Peer Reviewed | Open access
Greenresearch © 2026 Issue II Vol.5
International Journal of Engineering Innovation, Systems and Sustainable Technologies (IJEISST)

Robotics Adoption and Workforce Transformation in Developing Economies

Mustapha, Ibrahim Suleiman[1], Atiku, Hassan Babaginda[2],

[1]Department Of Computer Science, Bayero University, Kano
[2]Department Of Computer Science, Bayero University, Kano
A contributory publication research for Greenresearch Digital Publishing
In affiliation with TES Digital Service Limited for the promotion of African Education under the International Journal of Engineering Innovation, Systems and Sustainable Technologies (IJEISST)
Email: Greenresearchng@gmail.com
Phone: +234901 - 951 - 6714
Received: 21.04.2026 | Revised: 18.05.2026 | Accepted: 23.05.2026

Abstract

Purpose: This paper critically examines the quantitative relationship between robotics adoption and workforce transformation dynamics in developing economies, focusing on employment levels, labor polarization, wage distribution, and productive capacity shifts. It challenges prevailing normative narratives by interrogating whether robotics catalyzes equitable growth or exacerbates labor market stratification.

Methodology: Using cross-national panel data from 2004–2022 and multi-country regression models, this research quantifies robot density effects on employment patterns. Instrumental variables mitigate endogeneity, while job transition probability matrices derived from Egypt and Africa datasets are employed to model labor mobility. Quantitative models include differential employment regressions and wage distribution analyses to assess polarization.

Findings: Results reveal statistically significant robotics adoption effects on occupational structures in developing contexts. Increased robot density correlates with labor displacement in formal sectors and the expansion of informal employment buffers. Skilled labor demand rises non-linearly, with marked polarization and limited transition pathways for a majority of displaced workers. Employment gains are sectorally divergent, and wage effects remain uneven.

Value: By integrating global econometric evidence with developing economy job transition modeling, this study offers nuanced insight into how robotics reshapes labor markets beyond descriptive case studies, contributing to policy debates on automation, employment resilience, and equitable technological diffusion.

Keywords: Robotics adoption; labor market transformation; developing economies; employment polarization; automation impacts; robot density.

1. Introduction

The advent of robotics as a core driver of economic transformation has provoked polarizing debates about its implications for labor markets, especially in developing economies. While developed countries benefit from robotics-induced productivity gains and subsequent employment dynamics, the transferring of these findings to developing contexts is neither straightforward nor universally beneficial. Industrial robotsdefined as programmable, multi-functional manipulators capable of moving materials, parts, tools, or specialized deviceshave proliferated globally, expanding into manufacturing and services. However, disparities in institutional capacity, labor market structure, and skill distributions mean the impacts of robot adoption on workforce transformation cannot be generalized from high-income contexts (Autor et al., 2024; Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2021). Existing global evidence underscores the complexity of robotics adoption. In developed economies, robots often improve aggregate employment and productivity with lagged effects; in developing economies, empirical findings suggest muted productivity returns and limited employment effects. These outcomes raise critical questions: Does robotics accelerate structural transformation or intensify existing inequalities? How do labor market institutions mediate automation effects? This paper systematically addresses these questions with rigorous quantitative analysis, drawing on global robot density data and detailed labor transition models in African and Middle Eastern contexts (Matsuki, 2026; Mulwa & Segawa, 2026).

2. Literature Review

2.1 Robotics Adoption and Labor Market Outcomes

Cross-country empirical work demonstrates divergent employment responses to robotics adoption. Autor et al. (2024) find that robot adoption does not yield employment gains in developing economies, in contrast to positive delayed employment in developed economies. This trend questions simplistic narratives that automation uniformly stimulates job creation. Acemoglu and Restrepo's panel evidence indicates that while robotics raises productivity broadly, inclusive growth gains are concentrated within high-skilled labor segments in developing countries, leaving low- and semi-skilled labor structurally vulnerable (Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2021). Further empirical investigation in Latin America shows robots displacing formal jobs and increasing informal employment, particularly affecting young and semi-skilled workers. The informal sector operates as a labor market buffer, complicating policy narratives around automation and unemployment (Gasparini & Tornarolli, 2023).

2.2 Workforce Polarization and Automation Risk

Labor market polarization literature highlights a bifurcation in workforce structures: high-skill job expansion versus low-skill job contraction, with middle-skill jobs most exposed to automation risk. Ganuthula and Balaraman's comparative analysis shows developing economies face "double vulnerability"high employment shares in low-skill, high-automation occupations and limited AI preparedness. Graph-based labor-market transition analysis in Egyptian job data underlines the narrow pathways for displaced workers to transition into safer roles, with only a small fraction of labor capable of reskilling into compatible occupations, pointing to significant structural barriers in labor mobility (Dawoud et al., 2026).

2.3 Economic Development and Robotics

The literature reflects contested impacts of robotics on economic development. Schmitz and Paus (2025) demonstrate cases where robotics adoption in Indonesian manufacturing correlates with employment gains alongside productivity improvements, indicating contextual variability in impacts.

Conversely, equilibrium modeling shows initial negative aggregate effects on emerging developing countries, suggesting robotics may widen performance gaps before long-term productivity returns. These models imply transitional labor disruptions not captured by simple productivity metrics.

3. Methodology

3.1 Data Sources

This quantitative study uses a global panel dataset covering robot adoption metrics (robots per 10,000 manufacturing workers) across 74 countries, compounding data from international organizations and robot density indices. Labor market outcomesemployment, unemployment, skill distribution, and informalityare drawn from ILO, World Bank, and country-level labor force surveys.

3.2 Econometric Models

3.2.1 Robot Density and Employment Regression

A panel fixed effects regression estimates the impact of robot density on employment levels: $Employment_{it}=\alpha+\beta\cdot RobotDensityit+\gamma Xit+\mu i+\lambda t+\epsilon it$

$$Employment_{it} = \alpha + \beta \cdot RobotDensityit + \gamma Xit + \mu i + \lambda t + \epsilon it$$

where i indexes country, t indexes year, X_{it} includes control variables (GDP per capita, education levels), and fixed effects capture unobserved heterogeneity.

3.2.2 Labor Transition Probability Matrix

Using Egyptian occupation skill data, we generate a transition probability matrix PPP that quantifies the likelihood of movement between occupations given shared skill features.

3.3 Identification and Instrumentation

To address endogeneity, we instrument robot density with lagged global robot adoption means within the same industry-year cohort.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Robot Adoption Effects on Employment Levels

Table 1: Robot Density and Employment Regression Results

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	p-Value
RobotDensity	-0.024	0.011	-2.18	0.030
GDPpc	0.065	0.014	4.64	<0.001
Education	0.081	0.022	3.68	<0.001

Interpretation: Robot density bears a statistically significant negative coefficient on overall employment in developing countries at the 5% level, controlling for GDP per capita and education.

4.2 Wage Distribution and Polarization

Table 2: Robot Density and Wage Percentiles

Percentile	Coefficient	Std. Error	p-Value
10th	-0.035	0.013	0.008
50th	-0.012	0.009	0.182
90th	0.029	0.014	0.041

Interpretation: Robotics adoption associates with wage compression at the lower deciles and wage gains at the top decile, consistent with polarization patterns.

4.3 Labor Transition Pathways

From the transition probability matrix, only 24.5% of workers displaced by automation have feasible skill overlap into higher-skill occupations (defined as ≥50% skill similarity).

5. Discussion and Conclusion

This quantitative investigation reveals that while robotics can increase productivity, it does not guarantee net employment gains in developing economies. Structural labor market characteristics, such as high informality and skill mismatches, dampen the potential of robotics to serve as an inclusive growth engine. The significant negative relationship between robot density and employment levels suggests displacement risk, particularly for low-skill workers, echoing findings from Latin American labor markets (Gasparini & Tornarolli, 2023). Wage effects exhibit polarization: negative impacts on lower percentiles and positive returns at higher skill levels. This underscores the dualistic nature of robotics adoptionamplifying returns for a skilled minority while imposing displacement and stagnation for the majority. Labor transition modeling confirms that only a minority of at-risk workers possess the skill trajectories necessary for seamless occupational mobility, necessitating expansive policy interventions in education and reskilling. Future research should refine these models with firm-level panel data and explore informal sector dynamics more deeply. Policy prescriptions must pivot from simplistic automation adoption to frameworks that integrate labor market protections, reskilling initiatives, and inclusive innovation ecosystems.

References

1. Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2021). Diffusion of industrial robotics and inclusive growth: Labour market evidence from cross-country data. Journal of Business Research, 122, 670–684. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.05.051
2. Autor, D., et al. (2024). Technological employment: Evidence from worldwide robot adoption. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 209, 123742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2024.123742
3. Calì, M., & Presidente, G. (2022). How will the use of robots impact economic development? World Economic Forum / VoxEU.
4. Dawoud, A., Samir, S., & Mohamed, M. (2026). Graph-based analysis of AI-driven labor market transitions: Evidence from 10,000 Egyptian jobs. arXiv.

5. Ganuthula, V. R. R., & Balaraman, K. K. (2025). Skill-based labor market polarization in the age of AI: Comparative evidence between India and the U.S. arXiv.
6. Gasparini, L., & Tornarolli, L. (2023). The impact of robots in Latin America: Evidence from local labor markets. World Development, 170, 106271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2023.106271
7. Matsuki, T. (2026). Improving the effects of industrial robot adoption on employment, total factor productivity, and real wages in 52 world economies and OECD members. Review of World Economics. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10290-025-00626-z
8. Mulwa, D. F., & Segawa, A. (2026). Job evolution and automation in Africa and emerging markets: A data-guided analysis. Preprints.org.
9. Nsude, I. (2018). Communicating needs for robots in a developing economy and national development: A case of Nigeria. Cahiers de technologie et développement. https://doi.org/10.4000/ctd.2578
10. Schmitz, T., & Paus, E. (2025). Robots for economic development. Labour Economics, 96, 102731. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.labeco.2025.102731
11. OECD. (2019). Determinants and impacts of automation. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.