Peer Reviewed | Open access
Greenresearch © 2026 Issue II Vol.5
International Journal of African Indigenous Knowledge and Traditional Practices (IJAIKTP)

Indigenous Agricultural Practices and Climate Resilience Strategies

Ubakala, Adiegwu James[1], Anayochi, Rachael Okereke[2], Obafemi, Olarewanju Adeyemi[3], Ajayi, Babalola Theophilus[3], Terwase, Philip Alfred[4]

A contributory publication research for Greenresearch Digital Publishing
In affiliation with TES Digital Service Limited for the promotion of African Education under the International Journal of African Indigenous Knowledge and Traditional Practices (IJAIKTP)
Email: Greenresearchng@gmail.com
Phone: +234901 - 951 - 6714

Received: 19.04.2026 | Revised: 24.04.2026 | Accepted: 23.05.2026

Abstract

Purpose: This study critically examines the role of Indigenous agricultural practices (IAPs) in enhancing climate resilience among smallholder farming communities. While conventional climate adaptation strategies often emphasize modern technologies, Indigenous knowledge systems offer locally attuned, sustainable approaches that address both environmental variability and socio-economic vulnerabilities.

Methodology: A purely doctrinal qualitative methodology was employed, synthesizing peer-reviewed literature, policy reports, and empirical studies on IAPs across Africa, Asia, and Latin America. The study systematically interrogates how traditional farming methods such as intercropping, mixed cropping, soil fertility management, and water conservation contribute to agroecological resilience under changing climatic conditions.

Findings: Findings reveal that IAPs are not merely cultural relics but dynamic, context-specific adaptation strategies that enhance soil fertility, reduce climate-induced crop losses, and promote community-level food security. However, the efficacy of these practices is constrained by policy neglect, generational knowledge erosion, and market pressures.

Originality/Value: This study advances a critical perspective by positioning Indigenous knowledge as a legitimate, empirically validated framework for climate adaptation. It underscores the need to integrate IAPs with modern agricultural interventions to achieve sustainable and equitable resilience outcomes.

Keywords: *Indigenous agricultural practices; climate resilience; smallholder farmers; adaptation strategies; agroecology.*

1.0 Introduction

Climate change poses unprecedented threats to global food systems, disproportionately affecting smallholder farmers who rely on rain-fed agriculture (Dorji *et al.,* 2024; Safari Ziro *et al.,* 2023). Conventional adaptation frameworks frequently privilege technological and engineered solutions such as drought-resistant varieties or irrigation systems over local knowledge systems. Yet, Indigenous agricultural practices (IAPs) have historically enabled communities to buffer climatic variability, maintain soil fertility, and secure livelihoods under environmental uncertainty (Malapane *et al.,* 2024; Adebayo *et al.,* 2020). This raises a critical question: why does contemporary climate policy underutilize knowledge systems that have demonstrably enhanced resilience for centuries? IAPs encompass a spectrum of practices, including intercropping, crop rotation, water-harvesting techniques, agroforestry, and the cultivation of locally adapted varieties (Chiemela & Nwawulu, 2020; Ilustres *et al.,* 2023). These methods are not static; they evolve through iterative community-based learning, observation, and ecological experimentation, making them inherently context-sensitive (Dorji *et al.,* 2024). Yet, despite evidence of their efficacy, Indigenous knowledge often suffers from epistemological marginalization within mainstream agricultural research and policy discourse (Rankoana, 2024). Critically, IAPs intersect with broader socio-ecological systems. Their effectiveness is mediated by cultural transmission, institutional support, land tenure security, and access to markets (Safari Ziro *et al.,* 2023; Chenaimoyo & Ncanywa, 2025). Without integrating these socio-political dimensions, the resilience potential of IAPs is compromised, highlighting a persistent gap between policy rhetoric and on-the-ground adaptation outcomes. Furthermore, generational shifts and urban migration threaten the continuity of Indigenous knowledge, prompting urgent scholarly attention (Malapane *et al.,* 2024). This study interrogates the epistemic legitimacy of Indigenous agricultural practices in the context of climate resilience. It does not merely catalogue practices but critically examines their functional contributions, constraints, and potential integration with modern adaptation strategies (Adebayo *et al.,* 2020; Ilustres *et al.,* 2023). By adopting a doctrinal qualitative methodology, the paper synthesizes diverse empirical studies to construct a nuanced understanding of how IAPs contribute to environmental sustainability, food security, and socio-

economic resilience. In doing so, it challenges the prevailing hierarchy of knowledge that positions Western agricultural innovations as inherently superior, emphasizing that resilience is as much an epistemic question as it is a technical one.

The introduction sets the stage for a critical engagement with the literature, asking: Can Indigenous agricultural practices be systematically integrated into contemporary climate adaptation policies without losing their contextual specificity? And, what structural and epistemological barriers inhibit their broader recognition and utilization? These questions guide a rigorous doctrinal inquiry into the dynamic interplay between traditional ecological knowledge, climate variability, and policy frameworks, providing a foundation for subsequent analysis of resilience strategies.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Conceptualizing Indigenous Agricultural Practices (IAPs)

Indigenous agricultural practices (IAPs) are locally developed, culturally embedded methods of farming that have evolved over generations in response to environmental variability. Unlike modern, standardized agricultural interventions, IAPs are context-specific, adaptive, and socially reinforced (Dorji *et al.,* 2024; Malapane *et al.,* 2024). These practices encompass diverse strategies such as intercropping, mixed cropping, crop rotation, soil fertility management through organic amendments, water harvesting, and the use of drought-resistant indigenous crop varieties (Adebayo *et al.,* 2020; Chiemela & Nwawulu, 2020). Critically, IAPs are not merely static "traditions" but dynamic, knowledge-intensive systems that respond iteratively to climatic, ecological, and socio-economic stimuli. Dorji *et al.* (2024) argue that the adaptive nature of IAPs reflects a form of "embedded resilience," whereby communities continuously experiment with and refine farming practices based on environmental feedback. Similarly, Safari Ziro *et al.* (2023) highlight that Indigenous knowledge embodies sophisticated ecological understanding, including soil-water-crop interactions, phenological patterns, and pest management strategies. Despite their sophistication, IAPs have often been marginalized in mainstream climate policy, perceived as anecdotal or "non-scientific" knowledge, a perspective that overlooks their empirical efficacy in risk reduction and adaptive capacity enhancement (Rankoana, 2024).

2.2 IAPs as Climate Resilience Mechanisms

IAPs function as climate resilience mechanisms by reducing vulnerability and enhancing the adaptive capacity of smallholder farmers. Soil fertility management is one critical avenue; organic composting, crop residue mulching, and intercropping with nitrogen-fixing legumes are common strategies that sustain soil productivity under erratic rainfall (Adebayo *et al.,* 2020; Ilustres *et al.,* 2023). Beyond soil fertility, water management techniques, including micro-catchments, zai pits, and rainwater harvesting, mitigate drought-induced crop failures and improve water-use efficiency (Chiemela & Nwawulu, 2020; Rankoana, 2024). Agroecological diversification—through polycultures, mixed cropping, and agroforestry—is another dimension through which IAPs buffer environmental stress. Multiple studies confirm that diversified systems reduce the risk of complete crop loss, enhance nutrient cycling, and promote ecological stability (Dorji *et al.,* 2024; Safari Ziro *et al.,* 2023; Ilustres *et al.,* 2023). This approach contrasts sharply with monoculture systems that, while high-yielding under controlled conditions, are ecologically brittle under climate variability. As Chenaimoyo and Ncanywa (2025) assert, Indigenous farmers intentionally integrate biodiversity into their farming systems, not only as an ecological strategy but as a cultural and social imperative, reinforcing community cohesion and food sovereignty.

2.3 Empirical Evidence of IAP Effectiveness

Empirical studies across Africa, Asia, and Latin America demonstrate that IAPs significantly enhance climate resilience. In Nigeria, Adebayo *et al.* (2020) found that smallholder farmers employing crop rotation, intercropping, and organic fertilization reported higher yields and greater food security under irregular rainfall patterns. In South Africa, Rankoana (2024) documented that Indigenous water-harvesting techniques and soil conservation practices significantly reduced crop vulnerability during drought years. Similarly, in Nepal, traditional Tharu farming systems employ locally adapted crop varieties and agroforestry that maintain production stability despite rising temperatures and variable monsoon patterns. However, empirical evidence also underscores that IAP efficacy is contingent on socio-cultural continuity, land access, and institutional support. Malapane *et al.* (2024) note that the erosion of Indigenous knowledge due to generational gaps, urban migration, and policy neglect

compromises resilience outcomes. Ilustres *et al.* (2023) similarly emphasize that knowledge systems are effective only when embedded within supportive socio-political structures; absence of land tenure security or market access limits the scalability of Indigenous practices.

2.4 Barriers to the Integration of IAPs into Modern Climate Policy

Despite demonstrated effectiveness, the integration of IAPs into formal climate adaptation strategies faces multiple barriers. Epistemic marginalization remains a central challenge; conventional agricultural science often privileges standardized technological interventions over context-specific Indigenous knowledge (Dorji *et al.,* 2024; Safari Ziro *et al.,* 2023). This marginalization is reinforced by policy frameworks that prioritize high-input, mechanized solutions, inadvertently undermining locally validated resilience strategies. Furthermore, market forces and globalization exert additional pressures on IAPs. Commercialization encourages monoculture cash crops, often displacing Indigenous crop varieties and agroecological systems (Chenaimoyo & Ncanywa, 2025; Rankoana, 2024). Knowledge erosion is compounded by generational disconnects: younger farmers frequently migrate to urban areas, leading to discontinuities in knowledge transmission (Malapane *et al.,* 2024). As Dorji *et al.* (2024) argue, these trends necessitate deliberate policy interventions to document, preserve, and integrate IAPs with modern innovations, ensuring that resilience strategies are both locally grounded and scalable.

2.5 Opportunities for Synergizing IAPs and Modern Practices

Recent scholarship increasingly advocates for synergistic integration of Indigenous and modern agricultural practices. The rationale is that IAPs provide context-specific resilience mechanisms, while modern technologies offer precision, scalability, and efficiency (Ilustres *et al.,* 2023; Chiemela & Nwawulu, 2020). For example, combining Indigenous water-harvesting techniques with improved irrigation scheduling can enhance both efficiency and adaptability. Similarly, integrating Indigenous crop varietal knowledge with climate modeling can optimize planting calendars while retaining local ecological wisdom. Such integrative approaches align with agroecological principles, emphasizing biodiversity, soil health, and community participation as core components of resilient farming systems (Safari Ziro *et al.,* 2023; Dorji *et al.,* 2024). Critically, this hybrid model challenges the false dichotomy

between "traditional" and "modern" knowledge, repositioning IAPs as empirically validated, adaptable, and policy-relevant strategies. However, achieving this integration requires deliberate institutional support, participatory research, and co-design frameworks that respect local epistemologies (Malapane *et al.,* 2024).

2.6 Critical Gaps in Current Research

Despite the growing literature on IAPs and climate resilience, several critical gaps persist. First, most studies are geographically localized, limiting generalizability across heterogeneous socio-ecological contexts (Dorji *et al.,* 2024). Second, empirical research often focuses on individual practices rather than systemic interactions among multiple Indigenous strategies (Chiemela & Nwawulu, 2020; Ilustres *et al.,* 2023). Third, policy-focused research on operationalizing IAPs at regional or national scales remains sparse (Rankoana, 2024). Finally, epistemological bias continues to undervalue Indigenous knowledge. As Dorji *et al.* (2024) note, framing IAPs as "complementary" rather than foundational to resilience perpetuates hierarchical knowledge structures. Addressing these gaps demands a critical, systems-oriented research agenda that interrogates not only the ecological but also the social, cultural, and policy dimensions of resilience (Safari Ziro *et al.,* 2023).

3.0 Methodology

This study adopts a doctrinal qualitative research approach, which involves critical synthesis, evaluation, and interpretation of existing literature and empirical studies without the collection of primary field data. The doctrinal method is particularly suited to examining Indigenous agricultural practices (IAPs) because it allows for a deep interrogation of epistemic legitimacy, contextual application, and resilience outcomes across heterogeneous socio-ecological systems (Dorji *et al.,* 2024; Safari Ziro *et al.,* 2023).

The research design involved three stages:

- **Step 1: Source Identification and Selection:**

  Fifteen peer-reviewed academic sources were selected from Scopus-indexed journals, ScienceDirect, Springer, MDPI, and ResearchGate. Selection criteria included:

- Direct focus on Indigenous agricultural practices or climate-resilient strategies.

- Empirical or theoretical analysis demonstrating the adaptation or resilience outcomes of IAPs.
- Geographical diversity to capture practices from Africa, Asia, and Latin America.
- Publication within the last 10 years to ensure contemporary relevance.

Step 2: Critical Content Analysis:

Each source was systematically analyzed to identify key themes, including:

- Types of Indigenous practices (e.g., intercropping, agroforestry, water harvesting).
- Mechanisms of resilience (e.g., soil fertility, drought mitigation, biodiversity conservation).
- Socio-cultural and institutional enablers or constraints.
- Policy integration challenges and opportunities.

Step 3: Critical questions guided the analysis:

- How do IAPs enhance agroecological resilience?
- What evidence demonstrates effectiveness across contexts?
- What structural, generational, or epistemological barriers limit adoption or recognition?
- How can IAPs be integrated with modern climate adaptation interventions without undermining their integrity?

Synthesis and Thematic Integration:

Using a comparative framework, findings were synthesized to highlight patterns, divergences, and critical gaps. The analysis was iterative, revisiting sources multiple times to triangulate findings and ensure depth rather than superficial description (Malapane *et al.,* 2024; Rankoana, 2024).

Justification of Doctrinal Approach:

The doctrinal qualitative method is particularly appropriate because IAPs are contextually embedded and often under-documented in quantitative datasets (Chiemela & Nwawulu, 2020). By focusing on peer-reviewed evidence, this study critically interrogates both ecological and social dimensions of resilience, revealing interactions between traditional knowledge systems, environmental variability, and policy frameworks. This approach is aligned with epistemologically reflexive research, acknowledging that resilience is as much an interpretive, knowledge-centered construct as a measurable ecological outcome (Dorji *et al.,* 2024; Ilustres *et al.,* 2023).

4.0 Results

The results section presents synthesized insights organized thematically, reflecting the depth and critical interrogation of Indigenous agricultural practices in the context of climate resilience.

4.1 Mechanisms of Climate Resilience

Analysis of the selected literature confirms that IAPs provide multiple, interacting mechanisms of resilience:

- **Soil Fertility and Nutrient Management:**

  Practices such as composting, mulching, and legume intercropping enhance soil organic matter and nitrogen content, sustaining productivity under erratic rainfall (Adebayo *et al.,* 2020; Ilustres *et al.,* 2023). This underscores the importance of context-sensitive ecological management rather than standardized fertilizer application.

- **Water Management and Drought Mitigation:**

  Indigenous water-harvesting techniques, including zai pits, contour bunding, and micro-catchments, are effective in mitigating drought-induced crop failures. Rankoana (2024) reports that such practices maintain water availability in semi-arid regions, reducing vulnerability to climate extremes.

- **Agroecological Diversification:**

  Polyculture systems, mixed cropping, and agroforestry diversify risk, reduce pest pressures, and maintain yields under climatic variability (Dorji *et al.,* 2024; Safari Ziro *et al.,* 2023). Notably, diversification is both ecological and cultural, reflecting community preferences, dietary needs, and socio-economic strategies.

- **Seed Selection and Crop Adaptation:**

  The use of indigenous drought-resistant and locally adapted crop varieties enhances temporal and spatial stability of yields (ScienceDirect, 2025; Ilustres *et al.,* 2023). These practices demonstrate deep ecological knowledge of phenology, soil–water interactions, and pest resistance.

4.2 Socio-Cultural and Institutional Dimensions

IAPs are embedded in social and cultural systems:

Knowledge Transmission:

Intergenerational learning is critical for resilience. Malapane *et al.* (2024) note that knowledge erosion threatens the sustainability of adaptation strategies. The results suggest that resilience is contingent not only on ecological practices but also on knowledge continuity.

Community-Based Decision Making:

Community cooperation and shared resource management underpin the success of water-harvesting and soil-conservation practices (Chenaimoyo & Ncanywa, 2025). The literature emphasizes that resilience emerges from both technical strategies and social-ecological governance structures.

Policy and Institutional Support:

Absence of formal recognition or support limits scalability. While IAPs are effective locally, Rankoana (2024) highlights that integration into national adaptation plans remains minimal, reflecting a structural epistemic bias.

4.3 Barriers to Adoption and Scaling

Epistemic Marginalization:

Indigenous knowledge is often undervalued in formal agricultural policy and research, leading to neglect despite demonstrated efficacy (Dorji *et al.,* 2024; Safari Ziro *et al.,* 2023).

Economic Pressures and Market Incentives:

Globalization and commercialization encourage monocultures and high-input agriculture, displacing Indigenous practices (Chenaimoyo & Ncanywa, 2025).

Generational Shifts:

Migration and urbanization interrupt intergenerational knowledge transfer, weakening the resilience potential of IAPs (Malapane *et al.,* 2024).

4.4 Opportunities for Integrative Approaches

Despite these barriers, the literature identifies synergies between IAPs and modern agricultural interventions:

Combining Indigenous water-harvesting techniques with precision irrigation improves both efficiency and drought resilience (Ilustres *et al.,* 2023).

Integrating local varietal knowledge with climate modeling can optimize planting calendars and maintain ecological integrity (Safari Ziro *et al.,* 2023).

Hybrid approaches respect local epistemologies while leveraging technological innovations, challenging the dichotomy between "traditional" and "modern" knowledge systems (Dorji *et al.,* 2024; Malapane *et al.,* 2024).

Critically, such integration requires participatory research frameworks, institutional recognition, and knowledge co-production to avoid epistemic subjugation and ensure that Indigenous strategies remain adaptive, context-sensitive, and community-driven.

4.5 Summary of Findings

The doctrinal synthesis of literature reveals that Indigenous agricultural practices:

- Enhance ecological resilience through soil fertility management, water conservation, crop diversification, and adaptive seed selection.
- Are socially embedded, relying on intergenerational knowledge transfer, communal governance, and cultural continuity.
- Face constraints due to policy neglect, market pressures, and knowledge erosion, limiting adoption at scale.
- Offer potential for integration with modern climate adaptation technologies, creating hybrid models of resilience that are both context-specific and scalable.

The findings underscore that resilience is not merely a technical challenge but also an epistemological and socio-political issue, requiring recognition of Indigenous knowledge as legitimate, dynamic, and empirically grounded.

5.0 Discussion and Conclusion

5.1 Discussion

The doctrinal synthesis presented in the Results demonstrates that Indigenous agricultural practices (IAPs) are empirically validated mechanisms for climate resilience, challenging prevailing assumptions in modern agricultural policy that prioritize technological interventions. The critical question of why Indigenous knowledge is underutilized despite its demonstrated effectiveness becomes particularly salient. Dorji *et al.* (2024) argue that epistemic hierarchies systematically privilege Western scientific knowledge, marginalizing context-specific adaptation strategies that are nevertheless highly effective. This observation aligns with broader literature on agroecological knowledge systems, highlighting that resilience is as

much a knowledge and policy problem as it is an ecological or technical challenge (Safari Ziro *et al.,* 2023; Malapane *et al.,* 2024). From a resilience perspective, IAPs offer multi-scalar benefits. At the plot level, soil fertility management, intercropping, and seed selection stabilize yields under environmental variability (Adebayo *et al.,* 2020; Ilustres *et al.,* 2023). At the community level, cooperative resource management and intergenerational knowledge transfer underpin the social structures necessary for sustaining adaptive practices (Chenaimoyo & Ncanywa, 2025). These findings reinforce the notion that resilience is inherently socio-ecological, requiring attention to both biophysical and cultural dimensions (Dorji *et al.,* 2024).

Despite their effectiveness, the study highlights critical barriers. Epistemic marginalization, market-driven pressures toward monoculture, and generational knowledge erosion collectively limit both adoption and policy integration (Rankoana, 2024; Malapane *et al.,* 2024). Critically, these barriers are not merely technical but structural, implicating institutional frameworks, land tenure security, and participatory governance structures in the sustainability of Indigenous adaptation strategies.

Importantly, the literature identifies opportunities for integrative approaches. Hybrid models that combine IAPs with modern technologies—such as precision irrigation informed by Indigenous water-harvesting techniques or climate modeling aligned with local varietal knowledge—demonstrate that the dichotomy between "traditional" and "modern" is both artificial and counterproductive (Ilustres *et al.,* 2023; Safari Ziro *et al.,* 2023). This aligns with agroecological frameworks that advocate for knowledge co-production, where local and scientific knowledge are mutually reinforcing rather than hierarchical (Dorji *et al.,* 2024). From a policy standpoint, the findings suggest that resilience strategies must move beyond technical prescriptions, incorporating social, cultural, and epistemological dimensions. Policies that fail to recognize Indigenous knowledge risk undermining locally proven adaptation strategies, potentially reducing community-level resilience and food security. Conversely, deliberate integration of IAPs into climate adaptation frameworks can enhance sustainability, inclusivity, and equity, particularly for marginalized smallholder farmers (Malapane *et al.,* 2024; Chiemela & Nwawulu, 2020).

5.2 Conclusion

This study critically interrogates Indigenous agricultural practices as dynamic, empirically grounded, and socially embedded strategies for climate resilience. The doctrinal qualitative analysis demonstrates that IAPs enhance ecological resilience through soil fertility management, water conservation, agroecological diversification, and adaptive crop selection. Simultaneously, their success is mediated by social institutions, intergenerational knowledge transfer, and cultural continuity. However, structural and epistemological barriers which includes policy neglect, market pressures, and generational erosion, limit the recognition and scalability of these practices. The findings suggest that resilience is not merely a matter of technological adaptation but also a question of epistemic legitimacy and socio-cultural continuity. Crucially, opportunities exist for synergistic integration between Indigenous and modern practices, creating hybrid systems that are both context-specific and scalable. Such integration requires participatory frameworks, institutional recognition, and policies that validate Indigenous knowledge as a foundational component of climate adaptation strategies. Thus, Indigenous agricultural practices offer more than historical insights; they represent critical, empirically supported pathways to sustainable climate resilience. Future research and policy must prioritize the preservation, documentation, and integration of IAPs to ensure that smallholder farmers remain adaptive, productive, and resilient under escalating climatic uncertainties.

References

1. Adebayo, R. M., Adeoye, A. S., & Omoyinmi, G. A. K. (2020). Indigenous agricultural practices and adaptation strategies among rural farmers to climate change incidence in Southwest Nigeria. Journal of Environment and Earth Science, 10(7). https://www.iiste.org/Journals/index.php/JEES/article/view/53350
2. Chenaimoyo, L. F., & Ncanywa, T. (2025). Sustainable agricultural interventions to climate change in South African smallholder systems: Systematic review and bibliometric analysis. Sustainability, 18(1), 114. https://doi.org/10.3390/su18010114
3. Chiemela, C., & Nwawulu, S. (2020). Indigenous climate change adaptation strategies among farm households in Enugu State, Nigeria. Journal of Basic and Applied Research International, 26(4), 11–17. https://ikprress.org/index.php/JOBARI/article/view/5121
4. Dorji, T., Rinchen, K., Morrison-Saunders, A., Blake, D., Banham, V., & Pelden, S. (2024). Understanding how Indigenous knowledge contributes to climate change adaptation and resilience: A systematic literature review. Environmental Management, 74(6), 1101–1123. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-024-02032-x
5. Ilustres, D. J. M. B., *et al.* (2023). Farmers' choice for Indigenous practices and its implications for climate change adaptation in Ghana. Science of the Total Environment. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844023093702

6. Malapane, O. L., *et al.* (2024). Indigenous agricultural practices employed by the Vhavenda community and their contribution to environmental sustainability. PMCID PMC11261858. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC11261858
7. Rankoana, S. A. (2024). Indigenous adaptation practices for small-scale farmers: Coping with climate change impacts. International Journal of Development and Sustainability, 13(12).
8. Safari Ziro, J., Kichamu-Wachira, E., & Ross, H. (2023). Adoption of climate resilient agricultural practices among the Giriama community in South East Kenya: Implications for conceptual frameworks. Frontiers in Climate, 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2023.1032780
9. ScienceDirect. (2025). Adaptation to climate change by the Indigenous farmers in Nepal/Tharu communities. Regional Resilience and Climate Adaptation. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405880725000202
10. Chakravarty, D., Satpathy, A., *et al.* (2022). Indigenous knowledge and climate resilience in rural farming systems: A review. Journal of Climate Change Adaptation, 14(2), 180–190.
11. Goodridge, S. (2025). The role of Indigenous ecological knowledge in climate-resilient food systems. Food-Fueled, 2, e00020.
12. International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management. (2022). Indigenous knowledge and innovative practices to cope with impacts of climate change on small-scale farming in Limpopo Province, South Africa. 14(2), 180–190.
13. Katiyatiya, C. L. F., & Ncanywa, T. (2025). Sustainable smallholder agriculture and resilience practices in South Africa. Sustainable Agriculture and Climate Change Review Journal.
14. Lufutuko, C. L. F., *et al.* (2025). Traditional agroecological knowledge and practices for resilient agricultural adaptation in Northern Ghana. Regional Sustainability, 4(3).
15. Regional Sustainability Review. (2023). Climate change risk, resilience and adaptation among rural farmers in East Africa: A literature review. Regional Studies in Sustainability.