required to store and manage the data(Barai *et al.*, 2015).Traditionally, AMI uses centralized MDMS architecture. Communication architecture of smart grid is also very complex. Smart grid has automated control through bidirectional connection of power flow as well as data flow.Addition of communication technology is a major part of the idea of smart meter.However, for a stable and well integrated communication architecture, proper infrastructure is a must.(Barai *et al.*, 2015).

##### Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI)

Advanced Metering Infrastructurecan be seen as thesystemthat enable the integration ofthecommunicationlinktothe smartgridnetwork.AMI enables bidirectional data flow between end users and utilities. It alsoprovidesintelligent management,bettermaintenance,easierandproperadditions andreplacement ofutilityassetswhich resultsinbetterpower quality.AMIconsistsofthreebasiccomponents:Smart metering devices at the user end, twoway Communication path betweenenduserandutility,andautomatedsoftwareand operation center for data processing (Barai *et al.*, 2015). Advanced Metering Infrastructure is as shown in Figure 2.9





Figure2.9: Advanced Metering Infrastructure(Foreman & Gurugubelli, 2016)



METER DATA MANGEMENT

WAN

COLLECTORS

NAN

NEIGHBOURHOOD AREA NETWORK

NAN

##### Smart Meters (SMs)

Smartmetersarepowerfultoolswhichfundamentally changetheoperationofpowergrids(Arif *et al.*, 2013; Yeung & Jung, 2012).Inadditionto performingthefunctionsofatraditionalmeter,smartmeters canbeusedassensorsacrosstheentiredistributiongrid (Ekanayake *et al.*, 2012)When an Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) is in place, smart meter can measure and record real power, reactive power usage, voltage, current and power factor during a day at certain time interval.These collected data are sent to a central data management system over a secure network via wireless communication.In addition, these sensors can be used bytheutilitiestodetectfaultandsendoutageorrestoration notifications(Smart grid report III 2012)

&(Tram H., 2008). Use of this information allows the utilities to provide more reliable power supply, better planning, operation, and faster outage response of the grid. It also guides towards the detection and location of nontechnical losses in power system network.These meters also allow increased resolution of data on various measurement parameters across the grid and these data can be used by utilities for the following applications(Yeung & Jung, 2012).

* + - 1. Faster outage detection, response, and restoration by providing data to the field operations timely.
      2. Keeping customers better informed about the status of power grid. Utilities can communicate relevant information, e.g., cause of outage, field-estimated restoration time, and public safety notice.
      3. Improving resilience against disruptions, reducingpotential outages, reducing frequency and duration of outages by enhancing accuracy of the grid asset planning and management.

Figure 2.10 shows the functional block diagram of a smart meter




Figure 2.10: Functional Block Diagram of a Smart Meter (Barai*et al.*2015*,*)

There are four basic categories of Smart Meter System technologies as defined by their Local Area Network (LAN). They are:

* + - * 1. Wireless
        2. Radio Frequency (RF)
        3. Power Line Carrier (PLC)
        4. GSM/GPRS based

##### Distribution Transformer Controller (DTC)

This is an electrical device installed at the secondary substation of a power network it interact with the Smart Meters providing meter data management as well as electrical measures of each phase. The DTC serves as the gateway between the smart meters and the central system such as Low Voltage (LV) Supervisory Control AndData Acquisition (SCADA) systems present in high level of the AMI hierarchy. Figure 2.10 shows a DTC equipment and Figure 2.11 is the functional block of a DTC.



Plate 2.11: Pictorial Diagram of a DTC Equipment (Marques *et al*., 2016)

TRANSFORMER

GPRS/PLC

Send Data

SMART METER (SM)

Requesting for data

PLC/ WAN

PLC/GPRS

DTC (DISTRIBUTION TRANSFORMER CONTROLLER)

SMART METER (SM)

METER DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (MDMS)

Figure 2.12: Functional Block Diagram of a DTC as understood from the Literatures. From the block diagram of Figure 2.12, a DTC is a multi-function device. It sends signal to smart meters requesting for captured data, and also captures the reading of electrical parameters from the transformer. This data are simultaneously sent to the MDMS with the aid of Wide Area Network (WAN) or Power Line Communication Infrastructure.

The DTC may also provide several electrical measures such as:

* + - 1. Meteringof three-phaseactiveandreactiveenergyfortheLVsideoftheDistribution Transformer
      2. Voltage
      3. Current
      4. Power (3-phase active and reactive)
      5. Power Factor

##### Data Concentrator

Data concentrator is an important node in the AMI which is connected with several smart meters and central utility servers known as Meter Data Management System (MDMS).It

enables communication of the data between smart meters and MDMS. Data concentrators securely aggregate data from a number of meters and send to MDMS.Figure2.13is theblock diagram of a data concentrator. Smart meter data is collected through Neighborhood Area Network (NAN) and passed to MDMS through Wide Area Network (WAN) (Prakash, 2013).




Figure 2.13:Block diagram of data concentrator. (Barai*et al.,* 2015)

##### State Estimation (SE)

Stateestimationfor electric transmission grids was first formulated as a weighted least-squares problem by FredSchweppeandhisresearch group in 1969. (Abur & Exposito, 2004).A state estimator is a central part of every control center.The basic motivation for state estimation is for the purpose of performing computeranalysisofthe networkundertheconditionscharacterizedby the current set of measurements. Specifically, it is mostly aimed at knowing the values of the bus voltage phasor magnitudes and angles |Vk|, &θkforall k=1 or the line current magnitude and angles Iij,&αij .

##### Challenges of state estimation in distribution systems

State estimation in transmission networks has been state-of the-art since 1970 (shweppe&wilde, 1970), and it is now considered as a routine task. However, these methodologies cannot be directly applied to distribution systems because of the following reasons (Abdel-Majeed & Braun, 2012):

* + - 1. There are very few real-time measurements available in medium and low voltage levels (several thousand nodes usually have a few measurements at the head of the feeder).
      2. The modeling of complex multi-phase asymmetric distribution represents a challenge for developing efficient and robust estimation algorithms which are suitable for different types of measurements.

These two reasons have arisen from the following fundamental differences between transmission and distribution grids:

* + - * 1. Transmission grids are meshed and must be analyzed as a whole, while distribution networks are usually radially constructed and can be analyzed separately as sub networks.
        2. In transmission systems, the impedance ratio R/X << 1 and can be ignored, but in distribution systems the R/X > 1 or R = X in some cases, and can no longer be neglected.
        3. There are fairly balanced loads in a transmission system, in contrast to distribution grids, particularly low voltage grids, and an obvious asymmetry exists between the phase loadings, therefore, a much more complex calculation is needed.
        4. The transmission grid is usually observed by an adequate number of measurement points on the network. At low and medium voltage networks, the network operator usually has no or very few measuring points compared with approximately 1,000-10,000 nodes. Distribution network operators are, thus, generally “blind”; this can change in the future with the use of a smart meter infrastructure.
        5. The number of nodes in the distribution may even be higher than the transmission system. However, the specific cost for measurement, information and communication infrastructure per unit of transmitted energy is much higher.

However, the advent ofsmart meters is now contributing new types of measurements in the low voltage level,such as real power, reactive power, and voltage measurements, current measurements power factor at each customer connection almost in real-time.

The availability of this information is a necessary basis for state estimation in low voltage networks. With a more accurate real-time model of the network through the distribution state estimation, other operational functions, such as real and reactive power optimization, network restoration, load balancing, and optimal network configuration, can be more reliably performed and controlled.

##### State estimation in low voltage network

There are different methods for state estimation in distribution networks in the literatures. The state variables can be estimated using different estimator techniques (Kamireddy *et al.*, 2008; Singh *et al.*, 2009):

* + - 1. Weighted Least Squares (WLS) estimator.
      2. Least Absolute Value (LAV) estimator.
      3. Weighted Least Absolute Value (WLAV) estimator.
      4. SchweppesHubber Generalized M (SHGM) estimator.

Singh *et al*. (2009) evaluated the performance of WLS, WLAV and SHGM algorithms. They concluded that the WLAV and SHGM algorithms cannot be applied directly to distribution systems and require significant modifications in order to obtain consistent and good quality estimation. Singh and colleagues found that WLS gives consistent and better quality performance in distribution systems. The WLS estimator will be used in this paper as it gives a consistent performance under Gaussian assumptions for known noise characteristics.(Singh *et al.*, 2009)

##### WLS Estimator

In WLS estimation, the goal is to minimize the weighted differences between measured network variables andtheirestimatedvalues.Themostlikelystateofthenetworkcanbecalculatedbysolvingequation (2.23). (Abur & Exposito, 2004)

1 *n* [*z*  *h* (x)]2

min *x J* (x)  min *x*

 *i i* (2.23)

2 *k* 2



*i*

WhereJ(x) is the cost function to be minimized.

*x* isa state vector that contains all state variables

*zi* is value of measurement i.

*hi* (x) is measured variable i as a function of state variables

*i* Is the standard deviation of measurement I is number of measurements

If measurements and measurement functions are presented in vector form and measurement variances are presented in a matrix form, the equation (2.23) can be expressed in a simpler form as is in the following(Abur & Exposito, 2004).

min *J* (x)  [z h(x)]*T R*1[*z*  *h*(x)](2.24)

*x*

 *z*1 

Where

 *z* 

*z*   2 

is the measurement vector(2.25)

 ⁝ 

 

*z*

 *n* 

 *h*1(x) 

*h* (x)

*h*(x)   2

 ⁝

 is the measurement function vector(2.26)



*h* (x)

 *n* 

 2 0  0 

 1



*R*   0

2 

2  is the measurement covariance matrix(2.27)



0

 ⁝ ⁝ ⋱ ⁝ 

*n* 



 0 0

  2 

The minimum of cost function

*J* *x* can be found by differentiating it with respect to state

variables and searching for the zero point. The cost function derivative in respect to state vector

𝒙is equal to its gradient. Therefore, the state vector minimizing the cost function, forces the

gradient to zero. The gradient of

*J* *x* is given in equation (2.28)(Abur & Exposito, 2004)

 *J* (x)  H*T R*1*z*  *HT R*1*h* (*x*) (2.28)

Where

*x i i*

*h*  *x*

*H*  *x*

When the gradient of the cost function is zero𝒙 can be solved from equation (2.29)

*x*  (*HT R*1*H* )1 *HT R*1*z*

(2.29)

Equation(2.29) is non-linear,therefore solving the state vector𝒙requires the use of iterative methods, such as theNewton- Raphsonmethod.Oneveryiterationround,alinearizedapproximationofthestatevector change∆𝒙, shown in equation 2.30, is added to the initial state vector value. The iteration is continued until

∆𝒙 is small enough (Abur & Exposito, 2004).

*x*  (H*T R*1*H*)1*HT R*1 *Z*  *h*(x)(2.30)

* + - 1. *WLS State Estimation Algorithm*

WLS State Estimation involves the iterative solutionof theNormal equations given by equation (2.30).An initial guess has to bemade for the statevector *xo* .As in the case of the powerflowsolution,this guess typically corresponds to theflatvoltageprofile,where all bus voltages are assumed to be1. 0 per unit and in phasewith eachother.

Theiterative solution algorithm for WLS state estimationproblem can be outlined as follows (Abur&Exposito 2004):

* + - * 1. Start iterations, set theiterationindex k =0
        2. Initialize the statevector *xk* ,typically asa flatstart.
        3. Calculate the gain matrix *G* *xk* 
        4. Calculate the partial derivatives of the objective function with respect to the state

variables ( as in equation 2.28 )

* + - * 1. Decompose *G* *xk* 

and solve for *xk*

* + - * 1. Test for convergence, max

*xk*

  ?

* + - * 1. If no, update *xk*1  *xk*  *xk* , *k*  *k* 1 and go to step 3. else stop

The above algorithm essentially involves the following computations in each iteration, k:

Calculation of the right hand side of equation (2.30).

Calculating the measurement function, *h* *xk* .

Building the measurement Jacobian, *H* *xk*  .

Calculation of *G* *xk*  and solution of Equation (2.12).

Building the gain matrix, *G* *xk* 

Decomposing *G* *xk*  into its Choleskyfactors.

Performing the forward/back substitutions to solve for *xk*1

##### Monte Carlo Simulation

Monte Carlo Simulation is used to estimate the expected value of random variable when it is infeasible or impossible to compute an exact result with a deterministic algorithm. Monte Carlo methods are a class of computational algorithms that rely on repeated sampling to compute the result.

##### Characteristics of Monte Carlo

* + - 1. Monte Carlo Simulation allow several inputs to be used at the same time to create the probability distribution of one or more outputs.
      2. Different types of probability distributions can be assigned to the input of the model.

When the distribution is unknown, the one that represent the best fit could be chosen.

* + - 1. The use of random numbers characterized Monte Carlo Simulation as a stochastic method.
      2. The random numbers have to be independent, no correlation should exist between them.
      3. Monte Carlo Simulation generate the output as a range instead of a fixed value and shows how likely the output value is to occur in the range.
    1. **Steps Involve in the Monte Carlo Simulation**.

**Step I**- Construct a simulated universe of some randomizing mechanism whose behavior we wish to describe and investigate.

**Step II**- Specify the procedure that produces a pseudo sample which simulates the real-life sample in which we are interested.

**Step III**- If several simple events must be combined into a composite event, the composite event must be describe.

**Step IV**- Calculate the Probability of interest from the tabulation of outcomes of the resampling trials.

### Monte Carlo simulation procedure in Branch Current State Estimation (BCSE) Method.

**Step 1**– BCSE method tries to find a system state, represented by *IKM* ,*KM* 

, by minimizing

the weighted sum of the squares of the measurements errors.

**Step 2**– First the actual measurements are obtained by running a power flow for the given loads. Then measurement error obtained from random generator based on Normal distribution was added to the actual measurements. The forecasted load data is created by perturbing the actual load data by adding error of 30%, 40% and 50% as the case may be. The power measurement errors are selected from Normaldistribution with a standard deviationσ corresponding to the degree of uncertainty in the measured value.

**Step 3**– The sample size is defined in order to achieve acceptable results.In this thesis, 200 Monte Carlo simulationshave been chosen.

**Step 4**– To calculate the probability of interest, the estimated states ( *IKM* ,*KM* 

) of branch

currents are obtained.

##### Description of the Reference Algorithm and the Proposed Algorithm.

The reference algorithm is the work of Marquez *et al*., (2016). The algorithm in this work follows the steps of Marques *et al*., (2016) but employs the application of weighted least square state estimator instead of full dependence on meter reading. In the work of Marques *et al*., (2016) two algorithms were developed one is used for the detection of nontechnical losses while the other was used for the localisation of the NTLs. In the former the aggregated per phase current consumed by loads is measured and recorded by the DTC and it is compared with the summation of individual current measured byeach smart meter of that phase. If the value measured by the DTC is quite higher than that of the smart meters, then NTLs is detected. The algorithm is as shown inFigure 2.14. Furthermore, in the localisation Algorithm, the branch currents of each branch on the feeder is first calculated based on the ampere reading of the meters on the branch then it is also estimated based on the voltage measurement at each node of the branch. This form the core for the localisation of NTLs. In this work, the method of detection of NTLs used by Marques *et al*., (2016) was adopted and also the steps for its localisation was maintained However, in the estimation of branch current a weighted least square state estimator was used to estimate the value of voltages at nodes. This actually improves the robustness of the algorithms against the use of noisy measurement from meters as well as making it possible to accurately estimate the branch current in a case where there is loss of data due to meter outage or fault.

No

Start

Read Measurement Data

Is Topology available?

Yes

Perform Per Phase Analysis of currents measured in

each phase by the DTC(IDTCi)

Stop

No

Is

|Isi-IDTCi|>ᵋ?

Yes

Calculate Percentage error Isi-IDTC\ISi

Calculate of the sum of all SMs current measures for each phase (ISi)

Start NTLs Locator

End Process

Figure 2.14: Flowchart of the Algorithm for Detection of NTLs (Marques *et al*., 2016)

Figure 2.14 could be further explain as follows:

1. The first algorithmic stage, detection of NTLs, starts with the acquisition of the historical records.TherecordsrequiredcomprisetheSMs’currents,therespectivepowerfactorsandthep erphasecurrentsmeasuredby the DTC at the secondary substation.
2. After reading the measured data from the database, the measurement are categorized based on the phase it was captured using the availability of the topology.
3. In step III the DTC reading is also categorized phase wise.
4. In step IV the customer’s loads on each phase are summed
5. In step V the values acquired in step III and IV are compared to confirm the presence of theft.
6. The last step is to calculate the percentage of losses based on the total load on the network.
7. If the losses are beyond the acceptable margin, NTLs is Locator is called to process if not the detection location is stopped.
   1. **Case Study**

The modified Algorithm will be validated on a typical Portuguese low voltage (LV) overhead distribution network. This network will be used because the use of other networks which differ in characteristics from the one used by the Marques*et al.* (2016) may not clearly indicate the improvement in the results obtained by Marques*et al.* (2016).

##### Description of Case Study

The single line diagram of the LV distribution network in Figure 2.15 represent the test feeder that will be used to assess the modified algorithm developed. The network also includes Distributed Energy Resources (DER) as micro generation made from photovoltaic panels for some client. The network has a total of 33 bus-bars and a total of 48 consumers. Their contracted power varies in ranges between 3.45kVA and 10.35kVA single phase loads. The

location of the installed micro-generators and the respective installed power is shown in Table

2.1. It has been considered that the loads power factor varies randomly between 0.8 and 1 and the power factor of the micro-generation is equal to 1.

Table 2.1 Micro-Generators and their respective installed power (Marques *et al.*2016)

|  |
| --- |
| S/NoPhase Bus-bar Power(kVA) |
| 12 103.68  21 13 3.68  31 22 3.68  43 24 3.68  52 313.68 |




Figure 2.15: Test Feeder (Marques*etal.*2016)

##### Review of Similar Works

So many researchersworked and still working on detection of nontechnical losses in power line network in order to reduce theft, increase efficiency, enhance proper planning of power network through appropriate forecasting,andelimination of financial starvation of power utility companies that may occur as a result of high level of nontechnical losses. Amongst the work done are:

Nizar *et al.* (2006)Presented a work on the use of load profiling method in the detection of nontechnical losses in power system network. Historical load consumption data of customers characterized by different loading conditions like type of customers, (industrial residential or commercial), location, voltage level, climate and day was considered. The data collected based on prior knowledge of consumer’s consumption behavior are classified into typical and atypical load profile. Future customer’s consumption that matches with the typical load profile are stored as normal while those that does not correspond are termed nontechnical losses. Also customers whose meter recorded no consumption for a particular period of time are classified under the NTL case. However historical consumption data needed to ascertain a consumer consumption pattern are much and the classification of zero consumption meter reading data may give a lot of false positive result.

Nagi *et al.* (2010)Worked on detection of nontechnical loss for metered customers in power utility using support vector machine. In their work, variety of sources of NTLs such as fraudulent activities such as like meter tempering and bypassing as well as consumption abnormalities have been considered. ASupport Vector Machine (SVM) pattern classification technique was applied in order to detect and identify load consumption pattern of fraudulent customers using historical customer’s consumption data. However this required a large amount of training data with data collected from smart meters in addition to encoding and data mining technique this resulted to large computational effort and time.

Huang*et al.,*(2012) worked on detection of NTL using state estimation and analysis of variance. A novel meter data validation and estimation framework to enhance the Data Validation Editing and Estimation (VEE) practice in Meter Data Management system (MDMs) was presented. Their work helped in exposing the defect in using customers’ historical data as a reference for the detection of nontechnical loss also it showed that Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) is useful in detecting individual meter data anomaly. However the authors did not consider other cases of NTL which is not as a result of meter defect but as a result of direct tapping from overhead Low Voltage network. This will affect the real estimate of the NTLs in the network.

Monedero*et al.,*(2012) presented a method for the detection of fraud and nontechnical losses in a power utility using Pearson coefficient, Bayesian network and decision tree. In the work, characteristics of previously detected NTLs customer was used to detect other customers of similar features of NTLs through Bayesian network. Furthermore, in order to characterize the pattern of consumption of each customer, a set of indicators such as maximum and minimum value of the monthly or bimonthly consumptions, number of readings, reactive/active energy coefficient, number of hours of maximum power consumption, number of streaks of the customer, estimator from the streaks of customer, etc. were generated these helps in tracing the deviation in normal consumption of customers. However deviation in consumption behavior may not be the absolute result of nontechnical losses in a power grid because a customer might deviate from his regular consumption pattern due to climate condition, lack of fund among others.

Martino*et al*., (2012)proposed a solution to the problem of class imbalance for energy theft detection in traditional power systems. Considering that the number of people who committedfraudaremuch less than the number of honest customers, standard classifiers are

overwhelmed by benign samples and tend to ignore the minority class. To achieve a higher performance, different classification techniques, including one-class SVM, optimumpath forest and C4.5 decision tree, were combined. The combined classifier showed 2%–10% improvements overindividual classifiers. The shortcoming of this method is thatwhile it imposes a high-computational load, the performanceimprovement is not substantial.

Mashima and Cárdenas (2012)Suggested modeling the probability distributions of the normal and malicious consumption patterns, and application of the generalized likelihood ratio (GLR) test to detect energy theft attacks. They used autoregressive moving averageto model customers’ normal and malicious consumption distributions. They assumedthat an attacker would choose a probability distribution that decreases the mean value of the real consumption. This,however, is not necessarily true with AMI. Considering the dynamic pricing in smart grids, by only changing the order ofmeter readings without altering the average, electricity theft is possible. Another major issue with ARMA–GLR detector isthat it is only effective if the normal electricity theft behavior rand attack patterns can accurately be modeled by an ARMAprocess.

Costa *et al.,* (2013) proposed an ANN-based scheme to discoverknowledge in databases for classifying the consumers as malicious. Various consumer’s patterns of consumption are analyzed and based on the total connected load of the consumer, range of discovered pattern are classified as malicious or benign. Unfortunately, this model was found to be ineffectiveduring uneven distribution of records. Moreover, the schemewitnessed low precision which eventually leads to large falsepositives.

Sonica Agrawal (2013) presented a work on the determination of nontechnical losses usingmatlab environment. In the work, a case of two bus system was considered, one is taken as the slack bus and the other is the load bus. It was assumed that 3% of each customer’s load is

the additional load that is not billed, and this was used to estimate the increment in technical loss of the whole system, the increment is taken as the NTL in the system. However location of the nontechnical loss was considered in this work.

Depuru*et al*., (2013)proposedan improved encoding technique where both SVM and a rule engine-based algorithm were applied on encoded data to improve the classification accuracy. Portions of the algorithms were parallelized to reduce the detection time. Still the algorithm suffered from the common shortcomings of classification-based methods. The database used for performance evaluation is not publicly available and the authors did not explain howthey obtained theft samples for all customers or what percentages of the training and testing data were theft patterns.

Rengaraju *et al.* (2014)usestheapproachbasedonpowerline communication principle which is use for detecting theft in electricity.Ahighfrequencysignalisintroducedinthe distributionnetworkwhich changes its amplitude and frequencyastheloadinthelinesincreasesordecreases. The changes were detected through the gain detectors if any illegal connection is made between the poles then there willbemodificationinthevaluesofgainandthrough whichtheillegalconnectionintheelectricitywillbe discoveredandproperactionwillbetakenbythe authorities to neutralize such connection but this approach is not tried for the theft detection for the customers illegal use and it is infrastructure based.

Jokar*et al.,* (2016)also improved an effort towards the detection of electricity theft in an advanced metering infrastructure using an algorithm called Consumption Pattern Based Energy Theft Detector (CPBETD). CPBETD relies on the predictability of customer’s normal and malicious usage pattern. Along with application of SVM anomaly detector,the algorithm uses

silhouette plots to identify the different distributions in the dataset, and relies on distribution transformer meters to detect NTL at the transformer level. However the major drawback of this scheme was manual data collection from the customers which was an infeasible approach.

Jindal *et al*., (2016) proposed a scheme which comprised the use of Decision Tree (DT) and SVM-Based Data Analytics for energy theft detection in smart grid. A number of features was used as input to the DT to predict the electricity consumption of a customer, the predicted consumption as well as the feature are further imputed in to the SVM to compare the customer’s actual consumption and the predicted consumption and make a decision for theft detection. This technique helps to eliminate the need for previous consumption data of customers. However perfect prediction of customer’s electricity consumption is unrealistic due to the complexity that surrounds human decision.

From the reviewed literatures, it is evident that a lot of method has been proposed and utilized for the detection and localization of NTLs. Howeverto the best of our knowledge, majority of researchers exploited much the artificial intelligence methods and only few work have been done using the smart metering method which is more accurate and reliable. In this work a methodology is proposed to improve the accuracy of the Smart metering method and decrease the false positive rate.

## Introduction

CHAPTER THREE MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this chapter, the detailed procedures (materials and methods) used in achieving the research work, and are discussed below.

##### Materials

The materials employed for the actualization of this research are as follows:

* + 1. Personal computer

All simulations analysis are carried out using HP-14r002ne Personal Computer with the following specification:

1. Processor: Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-4210u CPU @ 1.7GHz 2.40GHz
2. Installed Memory (RAM): 8.00GB
3. System type: 64-bit Operating system, x64-based processor
4. Operating System: Windows 8.1 single language.
   * 1. **Softwares**

The major softwares used for the course of this work are Matlab 2015a and OPENDSS, these softwares are described as follows:

##### Matlab 2015a software

The branch current state estimation code, analysis and evaluation are carried out in MATLAB 2015a environment and details of the program developed are provided in appendix C.

* + - 1. *OPENDSS software*

OpenDSS is an open distribution system simulation tool developed by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI). A user can simulate any distribution system using OpenDSS while utilizing COM interfacing (see OpenDSS manual for details). Here, OpenDSS is utilized for a

distribution system load flow using Matlab COM Interfacing. The power flow solution of the test feeder was simulated using the opendss software.

##### The test case feeder.

The Test Case Feeder which was discussed in subsection 2.6.1 and itssingle line diagram were shown in Figure 2.15 For the purpose of this work, the network was modified by removing all the DGs present in the network. The line data and the bus data are given in appendix A. Table A1 and Table A2 show the detail of the consumer’s contracted power and the line impedances of the test case feeder which was discussed in subsection 2.6.1.

##### Methodology

The methodology used in carrying out the research work are listed in chapter one sub-section

1.5 and are further discussed below: In this work, two main algorithms are used the Detection algorithm and the localization algorithm.

##### Detection Algorithm

The Detection algorithm was adopted from the work of Marques *et.al.,* (2016) this algorithm was employed in order to affirm the existence of NTLs before searching the affected branch. The steps followed in this algorithm could be summarized as follows

A Distribution Transformer controller is installed at the Low voltage side that feeds the customers connected to the distribution transformer. The function of the DTC as described earlier in chapter two is to monitor and record per phase total load consumption of the customers.These readings are sent to the Meter Data Management System (MDMS) at a specified time interval.

Also all the customers on the network are assumed to be having a smart meter that captures their consumption on the network. The reading of all smart meters together with the DTC are sent simultaneously to MDMS with a time interval (reflecting the time it was captured) and

address that describes the Meter and its location depending on the type of communication system used stamped on each data.

All loads are assumed to be single phase and all customers are shared according to the phase they get their supply from. This facilitate the per phase analysis of the network.

TheprocessofNTLsdetectionisbasedontheassumptionthat the voltages’ angles in nodes is nearly the same in the entire network. As a consequence, the detection of NTLs has anassociatederrorthatneedstobeestimated.Thiserrorisused as a benchmark to decide about the presence of NTLs inthe network. When the consumers of each phase are known, a PerPhaseError(PPE)isused.ThePPE is calculateda priori by performing power flowstudies,withoutthepresenceofNTLs.Therationalebehindtheestimationof these errorswithoutthepresenceofNTLsistoassessthemarginoferror that should be used to ensurethatthemethodologyhasalowvalueoffalsepositiverate (detection of NTLs when they are not present). In case of being known the topology and the branches’ impedances of thenetwork,onlythePPEisestimatedusingtherealloadsprofiles as input to the power flowstudy. This margin of error is estimated applying the methodology of detection to the results provided by the power flow. Thus, the PPEi for each phase i is calculated using the Equation (3.1)

*PPEi*

 *ISSi*  *ITLi* 100% (3.1)

*ISS*

*i*

Where;

ISSiisthecurrentofeachphaseiinthesecondary substation ITLiis the sum of the load currents of the phase i

### Development of power flow algorithm based on thevenin’s and norton’s equivalent circuit approach in distribution network.

The whole solution technique is summarized as follows:

The normal circuit solution technique in the EPRI OPENDSS program may be concisely written as a simple fixed-point iterative method:

*V*  *Y*

1 *I*

(*V* )

*n*1

*system PC n*

n= 0, 1, 2, … until converged ( 3.2)

Where *Vn*

is the voltage magnitude at bus n

*Ysystem* is the admittance matrix of the network

*IPC* is the compensation current of the power delivery element.

In words, after building the Y-system, the process starts with a guess at the system voltage vector, V0, and computes the compensation currents from each power conversion (PC) element to populate the IPC vector. Using a sparse matrix solver, the new estimate of Vn+1is computed as shown in equation (3.2). This process is repeated until a convergence criterion is met. For distribution systems, convergence is typically achieved in four (4) to ten (10) iterations for the initial power flow solution and two (2) to three (3) iterations for Subsequent solutions in a time series. The Y-system matrix is not refactored until there is a major change in the system configuration. Thus, this method is very fast for a Quasi-Static Time-Series (QSTS) simulation.

##### Development of State Estimation Algorithm

Thissubsection describes how the state estimation algorithm was used for the location of NTLs in this work. Weighted Least Square estimator which uses branch currents as state variables is selected for this task.

##### Formulation for branch current based state estimation

The formulation of the measurement equations used in the estimation of the defined state variables are described in the following subsections.

* + - 1. *Basic WLS Formulas*

In WLS estimation, the goal is to minimize the weighted differences between measured network variables and their estimated values. The most likely state of the network can be calculated by solving equation 2.23 stated in chapter 2. Unlike the conventional power system state estimation where voltage magnitude and angle are taken as the state variables, for the purpose of this work,

the branch current magnitude and angle are taken as state variables in order to directly detect which branch of the network is actually affected by theft.

* + - 1. *Measurement equations and jacobian matrices*

In order to run the developed state estimator, measurements of various types are taken from various point in the network this measurements which can beActive power flow, reactive power flow, current flow, node voltage, current injection, active power injection and reactive power injection measurements can all be used in the developed branch current based state estimator. But in this work, nodes voltages and active and reactive power flow are taken as the available measurement, equivalent branch current flows of the active and reactive power flows are assumed to be the initial values of the state variables. Measurements, their symbols and measurement equations used are as stated below. (Dansk Energi, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid& Tampere University of Technology, 2014)

##### Active power flow between nodes K and M

The Active power equation as a function of branch current used in the state estimation is

*PKM*

described in equation 3.3

 *VK IKM* sin(*k* *KM* ) (3.3)

Where

*PKM* is the power flow between nodes K and M

*VK* Is the voltage magnitude at node K

*IKM*

Is the current flow between node K and M

##### Reactive power flow between nodes K and M

The Reactive power equation as a function of branch current used in the state estimation is described in equation 3.4

*QKM*  *VK IKM* sin(*K* *KM* ) (3.4)

Where *VK*

is the voltage magnitude at bus K

*IKM* is the current flow between node K and M

*K* is the voltage angle at bus K

*KM* is the angle of the

##### Voltage at node K

If the substation voltage as well as line current and impedance are known, the value of node voltages at any point in the network could be calculated using equation 3.5

*VK*  *V*1  

*KM**E*

*IKM ZKM*

(3.5)

Where; *ZKM* is the branch impedance of line KM

E belong to the group of lines located between nodes 1 and k

##### Current flow between nodes K and M

*IKM*  *IKM*

(3.6)

##### Current Injection at node K

The current Injected at any node K in the network can be calculated using equation 3.7

*Ik*  *IiK*   *IKJ i**B j**C*

(3.7)

Where b is the group of upper-stream nodes connected to node K and C is the group of lower- stream nodes connected to nodes K.

##### Active Power Injection at node K

*Pk*  *Vk IiK* cos(*k*  *ik* )  *Vk IKj* cos(*k*  *Kj* ) (3.8)

*i**B j**C*

##### Reactive Power Injection at node K

*Pk*  *Vk IiK* sin(*k*  *ik* )  *Vk IKj* sin(*k*  *Kj* ) (3.9)

*i**B j**C*

* + - 1. *The Jacobian Matrix. (H(x))*

The elements of the Jacobian matrix are the derivatives of available measurement with respect to the state variables. In our own case the power flow and node voltages measurement equations are differentiated with respect to branch current magnitude and angle. The derivatives of each measurement with respect to the state variables are as follows:

* + - * 1. **Derivative of active power flow with branch current and angle**: When branch power flow measurements are in the same line segments as the state variable, the partial derivatives are:

*Pkm*  *V*

cos(   )

*Ikm*

*K K KM*

(3.10)

*PKM*

 *V I* sin(  

) (3.11)

*KM*

*K KM K KM*

Otherwise, whenthemeasurementandthestatevariablearenotinthe same line segment, all the partial derivatives are zeros.

* + - * 1. **Derivative of reactive power flow with branch current and angle**:When branch power flow measurements are in the same line segments as the state variable, the partial derivatives are:

*Qkm*  *V*

sin(   )

*Ikm*

*K K KM*

(3.12)

*QKM*

 *V I* cos(  

) (3.13)

*KM*

*K KM K KM*

Otherwise,whenthemeasurementandthestatevariablearenotinthesamelinesegment,allthe partial derivatives are zeros.

* + - * 1. **Voltage magnitude measurements:**Whenthesourcenodevoltageand line currents are known, voltage at any point of the network can be calculated by subtracting the voltage drops that occur between source node (node 1) and the studied node k from the source


node voltage. In a radial feeder, thevoltageatnodekcan be calculated with equation 3.13 assuming the feeder nodes have been numbered as in Figure 3.1

Figure 3.1: Node numbering on radial feeder

The Jacobian matrixelementsrelatedtovoltagemagnitudemeasurements can be divided into two groups. The first group contains elements that are between node 1 and measured node k. Then the partial derivatives are:

*VK*

 cos .*Z* cos(   )  sin  .*Z* sin(   ) (3.14)

*Ii*1,*i*

*k i*1,*i i*1,*i i*1,*i k i*1,*i i*1,*i i*1,*i*

*VK*

 cos .*I* .*Z* sin(   )  sin  .*I* .*Z* cos(   ) (3.15)

*i*1,*i*

*k i*1,*i i*1,*i i*1,*i i*1,*i k i*1,*i i*1,*i i*1,*i i*1,*i*

Where;

*Ii*1,*i* is the current magnitude on line that goes from node *i*  1 to node *i* ,

*i* belongs to a group of nodes that are between nodes 1 and k (node k belongs to this

group, node 1 is excluded from this group)

*i*1,*i* is the current angle on the line that goes from node i−1 to node

*Zi*1,*i* is the impedance on the line that goes from node i−1 to node i

*i*1,*i* is the impedance angle on the line that goes from node i−1 to node i

*K* is the voltage angle at node k.

The second group contains elements that are not between node 1 and measured node. All partial derivatives in this group are zeros.

* + - 1. *Developed State Estimation Algorithm*

The weighted least square state estimation algorithm was described in subsection 2.4.3, it was used in this work to estimate the branch current of a network under theft. The flow chart showing the process of weighted least square state estimation is shown in Figure 3.2

**start**

**Read Network and load parameters e.g (Z, QloadPload,)**

**Perfom Load Flow Calculation to generate Pij,Qij**

**Load Bus Voltage Measurement**

**State Estimator**

**Extract Pij, Qij**

**as pseudo measurement**

**Generate Measurement weight based on measurement accuracy**

**End**

**Print Iij, αij**

Figure. 3.2 Developed Flow Chart for State Estimation Algorithm.

The following steps described the input parameters as well as the procedure for estimating the state variables.

* + - * 1. Get the network parameters (line impedance) and the load measurements (active and reactive power) captured by each smart meters and stored in the meter data management system
        2. Input the data in step (I) in load flow calculator and run the power flow to get the branch power flows
        3. Add the percentage of loss to all the branch flows
        4. Input the values in step (II), Captured Voltage magnitude, and the measurement weight to the State Estimator
        5. Run the state estimator to the get the state variables
        6. Print out the state variables.
      1. *State Estimator Accuracy*

In order to reduce high false positive rate, a threshold value is estimated above which the branch current magnitude of a segment is treated as NTLs. This is achieved by calculating the relative error between the estimated value of the state variables and the real values of the state variables of the state estimator when there is no NTLs on the Network through a Monte Carlo approach. Equation 3. 16describesthe state estimator relative error.

*RE*(%)  *sve*  *svtrue* (3.16)

*svtrue*

Where RE is the relative error of the estimator, *sve* is the value of the estimated state variable *svtrue* is the value of the true state variable.

##### Calculation of Branch Current

In order to know the extent of theft,Calculationof the Currents in Branches (CCB)usingtheconsumption’smeasurementsprovidedbySMs (currents) is performed.

Defining the Branches’ Connections Matrix (BCM), which represent the network’s topology given in snippet (3.17):

𝐵𝐶𝑀𝑖𝑗 = 1, 𝑖𝑓 𝑖 = 𝑗 𝑜𝑟 (𝑖 ≠ 𝑗 𝑎𝑛𝑑 𝑗 𝑖𝑠 𝑎 𝑑𝑜𝑤𝑛𝑠𝑡𝑟𝑒𝑎𝑚 𝑏𝑢𝑠𝑏𝑎𝑟 𝑜𝑓 𝑏𝑢𝑠𝑏𝑎𝑟 𝑖

{ (3.17)

𝐵𝐶𝑀𝑖𝑗 = 0, 𝑖𝑛 𝑜𝑡ℎ𝑒𝑟 𝑐𝑎𝑠𝑒𝑠

Branch Connection Matrix has a dimension of n x n where n is the total number of busbars. Defining the vector of per phase current consumption (CC) in each busbar𝑖 as expressed in snippet (3.18), the CCB is calculated using equation (3.19)

{𝐶𝐶𝑖 = 𝑆𝑀𝑠 𝐶𝑢𝑟𝑟𝑒𝑛𝑡, 𝑖𝑓 𝑆𝑀𝑠𝑧 ∈ 𝑏𝑢𝑠𝑏𝑎𝑟 𝑖(3.18)

𝐶𝐶𝑖 = 0 𝑖𝑛 𝑜𝑡ℎ𝑒𝑟 𝑐𝑎𝑠𝑒𝑠

[𝐶𝐶𝐵] = [𝐵𝐶𝑀]. [𝐶𝐶](3.19)

Where

[CCB]= Current in Branches [BCM]=Branches Connection Matrix

[CC]= Current Consumption

##### Developed Method for the NTLs Location

The developed method involved the use of branch current state estimation to estimate the current at each branch of the network. Theirvalues is compared with the actual value which is supposed to flow assuming energy theft has not occurred. Figure 3.3 shows the Localization flowchart.

**No**

**EN/K≥1+ɛ?**

**Yes**

**Tag branch as suspicious**

**Search for suspicious Branches- Starting In the last level of the network**

**Go to Next Branch/Level**

**Start NTLs Locator**

**Branches’ impedance**

**Calculation of Currents in Branches (CCB)- function of the measured currents**

**Calculation of the Estimated Current In Branches (ECB)- Using State Estimation**

**Calculate error EN/K**

**Is the difference between the EN/K of two or more successive branches <1+1%**

**of corresponding line flow?**

**Yes**

**No**

**No**

**All branches checked?**

**Yes**

**End of Process**

**The downstream node of last branch is affected**

**All the downstream nodes of all the branches are affected**

**Print nodes**

Figure 3.3: Developed Flowchart for Localization of NTLs

Figure 3.3 could be further explained as follows:

1. Input the Network parameters (Line resistances and reactance) together with the captured load at each bus of the network as recorded in the Meter Data Management System.
2. Calculate the branch current of each branch using the smart meter measurement
3. Estimate the branch current using state estimator, the calculated branch current in II serves as the initial values of current injected in the state estimator.
4. Calculate the relative error (difference between the calculated current and the estimated branch currents)
5. Use the value obtain in IV to search for suspicious branch starting from the last busbar of the Network
6. If the difference in IV is greater the threshold (1+1%) set for localization, tag the branch as suspicious move if not go to next branch.
7. Refresh the currents injected into the nodes and send an alarm to the DSO
8. Do VI for all branch
9. End Process.

##### Scenario Considered

Three cases are examined to test the effectiveness of this methodology.

**Case 1**

When the NTLs is 30% of the Total Load and randomly located at five different buses.

**Case 2**

When the NTLs is 40% of the total Contracted Load and the randomly spread across ten buses of studied network.

**Case 3**

When the NTLs is 50% of the Total Load and randomly spread across fifteen buses of the studied test case feeder.

##### Modification of the Test case Feeder.

The test case feeder was modified in order to test the improvement of this methodology as follows:

* All the DG of the test feeder was removed.
* Single phase equivalent of the original three phase network was calculated and used.

##### Performance Evaluation.

At the end of this work, the performance of this methodology was evaluated base on three factors:

1. **True Positive Rate:** This describes the actual performance of the improved algorithm. It gives the percentage of NTLs Locations correctly detected among the total number of NTLs Location Present. This is calculated mathematically using Equation

*TPR*  *NLD* 100% (3.17)

*NP*

Where

TPR is the percentage of success obtained. NP is the number of NTLs Location present

NLD is the numbers of NTLs Location Detected

1. **False Positive Rate:** This describes the limitation of the performance of this algorithm.

It gives the percentage of NTLs Location Detected but not actually present. It is calculated using equation

*FPR*  *NWD* 100% (3.18)

*NP*

Where NWD is the number locations detected wrongly.

1. **No Detection Rate:** This gives the percentage of undetected locations of NTLs present in the network it is calculated using equation

*ND*  1*OO*  *TPR* (3.19)

Where

TPR is the percentage of success obtained.

These factors serve as the performance matrix used to measure the success and the robustness of the developed methodology.

##### Introduction

**CHAPTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

This chapter presents the results obtained and the discussion of the results. The implementation of the work was done on the test case feeder used by Marques *et al*., (2016) with a little modification. The comparison of the results obtained between the developed method and the work of Marques *et al.*, (2016) are also presented in this chapter.

##### Assumption Made

In the course of this work the following assumptions have been made in order to assess the workability and the robustness of the developed methodology.

* + 1. All the customers on the network uses smart meters, and customer’s data are communicated through Power Line Communication (PLC) Technology.
    2. The Location of the theft was randomly generated.
    3. The value of each NTLs varies between 80% and 200% of the contracted power of the respective customer.

##### Calculation of the errors for the Detection and Localization of NTLs

In order to obtain a relatively more accurate detection and localization of NTLs, a margin of acceptable error is considered. These errors which might be due to incomplete modelling of the characteristics of the network and the measurement data, was carefully considered to reduce the percentage of false positive rate. In this section, the calculation of the Per Phase Error (PPE) is demonstrated.

##### Calculation of the Per Phase Error (PPE).

Following the description of the methodology of detection explain in subsection 3.12 the PPE of the network used as test case is shown in Figure 4.1




Figure 4.1 Per Phase Error evolution during the day

As it can be seen in Figure 4.1, the PPE is higher in phase 1 than in the other phases with a maximum value of 0.3% .Considering that the load may present variations and influencing the PPE, this value is increased in 30% and is considered the same error for the three phases.

Thus the PPE considered is given by equation 4.1 PPE=0.3 \*1.3=0.39% (4.1)

The interpretation of the value obtained in equation 4.1 is that the maximum difference between the DTC reading and smart meter reading that should be allowed before concluding on the presence of NTLs should not exceed 0.39% of the DTC reading.

### Result of the localization error for location of NTLs

The accuracy of Localization of NTLs is completely dependent on the accuracy of the estimation technique used. For the case of the state estimation, the variation in estimated branch current values varies with the percentage of NTLs associated with the Network.

Two hundred Monte Carlo simulations were performed with different measurement set to evaluate the average performance of the WLS state estimator. Figure 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 show the variations in estimated branch current for different percentage of measurement errors.







Figure 4.2: Relative error in estimated branch current (accurate voltage measurement and 30% power flow measurement)

Figure4.2, shows the percentage relative error in estimated branch current when the power theft on the network is 30% of the actual recorded consumer’s consumption at that time. From the graph, it is seen that the relative error varies from node to node. For instance, at node five (5) the maximum relative error is 0.35%, at node ten (10) it is 0.38%, the maximum variation of the estimated branch current from the actual branch current is 0.61% of the actual branch current, this value is achieved after considering different measurement set of discrete variations from themean value of the actual current flow. This value will be used to determine a threshold above which a branch is tagged as affected branch when the total NTLs is the system is 30% of the total load captured.







Figure 4.3: Relative error in estimated branch current (real-time voltage measurement and 40% power flow measurement)

Figure 4.3, shows the percentage relative error estimated branch current when the power theft on the network is 40% of the actual recorded consumer’s consumption at that time. From the graph, it is seen that the maximum variation of the of the estimated branch current from the actual branch current flow is 0.83% of the actual branch current, this value is achieved after considering different measurement set of discrete variations from the mean value of the actual current flow.These values will be used to determine a threshold above which a branch is tagged as affected branch when the total NTLs is the system is 40% of the total load captured.

.







Figure 4.4: Relative error in estimated branch current (real-time voltage measurement and 50% power flow measurement)

Figure 4.3, shows the percentage relative error estimated branch current when the power theft on the network is 50% of the actual recorded consumer’s consumption at that time. From the graph, it is seen that the maximum variation of the of the estimated branch current from the actual branch current flow is 1.02% of the actual branch current, this value is achieved after considering different measurement set of discrete variations from the mean value of the actual current flow. This value is subtracted from the result of the state estimator before the procedure for localization is proceeded.








Figure 4.5: Average estimated branch current relative error

Figure 4.5 shows the average of the estimated branch current error for 30%, 40%, 50% measurement errors, these are achieved after 200 Monte Carlo simulations of measurements. From Figure 4.5 it can be observed that the maximum point of the average variation of 30%, 40%, and 50% measurement errors are 0.21%, 0.261% and 0.318% respectively.

These values will be used as safe margin for locational error depending on the percentage of theft in the network. For example, if the theft in the network is 50% of the total load in the network, the difference between the Estimated Branch Current (ECB) and Calculated Branch Current (CCB) should not exceed (1+1%) of estimated current. But if the error is between the 40% - 50%, the average estimated relative error will be used as benchmark for decision making.

##### Simulation and Result Analysis for Detection methodology.

Extra loads (considered as NTLs) of 30%, 40% and 50% of total load on a phase on a network was created and randomly shared among five, eight and nine randomly selected busbars of the network. The state estimation is run when the load is systematically spread among all the

branches of the network. Since the customers per phase are known, a per phase analysis is performed. The result of the simulation is shown in Figure 4.6






Figure 4.6: Result of detection considering 50% energy theft.

AsshowninFigure 4.6 duetothepresenceofNTLsinphase1,theerrorinthesame phaseis higher thanthe PFE value described in Figure 4.1.In the other phases the error is lower than the PFE values due to the absence of NTLs.

##### 4.4.1 Simulation and result analysis for localization methodology.

After the NTLs is confirmed through the process of detection, the next stage which is the localization of the energy theft is proceed.

Inthissectionthe developed methodologyisassessedconsideringthedifferent scenarios detailedinSection 3.6.Theevaluationisperformedanalysingthecasescorrectly identified (true positive (TP) cases), the cases incorrectly identified (False Positives (FP) cases) and the cases Non-Detected (ND). This evaluation is performed varying the number of locations under the presence of NTLs.

Forthescenario1themainstepsoftheadvancedmethodologytolocateNTLsare also included.

In order to assess the developed methodology, a worst case scenario where the NTLs is 50% of the total network load has been picked. These thefts are shared equally among nine randomly selected bus bars. As explained in the localization flow chart, after the ECB has been calculated through state estimation, the EN/K which represent the difference between the ECB and CCB is also calculated to locate exactly the node at which theft is actually taking place. Table 4.1, 4.2,

4.3 contains the result of the estimated ECB, calculated CCB and EN/K respectively.

Table 4.1 Branches affected by theft -A

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Branch | ECB(A) | CCB(A) | EN/K(A) | Affected branches |
| 1-3 |  |  |  |  |
| 3-7 | 132.88 | 100.21 | 32.69 | Yes |
| 7-13 | 72.957 | 56.032 | 16.925 | yes |
| 13-20 | 44.19 | 28.042 | 16.148 | Yes |
| 20-27 | 44.23 | 28.042 | 16.188 | Yes |
| 27-31 | 16.107 | 0 | 16.107 | Yes |
| 31-33 | 0.13 | 0 | 0.13 | No |

Table 4.1 gives the value obtained when the CCB and the ECB of the first section of feeder branch i.e from node 1 to node 33 was evaluated, as seen from the table, the difference of ECB and CCB of allthe sub branch of that section has a value much greater than the acceptable margin except branch 31-33.This allow the methodology to classify the branch 31-33 as branch not affected by theft. It is quite important to note that a branch may be affected by theft current but might not be the actual branch the act of theft is taking place.

Table 4.2 Branches affected by theft -B

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Branch | ECB(A) | CCB(A) | EN/K(A) | Affected branches |
| 1-3 |  |  |  |  |
| 3-6 | 75.679 | 43.759 | 31.92 | Yes |
| 6-12 | 60.958 | 29.189 | 31.77 | Yes |
| 12-19 | 46.187 | 14.596 | 31.591 | Yes |
| 19-26 | 31.409 | 0 | 31.409 | Yes |
| 26-30 | 15.706 | 0 | 15.706 | Yes |

Also Table 4.2 gives the value obtained when the CCB and the ECB of the first section of feeder branch i.e from node 1 to node 30 was evaluated, as seen from the table, the difference of ECB and CCB of all the sub branch of that section has a value much greater than the acceptable margin.

So all the branches of the section are affected by theft current.

Table 4.3 Branches affected by theft -C

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Branch | ECB(A) | CCB(A) | EN/K(A) | Affected branches |
| 1-4 | 108.03 | 44.261 | 63.769 | Yes |
| 4-8 | 107.97 | 44.261 | 63.709 | Yes |
| 8-15 | 62.925 | 0 | 62.925 | Yes |
| 15-23 | 47.257 | 0 | 47.257 | Yes |
| 23-28 | 31.45 | 0 | 31.45 | Yes |
| 28-32 | 15.733 | 0 | 15.73 | Yes |

From Table 4.3, the value obtained when the CCB and the ECB of the first section of feeder branch i.e from node 1 to node 32 was also evaluated, as seen from the table, the difference of ECB and CCB of all the sub branch of that section has a value much greater than the acceptable margin. So all the branches of the section are affected by theft current.

Table 4.4 Branches affected by theft -D

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Branch | ECB(A) | CCB(A) | EN/K(A) | Affected branches |
| 1-2 | 147.74 | 130.78 | 16.96 | Yes |
| 2-5 | 133.02 | 116.21 | 16.81 | Yes |
| 5-10 | 30.395 | 14.288 | 16.107 | Yes |

Also Table 4.2 gives the value obtained when the CCB and the ECB of the first section of feeder branch i.e from node 1 to node 10 was evaluated, as seen from the table, the difference of ECB and CCB of all the sub branch of that section has a value much greater than the acceptable margin.

So all the branches of the section is affected by theft current.

The location of the exact busbar the theft took place was done by comparing the EN/K of successive branches.

Branch 3-7 has EN/K of 32.69 and branch 7-13 has EN/K of 16.925 since the reduction in current between the two successive branches is greater than the acceptable error then the node joining the two branches is picked as the point of theft, therefore bus-bar seven is affected. The same procedure is repeated for branch 7-13 and 13-20, the difference between the EN/K is 0.777 which is less than the margin of acceptable error therefore the next branch is proceeded.

This is done for all the branches and the final result was obtained. Table 4.5 shows the successfully identified locations.

Table 4.5 Identified Busbars

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Phase | Busbar |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 31 |
| 1 | 26 |
| 1 | 30 |
| 1 | 15 |
| 1 | 23 |
| 1 | 28 |
| 1 | 32 |
| 1 | 10 |

The difference between the EN/k of branch 1-3 and branch 3-7, 3-6 has a value of 31.95, this will make the algorithm to falsely detect busbar 3 as point of theft, but in actual sense it is not, this is because branch 1-3 is a parent branch to branches 3-6 and 3-7 and the load along each of the child branch might be seen as NTLs. Figure 4.7 shows the graphical representation of the performance of this method varying the number of locations.



120

100

80

60

40

20

0

N=1

N=3

N=5

N=9

TP FP ND

**%**

Figure 4.7: Result for location varying the number of locations, N, with NTLs.

Figure 4.7 shows that when the number of locations is between one and five the TPR was 100% and the NPR and ND was 0% but as the number of locations grow to nine the NPR became 11.11% but the ND remain at 0%.

##### 4.5 Validation of the Improved Method

The results of the improved method are compared with the work of marques *et al,.*(2016) the performance metric used for the comparison are True Positive Rate (TPR), False Positive Rate (FPR), and No Detection Rate (NDR) and the comparison is done on the typical Portuguese Low Voltage Network.

In the developed method, the TPR (which signifies the success rate of the method) obtained is 100% but the FPR and NDR are 11.11%, 0% respectively.

For Marques *et al.,* (2016) the highest TPR, FPR, and NDR obtained was 72.5%, 20% and 3% respectively when the number of location is five which is the highest simulated. Figure 4.8 shows the improvement achieved using the developed method when compared with the work of Marques *et al., (*2016).






Figure 4.8.Comparison of the performance between the base method and the developed method. As it can be seen from Figure 4.8, the blue bars represent the results of Marques *et al., (*2016) while the yellow bars represent the results of the developed method. The TPR, FPR and ND results are compared with that of Marques *et al*., (2016) when the number of location N, vary between one and nine. The result shows that the Marques et al., (2016) method has a decreasing TPR and an increasing FPR as the number of locations increases from one to nine, and the developed method has a better performance in terms of TPR ranging from 12% to 27.5 % when compared with the work of Marques *et al.*, (2016). Also there is an improvement 11.11% in terms of FPR, in conclusion, irrespective of number of locations the developed method as no case of Non Detection.

##### Summary

**CHAPTER FIVE CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Development of branch current State estimation algorithm for the Localization of NTLs has been presented to reduce the False Positive Rate and increase the True Positive Rate. In the work, problem associated with distribution state estimation was stated, fundamental concept and literature on similar work were reviewed. Material and methods used in achieving the research work were presented and also results obtained were discussed. Conclusion drawn were presented, limitation and recommendation for further work were also presented.

##### Conclusion

This research work presents a development of branch current based state estimation for optimal Detection and Localization of NTLs in an LV Network. The state estimation is used to estimate the branches current of the Network during theft which serve as the core values for localization. The percentage of the theft with respect to total load of the network is calculated during the detection phase of the methodology. The power flow simulation and the distribution state estimation were implemented in OPENDSS 7.6.5.52 and MATLAB2015a respectively. From the analysis done, it was observed that the maximum variations in estimated branches’ current when the theft in the network are 30%, 40%, and 50% of total load are 0.62%, 0.83%, 1.02% respectively. These values serve as the bases for setting margin of acceptable error which increase the performance of the Localization algorithm. Furthermore it was also observed that when the number of locations are within one to five the False Positive Rate and No Detection Rate are 0% but as the no of location increases to nine the false positive rate increases to 11.11%, it is evident that the developed method has a better performance in term of

improvement in True Positive rate and reduction in False Positive Rate over the work of Marques et al., (2016).

##### Significant Contribution

The significant contributions of this research work are as follows:

1. Development of Branch current based state estimation for the estimation of branch current of a Network affected with theft.
2. The optimized method achieved TPR of 100% irrespective of the number of locations of energy theft as far as the total energy theft does not exceed 50% of the total load. This is a significant development when compared to the work of Marques et al. which has a maximum location of 5 at 72.5% TPR, 20% FPR, and 3% NDR.

##### Limitations

The aim of this research work is successfully achieved, but some of the limitations of this research work are highlighted as follows:

1. Only theft of higher current rating are considered.
2. The Per Phase analysis does not take into cognizance the effect of mutual impedance.

##### Recommendations

This dissertation work can be further extended to the following areas of research:

* 1. TheincorporationofanArtificialIntelligenceMethod(AIM)forthe locationofNTLswhenthetopologyofthenetwork is not known.
  2. A further improvement in the methodology could be achieved by evaluating the margin of the acceptable error for the location of NTLs, considering the load imbalance present in the network. This may be performed using power flowstudies, without the presence of

NTLs, by analyzingthedifferencebetweenthecurrentsestimatedinbranchesandthecurrents provided by the power flow studies.

##### REFERENCES

Abdel-Majeed, A., & Braun, M. (2012). *Low voltage system state estimation using smart meters.* Paper presented at the Universities Power Engineering Conference (UPEC), 2012 47th International.

Abur, A., & Exposito, A. G. (2004). *Power system state estimation: theory and implementation*: CRC press.

Adesina, L., & Fakolujo, O. (2015). Harmonic Analysis in a 33kV Distribution Network: A Case Study of Island Business District. *IEEE African Journal of Computing and ICTs, 8*(2).

Alam, M., Muttaqi, K., & Sutanto, D. (2012). *A comprehensive assessment tool for solar PV impacts on low voltage three phase distribution networks.* Paper presented at the Developments in Renewable Energy Technology (ICDRET), 2012 2nd International Conference on the.

Antmann, P. (2009). Reducing technical and non-technical losses in the power sector.

*Background paper for the WBG Energy Strategy*.

Arif, A., Al-Hussain, M., Al-Mutairi, N., Al-Ammar, E., Khan, Y., & Malik, N. (2013). *Experimental study and design of smart energy meter for the smart grid.* Paper presented at the Renewable and Sustainable Energy Conference (IRSEC), 2013 International.

Barai, G. R., Krishnan, S., & Venkatesh, B. (2015). *Smart metering and functionalities of smart meters in smart grid-a review.* IEEE.

Ciric, R. M., Feltrin, A. P., & Ochoa, L. F. (2003). Power flow in four-wire distribution networks-general approach. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, 18*(4), 1283-1290.

Depuru, S. S. S. R., Wang, L., & Devabhaktuni, V. (2011). Electricity theft: Overview, issues, prevention and a smart meter based approach to control theft. *Energy Policy, 39*(2), 1007-1015.

Di Martino, M., Decia, F., Molinelli, J., & Fernández, A. (2012). Improving Electric FraudDetection using Class Imbalance Strategies. In *ICPRAM (2)* (pp. 135-141).

Ekanayake, J., Liyanage, K., Wu, J., Yokoyama, A., & Jenkins, N. (2012). Smart Metering and Demand‐Side Integration. *Smart Grid: Technology and Applications*, 81-112.

Feilotter, H., Nurse, P., & Young, P. (1991). Genetic and molecular analysis of cdr1/nim1 in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *Genetics, 127*(2), 309-318.

Foreman, J. C., & Gurugubelli, D. (2016). Cyber Attack Surface Analysis of Advanced Metering Infrastructure. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.04811*.

Fourie, J., & Calmeyer, J. (2004). *A statistical method to minimize electrical energy losses in a local electricity distribution network.* Paper presented at the AFRICON, 2004. 7th AFRICON Conference in Africa.

Jokar, P., Arianpoo, N., & Leung, V. C. (2016). Electricity theft detection in AMI using customers’ consumption patterns. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, 7*(1), 216-226.

Kamireddy, S., Schulz, N. N., & Srivastava, A. K. (2008). *Comparison of state estimation algorithms for extreme contingencies.* Paper presented at the Power Symposium, 2008. NAPS'08. 40th North American.

Kersting, W. H. (2012). Distribution system modeling and analysis *Electric Power Generation, Transmission, and Distribution, Third Edition* (pp. 1-58): CRC press.

Krebs, B. (2012). FBI: Smart meter hacks likely to spread. *Krebs on Security. Available online:* [*http://krebsonsecurity.*](http://krebsonsecurity/) *com/2012/04/fbi-smart-meter-hacks-likely-to-spread/(accessed on 15 August 2016)*.

Marques, L., Silva, N., Miranda, I., Rodriges, E., & Leite, H. (2016). *Detection and localisation of non-technical losses in low voltage distribution networks.* Paper presented at the Power Generation, Transmission, Distribution and Energy Conversion (MedPower 2016), Mediterranean Conference on.

Mashima, D., & Cárdenas, A. A. (2012). *Evaluating electricity theft detectors in smart grid networks.* Paper presented at the International Workshop on Recent Advances in Intrusion Detection.

McDaniel, P., & McLaughlin, S. (2009). Security and privacy challenges in the smart grid.

*IEEE Security & Privacy*, *7*(3).

Momoh, J. (2012). *Smart grid: fundamentals of design and analysis* (Vol. 63): John Wiley & Sons.

Monedero, I., Biscarri, F., Leon, C., Biscarri, J., & Millán, R. (2006). *Midas: Detection of non- technical losses in electrical consumption using neural networks and statistical techniques.*

Muniz, C., Vellasco, M. M. B. R., Tanscheit, R., & Figueiredo, K. (2009). *A Neuro-fuzzy System for Fraud Detection in Electricity Distribution.* Paper presented at the IFSA/EUSFLAT Conf.

Nagi, J., Yap, K. S., Tiong, S. K., Ahmed, S. K., & Mohamad, M. (2010). Nontechnical loss detection for metered customers in power utility using support vector machines. *IEEE transactions on Power Delivery, 25*(2), 1162-1171.

Navani, J., Sharma, N., & Sapra, S. (2012). Technical and non-technical losses in power system and its economic consequence in Indian economy. *International Journal of Electronics and Computer Science Engineering, 1*(2), 757-761.

Nizar, A., Dong, Z., Jalaluddin, M., & Raffles, M. (2006). *Load profiling method in detecting non-technical loss activities in a power utility.* Paper presented at the Power and Energy Conference, 2006. PECon'06. IEEE International.

Rengaraju, P., Pandian, S. R., & Lung, C.-H. (2014). *Communication networks and non- technical energy loss control system for smart grid networks.* Paper presented at the Innovative Smart Grid Technologies-Asia (ISGT Asia), 2014 IEEE.

SCHILDERS, W. H., & Ter Maten, E. (2005). *Numerical Methods in Electromagnetics: Special Volume* (Vol. 13): Elsevier.

Silva, N. (2010). Smart Grid Solutions and current projects deployment: InovGrid Project.

Singh, R., Pal, B., & Jabr, R. (2009). Choice of estimator for distribution system state estimation. *IET generation, transmission & distribution, 3*(7), 666-678.

Strategy, N. M. G. (2008). Advanced metering infrastructure. *US Department of Energy Office of Electricity and Energy Reliability*.

Van Der Bergh, F., Kadurek, P., Cobben, S., & Kling, W. (2011). *Electricity theft localization based on smart metering.* Paper presented at the 21st International Conference on Electricity Distribution, Frankfurt.

Wright, J. (2010). Smart meters have security holes.

Yeung, P., & Jung, M. (2012). Improving electric reliability with smart meters. *Silverspring Networks*.

##### APPENDIX A

Table A1 – Consumers’ Contracted Powers

|  |
| --- |
| BusbarPhase A (kVA) Phase B(kVA) Phase C (kVA) |
| 23.453.45 0 |
| 5003.45 |
| 63.4500 |
| 710.3500 |
| 80 0 6.9 |
| 9 6.93.453.45 |
| 10 3.45 10.350 |
| 113.450 6,9 |
| 12 3.45 3.450 |
| 13 6.9 3.45 3.45 |
| 1606.9 0 |
| 17 10.35 0 0 |
| 180 3.45 3.45 |
| 19 3.45 3.450 |
| 200 3,45 3.45 |
| 2106.9 0 |
| 2210.35 3.45 3.45 |
| 230 03.45 |
| 2406.910.35 |
| 250 3.45 10.35 |
| 260 10.350 |
| 276.93.453.45 |
| 2806.9 0 |
| 29 3.45 3.45 10.35 |
| 300 010.35 |
| 3106.9 0 |
| 3203.453.45 |
| 330 03.45 |

Table A2 – Branches’ Impedances

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Branch | From Busbar | To Busbar | R [Ω]  (Phase and Neutral) | X [Ω]  (Phase and Neutral) |
| 1 1 2 0.05670.0085 | | | | |
| 2 13 0.01900.0040 | | | | |
| 3 1 40.03670.0055 | | | | |
| 42 5 0.03100.0065 | | | | |
| 53 6 0.07690.0180 | | | | |
| 63 70.07000.0105 | | | | |
| 7 480.06670.0100 | | | | |
| 8 5 90.04670.0070 | | | | |
| 9 5100.10400.0053 | | | | |
| 10 5110.21870.0105 | | | | |
| 11 6120.29170.0140 | | | | |
| 12 7130.02330.0035 | | | | |
| 13 8140.19890.0098 | | | | |
| 14 8150.12420.0098 | | | | |
| 15 9160.02330.0035 | | | | |
| 1611 170.24960.0053 | | | | |
| 1711180.09550.0075 | | | | |
| 1812190.03810.0080 | | | | |
| 1913 200.15280.0120 | | | | |
| 2013 210.48410.0158 | | | | |
| 2114 220.12120.0255 | | | | |
| 2215230.26740.0210 | | | | |
| 2316 240.04670.0035 | | | | |
| 2418250.16140.0053 | | | | |
| 2519260.02380.0050 | | | | |
| 2620270.18750.0090 | | | | |
| 2723280.09350.0210 | | | | |
| 2824290.18440.0060 | | | | |
| 2926 300.05330.0040 | | | | |
| 3027 310.21420.0105 | | | | |
| 3128 320.32270.0105 | | | | |

##### APPENDIX B

**Opendss code for dss load flow**

**Clear all;**

**newcircuit.mycode bus=sourcebuspu=1.05 basekv=15 Angle=0 r1=0 x1=0.001 r0=0 x0=0.001 Redirect transformer\_code.dss**

**Redirect linecode.dss Redirect Linegeometry.dss Redirect line.dss**

**Redirect Loadshape.dss Redirect loadcode.dss Redirect monitor.dss**

**New Energymeter.meter1 element=Line.L1 terminal=1 peakcurrent=(470 491 515) Set Voltagebases=[15 0.4]**

**Calcvoltagebases**

**set mode=daily Set stepsize=1h Set Number=24 solve**

**//code for loads//**

**New Load.Ld1 phase=1 Bus=2.1.0 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld2 phase=1 Bus=2.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld3 phase=1 Bus=5.3.4 kv=0.24 kva=23.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld4 phase=1 Bus=6.1.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld5 phase=1 Bus=7.1.4 kv=0.24 kva=10.35 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=commercial New Load.Ld6 phase=1 Bus=8.3.4 kv=0.24 kva=6.9 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=naija1**

**New Load.Ld7 phase=1 Bus=9.1.4 kv=0.24 kva=6.9 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=naija1 New Load.Ld8 phase=1 Bus=9.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld9 phase=1 Bus=9.3.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana**

**New Load.Ld10 phase=1 Bus=10.1.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld11 phase=1 Bus=10.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=10.35 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=commercial New Load.Ld12 phase=1 Bus=11.1.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld13 phase=1 Bus=11.3.4 kv=0.24 kva=6.9 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=naija1**

**New Load.Ld14 phase=1 Bus=12.1.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld15 phase=1 Bus=12.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld16 phase=1 Bus=13.1.4 kv=0.24 kva=6.9 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=naija1 New Load.Ld17 phase=1 Bus=13.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld18 phase=1 Bus=13.3.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.42 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld19 phase=1 Bus=16.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=6.9 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=naija1**

**New Load.Ld20 phase=1 Bus=17.1.4 kv=0.24 kva=10.35 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=commercial New Load.Ld21 phase=1 Bus=18.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld22 phase=1 Bus=18.3.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld23 phase=1 Bus=19.1.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld24 phase=1 Bus=19.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld25 phase=1 Bus=20.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld26 phase=1 Bus=20.3.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld27 phase=1 Bus=21.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana**

**New Load.Ld28 phase=1 Bus=22.1.4 kv=0.24 kva=10.35 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=commercial New Load.Ld29 phase=1 Bus=22.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld30 phase=1 Bus=22.3.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld31 phase=1 Bus=23.3.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld32 phase=1 Bus=24.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=6.9 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=naija1**

**New Load.Ld33 phase=1 Bus=24.3.4 kv=0.24 kva=10.35 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=commercial New Load.Ld34 phase=1 Bus=25.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld35 phase=1 Bus=25.3.4 kv=0.24 kva=10.35 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=commercial New Load.Ld36 phase=1 Bus=26.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld37 phase=1 Bus=27.1.4 kv=0.24 kva=6.9 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=naija1**

**New Load.Ld38 phase=1 Bus=27.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld39 phase=1 Bus=27.3.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld40 phase=1 Bus=28.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=6.9 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=naija1 New Load.Ld41 phase=1 Bus=29.1.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld42 phase=1 Bus=29.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana**

**New Load.Ld43 phase=1 Bus=29.3.4 kv=0.24 kva=10.35 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=commercial New Load.Ld44 phase=1 Bus=30.3.4 kv=0.24 kva=10.35 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=commercial New Load.Ld45 phase=1 Bus=31.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=6.9 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=naija1**

**New Load.Ld46 phase=1 Bus=32.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld47 phase=1 Bus=32.2.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana New Load.Ld48 phase=1 Bus=33.3.4 kv=0.24 kva=3.45 PF=0.88 model=1 daily=Semana**

**// CODE for lines//**

**New Line.L1 phases=1 Bus1=1 bus2=2 rmatrix=(0.0567) xmatrix=(0.0085) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L2 phases=1 Bus1=1 bus2=3 rmatrix=(0.0190) xmatrix=(0.0040) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L3 phases=1 Bus1=1 bus2=4 rmatrix=(0.0367) xmatrix=(0.0055) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L4 phases=1 Bus1=2 bus2=5 rmatrix=(0.0310) xmatrix=(0.0065) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L5 phases=1 Bus1=3 bus2=6 rmatrix=(0.0769) xmatrix=(0.0180) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L6 phases=1 Bus1=3 bus2=7 rmatrix=(0.0700) xmatrix=(0.0105) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L7 phases=1 Bus1=4 bus2=8 rmatrix=(0.0667) xmatrix=(0.0100) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L8 phases=1 Bus1=5 bus2=9 rmatrix=(0.0467) xmatrix=(0.0070) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L9 phases=1 Bus1=5 bus2=10 rmatrix=(0.1040) xmatrix=(0,0053) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L10 phases=1 Bus1=5 bus2=11 rmatrix=(0.2187) xmatrix=(0.0105) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L11 phases=1 Bus1=6 bus2=12 rmatrix=(0.2917) xmatrix=(0.0140) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L12 phases=1 Bus1=7 bus2=13 rmatrix=(0.0233) xmatrix=(0.0035) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L13 phases=1 Bus1=8 bus2=14 rmatrix=(0.1989) xmatrix=(0.0098) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L14 phases=1 Bus1=8 bus2=15 rmatrix=(0.1242) xmatrix=(0.0098) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L15 phases=1 Bus1=9 bus2=16 rmatrix=(0.0233) xmatrix=(0.0035) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L16 phases=1 Bus1=11 bus2=17 rmatrix=(0.2496) xmatrix=(0.0053) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L17 phases=1 Bus1=11 bus2=18 rmatrix=(0.0955) xmatrix=(0.0075) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L18 phases=1 Bus1=12 bus2=19 rmatrix=(0.0381) xmatrix=(0.0080) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L19 phases=1 Bus1=13 bus2=20 rmatrix=(0.1528) xmatrix=(0.0120) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L20 phases=1 Bus1=13 bus2=21 rmatrix=(0.4841) xmatrix=(0.0158) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L21 phases=1 Bus1=14 bus2=22 rmatrix=(0.1212) xmatrix=(0.0255) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L22 phases=1 Bus1=15 bus2=23 rmatrix=(0.2674) xmatrix=(0.0210) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L23 phases=1 Bus1=16 bus2=24 rmatrix=(0.0467) xmatrix=(0.0035) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L24 phases=1 Bus1=18 bus2=25 rmatrix=(0.1614) xmatrix=(0.0053) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L25 phases=1 Bus1=19 bus2=26 rmatrix=(0.0238) xmatrix=(0.0050) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L26 phases=1 Bus1=20 bus2=27 rmatrix=(0.1875) xmatrix=(0.0090) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L27 phases=1 Bus1=23 bus2=28 rmatrix=(0.0935) xmatrix=(0.0210) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L28 phases=1 Bus1=24 bus2=29 rmatrix=(0.1844) xmatrix=(0.0060) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L29 phases=1 Bus1=26 bus2=30 rmatrix=(0.0533) xmatrix=(0.0040) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L30 phases=1 Bus1=27 bus2=31 rmatrix=(0.2142) xmatrix=(0.0105) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L31 phases=1 Bus1=28 bus2=32 rmatrix=(0.3227) xmatrix=(0.0105) Length=0.05 units=km New Line.L32 phases=1 Bus1=31 bus2=33 rmatrix=(0.3227) xmatrix=(0.0105) Length=0.05 units=km**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **new monitor.SSM1**  **new monitor.SSM2** | **element=Transformer.Tr1 terminal=1**  **element=Transformer.Tr1 terminal=1** | | **mode=1**  **mode=0** | **ppolar=no** |
| **!//.................Code For Line Monitoring //** | | | | |
| **new monitor.snap1** | **element=Line.L1** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** | |
| **new monitor.snap2** | **element=Line.L2** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** | |
| **new monitor.snap3** | **element=Line.L3** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** | |
| **new monitor.snap4** | **element=Line.L4** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** | |
| **new monitor.snap5** | **element=Line.L5** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** | |
| **new monitor.snap6** | **element=Line.L6** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** | |
| **new monitor.snap7** | **element=Line.L7** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** | |
| **new monitor.snap8** | **element=Line.L8** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** | |
| **new monitor.snap9** | **element=Line.L9** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** | |
| **new monitor.snap10** | **element=Line.L10** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** | |
| **new monitor.snap11** | **element=Line.L11** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** | |
| **new monitor.snap12** | **element=Line.L12** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **new monitor.snap13** | **element=Line.L13** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap14** | **element=Line.L14** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap15** | **element=Line.L15** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap16** | **element=Line.L16** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap17** | **element=Line.L17** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap18** | **element=Line.L18** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap19** | **element=Line.L19** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap20** | **element=Line.L20** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap21** | **element=Line.L21** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap22** | **element=Line.L22** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap23** | **element=Line.L23** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap24** | **element=Line.L24** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap25** | **element=Line.L25** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap26** | **element=Line.L26** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap27** | **element=Line.L27** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap28** | **element=Line.L28** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap29** | **element=Line.L29** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap30** | **element=Line.L30** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap31** | **element=Line.L31** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.snap32** | **element=Line.L32** | **terminal=1 mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |

**!//.................Code For Load Monitoring //**

**new monitor.camp1 element=Load.Ld1 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv1 element=Load.Ld1 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp2 element=Load.Ld2 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv2 element=Load.Ld2 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp3 element=Load.Ld3 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv3 element=Load.Ld3 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp4 element=Load.Ld4 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv4 element=Load.Ld4 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp5 element=Load.Ld5 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv5 element=Load.Ld5 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp6 element=Load.Ld6 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv6 element=Load.Ld6 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp7 element=Load.Ld7 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv7 element=Load.Ld7 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp8 element=Load.Ld8 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv8 element=Load.Ld8 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp9 element=Load.Ld9 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv9 element=Load.Ld9 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp10 element=Load.Ld10 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv10 element=Load.Ld10 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp11 element=Load.Ld11 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv11 element=Load.Ld11 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp12 element=Load.Ld12 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv12 element=Load.Ld12 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp13 element=Load.Ld13 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv13 element=Load.Ld13 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp14 element=Load.Ld14 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv14 element=Load.Ld14 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp15 element=Load.Ld15 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv15 element=Load.Ld15 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp16 element=Load.Ld16 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv16 element=Load.Ld16 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp17 element=Load.Ld17 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv17 element=Load.Ld17 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp18 element=Load.Ld18 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv18 element=Load.Ld18 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp19 element=Load.Ld19 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv19 element=Load.Ld19 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp20 element=Load.Ld20 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv20 element=Load.Ld20 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp21 element=Load.Ld21 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv21 element=Load.Ld21 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp22 element=Load.Ld22 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv22 element=Load.Ld22 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp23 element=Load.Ld23 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv23 element=Load.Ld23 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp24 element=Load.Ld24 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv24 element=Load.Ld24 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp25 element=Load.Ld25 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv25 element=Load.Ld25 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp26 element=Load.Ld26 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv26 element=Load.Ld26 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp27 element=Load.Ld27 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv27 element=Load.Ld27 terminal=1 mode=0**

**new monitor.camp28 element=Load.Ld28 terminal=1 mode=1 ppolar=no new monitor.camv28 element=Load.Ld28 terminal=1 mode=0**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **new monitor.camp29**  **new monitor.camv29** | **element=Load.Ld29**  **element=Load.Ld29** | **terminal=1**  **terminal=1** | **mode=1**  **mode=0** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camp30**  **new monitor.camv30** | **element=Load.Ld30**  **element=Load.Ld30** | **terminal=1**  **terminal=1** | **mode=1**  **mode=0** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camp31** | **element=Load.Ld31** | **terminal=1** | **mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camv31**  **new monitor.camp32** | **element=Load.Ld31**  **element=Load.Ld32** | **terminal=1**  **terminal=1** | **mode=0**  **mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camv32** | **element=Load.Ld32** | **terminal=1** | **mode=0** |  |
| **new monitor.camp33**  **new monitor.camv33** | **element=Load.Ld33**  **element=Load.Ld33** | **terminal=1**  **terminal=1** | **mode=1**  **mode=0** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camp34** | **element=Load.Ld34** | **terminal=1** | **mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camv34**  **new monitor.camp35** | **element=Load.Ld34**  **element=Load.Ld35** | **terminal=1**  **terminal=1** | **mode=0**  **mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camv35** | **element=Load.Ld35** | **terminal=1** | **mode=0** |  |
| **new monitor.camp36**  **new monitor.camv36** | **element=Load.Ld36**  **element=Load.Ld36** | **terminal=1**  **terminal=1** | **mode=1**  **mode=0** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camp37** | **element=Load.Ld37** | **terminal=1** | **mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camv37**  **new monitor.camp38** | **element=Load.Ld37**  **element=Load.Ld38** | **terminal=1**  **terminal=1** | **mode=0**  **mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camv38** | **element=Load.Ld38** | **terminal=1** | **mode=0** |  |
| **new monitor.camp39**  **new monitor.camv39** | **element=Load.Ld39**  **element=Load.Ld39** | **terminal=1**  **terminal=1** | **mode=1**  **mode=0** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camp40** | **element=Load.Ld40** | **terminal=1** | **mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camv40**  **new monitor.camp41** | **element=Load.Ld40**  **element=Load.Ld41** | **terminal=1**  **terminal=1** | **mode=0**  **mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camv41** | **element=Load.Ld41** | **terminal=1** | **mode=0** |  |
| **new monitor.camp42**  **new monitor.camv42** | **element=Load.Ld42**  **element=Load.Ld42** | **terminal=1**  **terminal=1** | **mode=1**  **mode=0** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camp43** | **element=Load.Ld43** | **terminal=1** | **mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camv43**  **new monitor.camp44** | **element=Load.Ld43**  **element=Load.Ld44** | **terminal=1**  **terminal=1** | **mode=0**  **mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camv44** | **element=Load.Ld44** | **terminal=1** | **mode=0** |  |
| **new monitor.camp45**  **new monitor.camv45** | **element=Load.Ld45**  **element=Load.Ld45** | **terminal=1**  **terminal=1** | **mode=1**  **mode=0** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camp46** | **element=Load.Ld46** | **terminal=1** | **mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camv46**  **new monitor.camp47** | **element=Load.Ld46**  **element=Load.Ld47** | **terminal=1**  **terminal=1** | **mode=0**  **mode=1** | **ppolar=no** |
| **new monitor.camv47** | **element=Load.Ld47** | **terminal=1** | **mode=0** |  |
| **new monitor.camp48**  **new monitor.camv48** | **element=Load.Ld48**  **element=Load.Ld48** | **terminal=1**  **terminal=1** | **mode=1**  **mode=0** | **ppolar=no** |

**C:\Users\Abdulkareem\Documents\my code\master.dss**

**%Matlab Code for state estimation. Clear all;**

##### APPENDIX C

fbus=Idata(:,3);

tbus=Idata(:,4);

nbus =max(max(fbus),max(tbus)); nbranch =nbus-1;

Ir=Idata(:,6);

Ii=Idata(:,7);

Imod=Ir-(1i\*Ii);

Iflow = Imod./696;

Ibus = zeros(nbus,nbus); % Initialise IBus...

% Formation of the Off Diagonal Elements... for k=1:nbranch

Ibus(fbus(k),tbus(k)) = Ibus(fbus(k),tbus(k))+ Iflow(k);

Ibus(tbus(k),fbus(k)) = Ibus(fbus(k),tbus(k)); end

% Formation of Diagonal Elements....

for m =1:nbus for n =1:nbranch iffbus(n) == m Ibus(m,m) =0;

elseiftbus(n) == m Ibus(m,m) = 0; end

end end

Ibus; % branch current Matrix

##### APPENDIX D

num = 33; % Test case feeder code... ybus = ybusppg(num); % Get YBus.. zbus=1./ybus;

Current=imatrix; Calling the branch current matrix

Volt = Voltage; Calling measurement function equations zdata = zdatas(num); % Get Measurement data..

bpq = bbusppg(num); % Get B data.. busdata=busdatas(num);%Get Bus data

nbus = max(max(zdata(:,4)),max(zdata(:,5))); % Get number of buses..

type = zdata(:,2); % Type of measurement, Vi - 1, Pi - 2, Qi - 3, Pij - 4, Qij - 5, Iij - 6.. z = zdata(:,3); % Measuement values..

fbus = zdata(:,4); % From bus.. tbus = zdata(:,5); % To bus..

Ri = diag(zdata(:,6)); % Measurement Error..

current = zdata(:,7); % Initial current data measuremnt I= ones(nbus-1) & Initialize the current vector

angI = zeros(nbus-1); % Initialize the current angle angles. V = ones(nbus,1); % Initialize the bus voltages... del=zeros(2:nbus,1)

E = [ang1; I]; % State Vector.. R=real(imatrix); Im=imag(imatrix);

G = real(zbus); B = imag(zbus);

vi = find(type == 1); % Index of voltage magnitude measurements.. ppi = find(type == 2); % Index of real power injection measurements..

qi = find(type == 3); % Index of reactive power injection measurements.. pf = find(type == 4); % Index of real powerflow measurements..

qf = find(type == 5); % Index of reactive powerflow measurements.. Iij= find(type==6); % Index of branch current flow measurement....

nvi = length(vi); % Number of Voltage measurements..

npi = length(ppi); % Number of Real Power Injection measurements.. nqi = length(qi); % Number of Reactive Power Injection measurements.. npf = length(pf); % Number of Real Power Flow measurements..

nqf = length(qf); % Number of Reactive Power Flow measurements.. nIb = length(Iij); % Number of branch current floe mesurement..

iter = 1;

tol = 5; while(tol> 1e-4)

%Measurement Function, h h1 = zeros(nvi,1);

h2 = zeros(npi,1); h3 = zeros(nqi,1); h4 = zeros(npf,1); h5 = zeros(nqf,1);

V1 = 0.9

for i = 1:npf

m = fbus(pf(i));

n = tbus(pf(i));

h4(i) = h4(i) + v(m)\*I(m,n)\*cos(del(m)-del(m,n));

end

for i = 1:nqf

m = fbus(qf(i));

n = tbus(qf(i));

h5(i) = V(m)\*I(m,n)\*sin(del(m)-del(m,n));

end

h = [h1; h2; h3; h4; h5]; r = z – h;

H11 = zeros(nvi,nbus-1); H12 = zeros(nvi,nbus-1);

for k = 1:nvi

m=fbus(vi(i));

for n = 1:nbus if n == k H12(k,n) = 1;

end end end

H21 = zeros(npi,nbus-1); for i = 1:npi

m = fbus(ppi(i)); for k = 1:(nbus-1)

if k+1 == m for n = 1:nbus

H21(i,k) = H21(i,k) + I(m,n)\*(-G(m,n)\*sin(del(m)-del(n)) + B(m,n)\*cos(del(m)-del(n)));

end

H21(i,k) = H21(i,k) - V(m)^2\*B(m,m);

else

H21(i,k) = V(m)\* V(k+1)\*(G(m,k+1)\*sin(del(m)-del(k+1)) - B(m,k+1)\*cos(del(m)-del(k+1)));

end end end

H22 = zeros(npi,nbus); for i = 1:npi

m = fbus(ppi(i)); for k = 1:(nbus)

if k == m

for n = 1:nbus

H22(i,k) = H22(i,k) + V(n)\*(G(m,n)\*cos(del(m)-del(n)) + B(m,n)\*sin(del(m)-del(n)));

end

H22(i,k) = H22(i,k) + V(m)\*G(m,m);

else

H22(i,k) = V(m)\*(G(m,k)\*cos(del(m)-del(k)) + B(m,k)\*sin(del(m)-del(k)));

end end end

% H31 - Derivative of Reactive Power Injections with Angles.. H31 = zeros(nqi,nbus-1);

for i = 1:nqi

m = fbus(qi(i)); for k = 1:(nbus-1)

if k+1 == m for n = 1:nbus

H31(i,k) = H31(i,k) + V(m)\* V(n)\*(G(m,n)\*cos(del(m)-del(n)) + B(m,n)\*sin(del(m)-del(n)));

end

H31(i,k) = H31(i,k) - V(m)^2\*G(m,m);

else

H31(i,k) = V(m)\* V(k+1)\*(-G(m,k+1)\*cos(del(m)-del(k+1)) - B(m,k+1)\*sin(del(m)-del(k+1)));

end end end

% H32 - Derivative of Reactive Power Injections with Iij.. H32 = zeros(nqi,nbus);

for i = 1:nqi

m = fbus(qi(i)); for k = 1:(nbus)

if k == m

for n = 1:nbus

H32(i,k) = H32(i,k) + V(n)\*(G(m,n)\*sin(del(m)-del(n)) - B(m,n)\*cos(del(m)-del(n)));

end

H32(i,k) = H32(i,k) - V(m)\*B(m,m);

else

H32(i,k) = V(m)\*(G(m,k)\*sin(del(m)-del(k)) - B(m,k)\*cos(del(m)-del(k)));

end end end

% H41 - Derivative of Real Power Flows with Angles.. H41 = zeros(npf,nbus-1);

for i = 1:npf

m = fbus(pf(i));

n = tbus(pf(i)); for k = 1:(nbus-1) if k+1 == m

H41(i,k) = V(m)\* V(n)\*(-G(m,n)\*sin(del(m)-del(n)) + B(m,n)\*cos(del(m)-del(n))); else if k+1 == n

H41(i,k) = -V(m)\* V(n)\*(-G(m,n)\*sin(del(m)-del(n)) + B(m,n)\*cos(del(m)-del(n)));

else

H41(i,k) = 0;

end end end end

% H42 - Derivative of Real Power Flows with V.. H42 = zeros(npf,nbus);

for i = 1:npf

m = fbus(pf(i));

n = tbus(pf(i)); for k = 1:nbus

if k == m

H42(i,k) = -V(n)\*(-G(m,n)\*cos(del(m)-del(n)) - B(m,n)\*sin(del(m)-del(n))) - 2\*G(m,n)\*V(m); else if k == n

H42(i,k) = -V(m)\*(-G(m,n)\*cos(del(m)-del(n)) - B(m,n)\*sin(del(m)-del(n)));

else

H42(i,k) = 0;

end end end end

% H51 - Derivative of Reactive Power Flows with Angles .. H51 = zeros(nqf,nbus-1);

for i = 1:nqf

m = fbus(qf(i));

n = tbus(qf(i)); for k = 1:(nbus-1) if k+1 == m

H51(i,k) = -V(m)\* V(n)\*(-G(m,n)\*cos(del(m)-del(n)) - B(m,n)\*sin(del(m)-del(n)));

else if k+1 == n

H51(i,k) = V(m)\* V(n)\*(-G(m,n)\*cos(del(m)-del(n)) - B(m,n)\*sin(del(m)-del(n)));

else

H51(i,k) = 0;

end end end end

H52 = zeros(nqf,nbus); for i = 1:nqf

m = fbus(qf(i));

n = tbus(qf(i)); for k = 1:nbus

if k == m

H52(i,k) = -V(n)\*(-G(m,n)\*sin(del(m)-del(n)) + B(m,n)\*cos(del(m)-del(n))) - 2\*V(m)\*(-B(m,n)+ bpq(m,n));

else if k == n

H52(i,k) = -V(m)\*(-G(m,n)\*sin(del(m)-del(n)) + B(m,n)\*cos(del(m)-del(n)));

else

H52(i,k) = 0;

end end end end

H = [H11 H12; H21 H22; H31 H32; H41 H42; H51 H52];

% Gain Matrix, Gm..

Gm = H'\*inv(Ri)\*H;

%Objective Function.. J = sum(inv(Ri)\*r.^2);

% State Vector..

dE = inv(Gm)\*(H'\*inv(Ri)\*r); E = E + dE;

del(2:end) = E(1:nbus-1); V = E(nbus:end);

iter = iter + 1;

tol = max(abs(dE)); end

CvE = diag(inv(H'\*inv(Ri)\*H)); % Covariance matrix.. Del = 180/pi\*del;

E2 = [Iij Del]; % Branch current and angles..

disp('-------- State Estimation ');

disp(' '); disp('| Branch | Iij | Angle | '); disp('| No | pu | Degree | '); disp(' '); for m = 1:n

fprintf('%4g', m); fprintf(' %8.4f', V(m)); fprintf(' %8.4f', Del(m)); fprintf('\n'); end

disp(' ');